

UPPER BOUNDS ON BETTI NUMBERS OF TROPICAL PREVARIETIES

DIMA GRIGORIEV AND NICOLAI VOROBJOV

ABSTRACT. We prove upper bounds on the sum of Betti numbers of tropical prevarieties in dense and sparse settings. In the dense setting the bound is in terms of the volume of Minkowski sum of Newton polytopes of defining tropical polynomials, or, alternatively, via the maximal degree of these polynomials. In sparse setting, the bound involves the number of the monomials.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with upper bounds on Betti numbers of tropical prevarieties. Basic definitions and statements regarding tropical algebra and geometry can be found in [14, 17].

Each *tropical polynomial* f in n variables can be represented as $\min\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$, where L_1, \dots, L_m are linear functions on \mathbb{R}^n (called *tropical monomials*) with non-negative integer coefficients at variables and real constant terms (if negative integer coefficients are allowed, then f is called *tropical Laurent polynomial*). For a monomial L_j its *degree* is the sum of integer coefficients at variables, the maximum among degrees of the monomials is called the (*tropical*) *degree* of f . With any tropical polynomial f we associate a concave piece-wise linear function

$$L(\mathbf{x}) := \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{L_j(\mathbf{x})\}$$

defined on \mathbb{R}^n . A *tropical hypersurface* $V := V(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^n at which $L(\mathbf{x})$ is not smooth. Any point $\mathbf{x} \in V$ is called a *zero* of f . A *tropical prevariety* is an intersection of a finite number of tropical hypersurfaces, in other words, the set of all common tropical zeroes of a finite system of multivariate tropical polynomials.

Let $V := V(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the tropical prevariety defined by a system f_1, \dots, f_k of tropical polynomials in n variables of degrees not exceeding d . In case $k = n$, the Tropical Bezout Theorem [17] states that the number of all *stable* tropical zeroes (counted with multiplicities) of the system f_1, \dots, f_k is the product of the degrees of its polynomials. For arbitrary k , the upper bound

$$\binom{k + 7n}{3n} d^{3n}$$

on the number of connected components of V was obtained in [6]. In case $k \leq n$, the number of maximal faces of transversal intersections of tropical hypersurfaces defined by polynomials f_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, was expressed in [1, 18] in terms of mixed Minkowski volumes of Newton polytopes of polynomials f_i . In [2], for $k = n$, this number was bounded from above using a new concept of *discrete mixed volume* for sparse tropical polynomials.

The structure of this paper is as follows.

In Section 1 we show that any homotopy type of a polyhedral complex is realizable by a tropical prevariety, so the problem of bounds on Betti numbers is not futile.

In Section 2 we prove the upper bound

$$2^{(r+1)}r! \operatorname{Vol}_r(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$$

on the sum of Betti numbers of a tropical prevariety V , where r is the dimension of the Minkowski sum $P_1 + \cdots + P_k$ of Newton polytopes P_1, \dots, P_k of tropical polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k , and $\operatorname{Vol}_r(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$ is the r -dimensional volume of the Minkowski sum. In terms of $\max_i \deg f_i = d$ this implies the bound

$$(0.1) \quad 2^{(n+1)}(kd)^n.$$

Note that in [6] a naive upper bound

$$\left(\binom{k+7n}{3n} d^{3n} \right)^n$$

was mentioned. Also in [6], an example is constructed of a prevariety defined by kn polynomials of degrees at most d , containing $(kd/4)^n$ zero-dimensional connected components. Comparing this lower bound with (0.1), we note a gap within a factor n^n . An interesting challenge is to close this gap.

In Section 3 we assume that each tropical polynomial f_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ is m -sparse, i.e., consists of at most m monomials. In this setting we prove the upper bound

$$n2^n \binom{k \binom{m}{2}}{n}$$

on the sum of Betti numbers of V . We give an example of a prevariety, with $k = n$, for which this bound is close to sharp up to the factor m^n .

Results in Section 2 can be related to the classical upper bounds on Betti numbers of real algebraic and semi-algebraic sets obtained by Petrovskii, Oleinik, Milnor and Thom, and developed further by various authors. In particular, Milnor [15] proved that if a semi-algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by a system of k non-strict polynomial inequalities of degrees less than d , then the sum of Betti numbers of X is at most $(ckd)^n$ for an absolute constant $c > 0$ (compare with (0.1)). Note that in the case when X is defined by an arbitrary Boolean combination of inequalities, the i th Betti number of X does not exceed $(c\nu kd)^n$ for $\nu = \min\{i+1, n-i, k\}$ and an absolute constant $c > 0$ [8].

The bound in Section 3 can be viewed as a tropical counterpart of the bounds on Betti numbers for fewnomials [13, 9, 3].

In Appendix we prove a technical statement needed to reduce the polyhedral complex associated with a prevariety V to a bounded polyhedral complex.

1. TROPICAL PREVARIETIES AND POLYHEDRAL COMPLEXES

It is well known [14, 17] that any tropical prevariety $V := V(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of all common tropical zeroes of tropical polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k is the union of cells of a finite polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}^n (in Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 below, we provide an explicit representation of V as a complex). For this complex we will use the same notation, V . There is an understanding among experts (see, e.g., [5]) that any homotopy

type of a polyhedral complex is realizable by a tropical prevariety. In the present section we make this statement precise.

Let $A \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine subspace, defined by linear equations with integer coefficients, and A_{\geq} be a closed half-space in A defined by a non-strict linear inequality with integer coefficients.

Lemma 1.1. *The half-space A_{\geq} is a tropical prevariety in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. Let $A \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by a system of equations $M_i = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, and A_{\geq} by a system $M_i = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, $L \geq 0$, where all M_i, L are linear polynomials with integer coefficients. Then $A_{\geq} = V(f_1, \dots, f_s, f_{s+1})$, where f_i , $1 \leq i \leq s+1$, are tropical Laurent polynomials such that f_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, is represented as $\min\{M_i, 0\}$, while f_{s+1} as $\min\{0, M_1, L\}$. By adding an appropriate linear polynomial to monomials in f_i , we turn f_i into a tropical polynomial having the same zeroes as f_i . \square

Corollary 1.2. *Any finite union of rational convex polyhedra (in particular, the union of all cells in any rational polyhedral complex) of positive codimension in \mathbb{R}^n coincides with a tropical prevariety in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, any rational convex polyhedron of positive codimension in \mathbb{R}^n is a tropical prevariety. It remains to note that the union of a finite number of tropical prevarieties is also a tropical prevariety (this is proved by a straightforward analogy with the classical case). \square

As another consequence of Lemma 1.1 we mention the following example of a countable family of tropical prevarieties such that their intersection is not a tropical prevariety. A standard 2-dimensional disk in a 2-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 is not a tropical prevariety, but can be approximated from outside by a shrinking countable family of rational convex polyhedra.

2. BETTI NUMBERS FOR DENSE TROPICAL POLYNOMIALS

Let a tropical polynomial f be represented as

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ji} x_i + b_j \right\},$$

where $0 \leq a_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$. The *Newton polytope* $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of f is the convex hull of m points (a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn}) , $1 \leq j \leq m$ (see, e.g., [14]).

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the convex hull of m points $(a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn}, b_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. The *extended Newton polytope* of f is the set

$$Q := E + \{(0, \dots, 0, c) \mid 0 \leq c \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

where $+$ denotes the Minkowski addition (see, e.g., [11, Definition 21]).

Consider a tropical prevariety $V := V(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $P_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be the Newton polytope of f_i , r be the dimension of the Minkowski sum $P_1 + \dots + P_k$, and $\text{Vol}_r(P_1 + \dots + P_k)$ be its r -dimensional volume. Without loss of generality, we assume that this volume is positive.

Theorem 2.1. *The number of faces of all dimensions of V does not exceed*

$$(2^{r+1} - 1)r! \text{Vol}_r(P_1 + \dots + P_k).$$

Before proving this theorem, let us extract some corollaries regarding bounds on Betti numbers.

According to Theorem 4.1 in Appendix, the prevariety V , being a finite polyhedral complex, is homotopy equivalent to a bounded polyhedral complex W , having no more cells than V . Note that W has the structure of a finite CW complex with polyhedral cells. Let $\varphi(W)$ be the number of all faces of W . Then, by Theorem 2.1,

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi(W) \leq (2^{r+1} - 1)r! \operatorname{Vol}_r(P_1 + \cdots + P_k).$$

We will use notations $b_\nu(X) := \operatorname{rank} H_\nu(X, \mathbb{R})$, where $H_\nu(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a singular ν th homology group, and

$$b(X) := \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq \dim X} b_\nu(X).$$

Recalling that $b(V) = b(W)$, and applying to the CW complex W the Weak Morse Inequality $b(W) \leq \varphi(W)$ [7, Corollary 3.7], we get from (2.1) the following upper bound.

Corollary 2.2. *The sum of Betti numbers of V satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad b(V) \leq (2^{r+1} - 1)r! \operatorname{Vol}_r(P_1 + \cdots + P_k).$$

Let $d = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \deg f_i$. Then each P_i is contained in the simplex

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n, x_1 + \cdots + x_n \leq d\}.$$

Hence, in terms of d , the inequality (2.2) can be presented in the following form.

Corollary 2.3. *The sum of Betti numbers of V satisfies the inequality*

$$b(V) \leq (2^{n+1} - 1)(kd)^n.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $Q_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ be the *extended* Newton polytope of f_i . Let Q be the *bottom* of $Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$, which is the set of all points $(\mathbf{x}, a) \in Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$ such that there are no points $(\mathbf{x}, b) \in Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$ with $b < a$. Note that for the projection map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ along the last coordinate we have $\pi(Q) = \pi(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k) = P_1 + \cdots + P_k$. The restriction $\pi|_Q$ is injective, $\dim(Q) = \dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_k) = r$.

Let F be a face of Q . Its *dual*, $G(F)$, is the set of all supporting hyperplanes for Q (subset of the set of all supporting hyperplanes for $Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$) such that their intersections with Q coincide with F , and no hyperplane contains a straight line parallel to $\{(0, \dots, 0, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then $G(F)$ can be identified with a face of the dual polytope to $Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$, and we have $\dim F + \dim G(F) = n$ (see, e.g., [1, 2, 18]). Observe that F is representable as a Minkowski sum $F = F_1 + \cdots + F_k$, where each F_i is a face of the bottom of Q_i , and $H \cap Q_i = F_i$ for any $H \in G(F)$. We say that a face F of Q is *tropical* if $\dim F_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then V coincides with the union of polytopes $G(F)$ for all tropical faces F (cf. [1, 18]).

Decompose each r -dimensional face of Q into r -dimensional closed simplices without adding new vertices, and obtain a triangulation of Q (cf. [16, Theorem 8.2]). The number of all simplices in this triangulation is not less than the total number of faces of V . For each r -dimensional simplex S in the triangulation the r -dimensional simplex $\pi(S)$ has integer vertices. Since the volume of any r -dimensional simplex with integer vertices in \mathbb{R}^n is at least $1/r!$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Vol}_r(\pi(S)) \geq 1/r!$. Therefore, the number of all r -dimensional simplices in the triangulation does not

exceed $r! \text{Vol}_r(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$. To complete the proof, it remains to notice that the number of all faces of an r -dimensional simplex is $2^{r+1} - 1$. \square

3. BETTI NUMBERS FOR SPARSE TROPICAL POLYNOMIALS

In this section we assume that each tropical polynomial f_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ is m -sparse, i.e., contains at most m monomials. In other words, each f_i can be represented as $\min\{L_{i,1}, \dots, L_{i,m}\}$, where $L_{i,1}, \dots, L_{i,m}$ are linear functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Although, by definition, coefficients in $L_{i,j}$ at variables are non-negative integers, all results in this section hold for arbitrary real coefficients.

Following [10], for any subset B of $D := \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, consider the polyhedron U_B consisting of all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} = L_{i,j_0}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for every } (i, j_0) \in B$$

and

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} < L_{i,j_1}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for every } (i, j_1) \notin B.$$

Note that each set U_B is open in its linear hull, and $U_B \subset V$ if and only if for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exist $1 \leq j_0 < j_1 \leq m$ such that $(i, j_0), (i, j_1) \in B$.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the linear hull of a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.1. *The interior of every face F of U_B in $\mathcal{L}(F)$ coincides with U_{B_1} for a suitable subset $B_1 \subset D$ such that $B \subsetneq B_1$.*

Proof. There exists a subset $B_1 \subset D$ such that $\dim(U_{B_1} \cap F) = \dim(F)$. We prove that B_1 satisfies the requirements of the lemma. Observe that U_{B_1} is contained in $\mathcal{L}(F)$. The condition $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} = L_{i,j_0}(\mathbf{x})$ for every $(i, j_0) \in B$ and each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}(U_B)$ implies that $(i, j_0) \in B_1$. Hence, $B \subset B_1$, and obviously $B \neq B_1$.

By [6, Theorem 4.4], for any two points in U_{B_1} , their sufficiently small neighbourhoods are homeomorphic (in fact, isomorphic) by a linear translation from one point to another. Therefore, U_{B_1} is contained in the interior of F in $\mathcal{L}(F)$. It remains to show that, conversely, U_{B_1} contains the interior of F .

For contradiction, assume that there exists a point \mathbf{x} in the interior of F such that $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap U_{B_2}$ for some subset $B_2 \subset D$ different from B_1 , where $\overline{U_{B_1}}$ denotes the closure of U_{B_1} . Choose any $(i, j_2) \in B_2 \setminus B_1$, and $(i, j_0) \in B$ such that $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{y})\} = L_{i,j_0}(\mathbf{y})$ for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}(U_B)$. It follows that $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{y})\} = L_{i,j_2}(\mathbf{y})$ for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}(F)$. We get a contradiction with the assumption that $(i, j_2) \notin B_1$, hence U_{B_1} contains the interior of F in $\mathcal{L}(F)$. \square

Remark 3.2. Being a polyhedron, the set $\overline{U_B}$ coincides with

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} = L_{i,j_0}(\mathbf{x}), 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ for every } (i, j_0) \in B\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any subsets $B_1, B_2 \subset D$ there exists a subset $B \subset D$ such that $B \supset (B_1 \cup B_2)$ and $\overline{U_B} = \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$.*

Proof. For any $(i, j_0) \in B_1 \cup B_2$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and any $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$ we have $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} = L_{i,j_0}(\mathbf{x})$.

Define B as the set of all $(i, j_1) \in D$ such that $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} = L_{i,j_1}(\mathbf{x})$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$. Hence, $B \supset (B_1 \cup B_2)$. It remains to prove that $\overline{U_B} = \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$.

The inclusion $\overline{U_B} \subset (\overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}})$ follows from Remark 3.2. Conversely, since $\overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$ is a closed convex polyhedron, for every $(i, j_2) \in D \setminus B$ the set of all

$\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$ such that $\min_j \{L_{i,j}(\mathbf{x})\} < L_{i,j_2}(\mathbf{x})$ contains the interior of $\overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}$. Hence, U_B also contains this interior. It follows that $(\overline{U_{B_1}} \cap \overline{U_{B_2}}) \subset \overline{U_B}$. \square

Similar to [6], consider an arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}^n consisting of at most $\ell := k \binom{m}{2}$ hyperplanes of the form $L_{i,j_1} = L_{i,j_2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m$. Without loss of generality, assume that $n \leq \ell$.

Observe that for every $B \subset D$ the set U_B is a face of \mathcal{A} whenever $U_B \neq \emptyset$. Then Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 imply that the number of faces $\varphi(V)$ of V does not exceed the number of faces $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} . According to [19], $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \leq n2^n \binom{\ell}{n}$, thus $\varphi(V) \leq n2^n \binom{\ell}{n}$. We proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *The number of all faces of a tropical prevariety $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by k m -sparse tropical polynomials is at most*

$$n2^n \binom{k \binom{m}{2}}{n}.$$

As in the proof of Corollary 2.2, passing from V to a homotopy equivalent bounded polyhedral complex W , having no more cells than V has, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *The sum of Betti numbers of V satisfies the inequality*

$$b(V) \leq n2^n \binom{k \binom{m}{2}}{n}.$$

In conclusion, we construct an example of a tropical prevariety with $k = n$ which shows that upper bounds in Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 differ from a lower bound up to a factor m^n .

Let f_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ be a tropical polynomial in one variable X_i , of degree m with m tropical zeroes. Then the tropical prevariety defined by the system f_1, \dots, f_n consists of exactly m^n isolated points.

4. APPENDIX: HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE OF A FINITE POLYHEDRAL COMPLEX TO A BOUNDED POLYHEDRAL COMPLEX

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a finite polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}^n in which some cells may be unbounded. Then K is homotopy equivalent to a bounded polyhedral complex having no more cells than K has.*

Lemma 4.2. *If a convex (closed) polyhedron P in \mathbb{R}^n contains a straight line ℓ , and T is an affine subspace T in \mathbb{R}^n , complementary orthogonal to ℓ , then $P \simeq \ell \times (P \cap T)$, where \simeq denotes homeomorphism.*

Proof. Observe that for any $\mathbf{x} \in P$, in particular, for any $\mathbf{x} \in P \cap T$, the straight line m through \mathbf{x} , parallel to ℓ , is also contained in P . \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be a finite polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}^n , with a cell containing a straight line ℓ , and T be an affine subspace T in \mathbb{R}^n , complementary orthogonal to ℓ . Then the connected component C of K , containing ℓ is homeomorphic to $\ell \times (C \cap T)$, where $C \cap T$ is a $(\dim(K) - 1)$ -dimensional connected polyhedral complex consisting of all cells of the kind $P \cap T$ for all cells P in C .*

Proof. Let P and Q be the cells in K , having a common face R , and let P contain a straight line ℓ . By Lemma 4.2, $P \simeq \ell \times (P \cap T)$. Since $R \subset Q$, cells Q and R contain straight lines ℓ_Q and ℓ_R , respectively, parallel to ℓ . Hence $Q \simeq \ell \times (Q \cap T)$ and $R \simeq \ell \times (R \cap T)$. It follows that P and Q are in the same connected component C of K and $P \cup Q \simeq \ell \times (P' \cup Q')$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let K be a finite polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}^n , with a cell D containing an affine space L with $\dim(L) = d \geq 0$ and not containing any affine space of dimension greater than d . Let T be an affine space complementary orthogonal to L . Then the connected component C of K , containing D , is homeomorphic to $L \times (C \cap T)$, where $C \cap T$ is a $(\dim(K) - d)$ -dimensional connected polyhedral complex, not containing any straight line, and consisting of all cells of the kind $P \cap T$ for all cells P in C .*

Proof. Proof by induction on d , with the base for $d = 0$ being obvious. If $d > 0$ then D contains a straight line, which we denote by ℓ . Let T_ℓ be an affine space complementary orthogonal to ℓ . By Lemma 4.3, $C \simeq \ell \times (C \cap T_\ell)$, while $\dim(C \cap T_\ell) = \dim(C) - 1$. The cell $D' := D \cap T_\ell$ contains the affine space $L \cap T_\ell$, $\dim(L \cap T_\ell) = d - 1$, and does not contain any affine space of dimension greater than $d - 1$. To conclude the proof, apply the inductive hypothesis to $C \cap T_\ell$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Any finite polyhedral complex K in \mathbb{R}^n , is homotopy equivalent to a complex K' in \mathbb{R}^n in which no cell contains a straight line. Complexes K and K' have equal number of cells.*

Proof. Consider in turn each connected component C of K . Let C contain an affine space L_C with $\dim(L_C) \geq 0$ and not contain any affine space of dimension greater than $\dim(L_C)$. By Lemma 4.4, $C \simeq L_C \times (C \cap T_C)$, where T_C is an affine space complementary orthogonal to L_C . Then $C' := C \cap T_C$ is a deformation retract of C , not containing a straight line. Moreover, each cell in C' is of the form $D \cap T_C$, where D is a cell in C , hence complexes C and C' have equal number of cells. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In view of Lemma 4.5, it is sufficient to prove the theorem in the case when no cell in K contains a straight line. In particular, every connected component of K is *pointed* (i.e., contains a vertex of K).

Semi-algebraic local triviality [12] implies that for any sufficiently large positive $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ the intersection $\widehat{K} := K \cap S_\rho$, and the intersection of K with the interior of S_ρ , for the simplex

$$S_\rho = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j \geq -\rho, 1 \leq j \leq n, x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq \rho\},$$

are both homotopy equivalent to K . Impose on \widehat{K} a structure of a (bounded) polyhedral complex by adding to all bounded cells in K (they are contained in the interior of S_ρ) the new polyhedral cells that are intersections of unbounded cells in K with faces of S_ρ .

Consider an unbounded cell P in K . There is a (closed) facet R of S_ρ such that $P \cap R = \emptyset$. Suppose that there are exactly k such facets for P , remove them from ∂S_ρ and denote the difference by $(\partial S_\rho)_P$. Note that $(\partial S_\rho)_P$ has a unique face L_P of the minimal dimension $k - 1$ (the intersection of all facets of $(\partial S_\rho)_P$). Choose a point $\mathbf{a}_P \in L_P$ for each cell P .

Following [16, Theorem 8.2], perform a triangulation of \widehat{K} by induction on $\dim(\widehat{K})$. In the base case of 1-skeleton of \widehat{K} , its cells are already simplices. In

the case of m -skeleton, with $m > 1$, and an unbounded cell P , consider simplices having \mathbf{a}_P as one vertex and other vertices being all vertices of one of the simplices in $(m-1)$ -skeleton, constructed by the inductive hypothesis. In the case of a bounded m -cell P , perform the same construction, choosing an arbitrary vertex in P , instead of \mathbf{a}_P . According to [16, Theorem 8.2], we obtain a triangulation of \widehat{K} which we will continue to denote by \widehat{K} .

We now prove by induction that all simplices in \widehat{K} with vertices in ∂S_ρ are contained in ∂S_ρ . Every m -simplex in an m -cell P in \widehat{K} with vertices in ∂S_ρ is the union of closed intervals of the kind $[\mathbf{a}_P, \mathbf{b}]$, where each \mathbf{b} belongs to the same facet of $(\partial S_\rho)_P$, by the inductive hypothesis. Since L_P is the intersection of all facets of $(\partial S_\rho)_P$, points \mathbf{a}_P and \mathbf{b} belong to the same facet, hence $[\mathbf{a}_P, \mathbf{b}]$ lies in this facet, and so does the whole simplex.

Partition the set of all vertices V of \widehat{K} into two classes, $V = A \cup B$, where A are vertices in K while B are vertices belonging to ∂S_ρ . Let \widehat{K}_A be the induced subcomplex on vertices in A , i.e., the complex of bounded cells in K , and \widehat{K}_B be the induced subcomplex on vertices in B . Note that \widehat{K}_A is a triangulation of a bounded polyhedral complex having no more cells than K has, while \widehat{K}_B is a triangulation of $\widehat{K} \cap \partial S_\rho$. Then, by [4, Lemma 4.7.27], \widehat{K}_A is a deformation retract of $\widehat{K} \setminus \widehat{K}_B$. It follows that \widehat{K}_A is homotopy equivalent to K , and we can take \widehat{K}_A as the bounded polyhedral complex required in the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements. Authors thank D. Feichtner-Kozlov, G.M. Ziegler, and the anonymous referee for useful comments. Part of this research was carried out during authors' joint visit in September 2017 to the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics at Bonn University, under the program Applied and Computational Algebraic Topology, to which they are very grateful. D. Grigoriev was partly supported by the RSF grant 16-11-10075.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bertrand, F. Bihan, Intersection multiplicity numbers between tropical hypersurfaces, Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of tropical geometry, Contemp. Math., 589, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2013) 1–19.
- [2] F. Bihan, Irrational mixed decomposition and sharp fewnomial bounds for tropical polynomial systems, 55, 4 (2016) 907–933.
- [3] F. Bihan, F. Sottile, Betti number bounds for fewnomial hypersurfaces via stratified Morse theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137, 5 (2009) 2825–2833.
- [4] A. Björner, M. Las Verginas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, G.M. Ziegler, Oriented Matroids, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [5] T. Bogart, A. N. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, R.R. Thomas, Computing tropical varieties, J. Symbolic Comput. 42, 1–2 (2007) 54–73.
- [6] A. Davydow, D. Grigoriev, Bounds on the number of connected components for tropical prevarieties, Discr. Comput. Geom., 57, 2 (2017) 470–493.
- [7] R. Forman, Morse Theory for cell complexes, Advances in Mathematics 134 (1998) 90–145.
- [8] A. Gabrielov, N. Vorobjov, Approximation of definable sets by compact families, and upper bounds on homotopy and homology, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 80 (2009) 35–54.
- [9] A. Gabrielov, N. Vorobjov, Complexity of computations with Pfaffian and Noetherian functions, Normal forms, bifurcations and finiteness problems in differential equations (eds Yu. Ilyashenko and C. Rousseau) Kluwer, Dordrecht (2004) 211–250.
- [10] D. Grigoriev, V. Podolskii, Complexity of tropical and min-plus linear prevarieties, Computational Complexity 24, 1 (2015) 31–64.
- [11] D. Grigoriev, V. Podolskii, Tropical effective primary and dual Nullstellensätze, Discr. Comput. Geom., 59 (2018) 507–552.

- [12] R. M. Hardt, Semi-algebraic local triviality in semi-algebraic mappings, *Amer. J. Math.* 102 (1980) 291–302.
- [13] A. Khovanskii, *Fewnomials*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1991).
- [14] D. Maclagan, B. Sturmfels, *Introduction to Tropical Geometry*, American Math. Society, 2015.
- [15] J. Milnor, On the Betti numbers of real varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (1964) 275–280.
- [16] V.V. Prasolov, *Elements of Combinatorial and Differential Topology*, American Math. Society, 2006.
- [17] J. Richter-Gebert, B. Sturmfels, T. Theobald, First steps in tropical geometry, In: G. Litvinov, V. Maslov (Eds.), *Idempotent Mathematics and Mathematical Physics (Proceedings Vienna 2003)*, Contemporary Mathematics, 377, American Math. Society (2005) 289–317.
- [18] R. Steffens, T. Theobald, Combinatorics and genus of tropical intersections and Ehrhart theory, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 24 (2010) 17–32.
- [19] T. Zaslavski, Facing up to arrangements: face-count formulas for partition of space by hyperplanes, *Mem. of AMS*, 154 (1975).

CNRS, MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, 59655, FRANCE
Email address: `dmitry.grigoryev@math.univ-lille1.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, ENGLAND, UK
Email address: `nnv@cs.bath.ac.uk`