

THEOREM 5.

$$(V_q^n) 1, 1) = g^{-n} \tau(\alpha - D) = m \{x; gx = x\}.$$

and, consequently, the representations V_q^n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and the regular representation together with their tensor products with one-dimensional representations realize all (see [13]) factor representations of the group $GL(\infty, F_q)$.

Thus, all the realizations of representations we have constructed are based on some (nonfree) action of the group itself in a measure space and its orbit partition. This distinguishes them from the direct GNS realization and from the mixed product realization, whose structure we have in any algebra that is locally semisimple or very nearly so (see [4]). Obviously, this is a general fact connected with the asymptotic coincidence of the classes of the induced and primary representations of the classical series (the law of large numbers).

Leningrad State University

Received 11/NOV/80

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Elmar Thoma, *Math. Z.* 85 (1964), 40.
2. ———, *Math. Z.* 119 (1971), 321.
3. Ole Bratteli, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 171 (1972), 195.
4. Șerban Strătilă and Dan Voiculescu, *Representations of AF-algebras and of the group $U(\infty)$* , Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 486, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
5. G. I. Oľšanškiĭ, *Funktsional. Anal. i Priložen.* 14 (1980), no. 3, 32; English transl. in *Funktsional. Anal. Appl.* 14 (1980).
6. A. M. Veršik, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 218 (1974), 749; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 15 (1974).
7. Dominique Foata, editor, *Combinatoire et représentation du groupe symétrique* (Actes Table Ronde du CNRS, Strasbourg, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 579, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
8. A. M. Veršik and S. V. Kerov, *Funktsional. Anal. i Priložen.* 15 (1981), no. 3 (to appear); English transl. in *Funktsional. Anal. Appl.* 15 (1981).
9. B. F. Logan and L. A. Shepp, *Advances in Math.* 26 (1977), 206.
10. A. M. Veršik and S. V. Kerov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 233 (1977), no. 6, 1024; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 18 (1977).
11. A. M. Veršik, I. M. Gel'fand and M. I. Graev, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 30 (1975), no. 6 (186), 3; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys* 30 (1975).
12. Dan Voiculescu, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 55 (1976), 1.
13. Hans Ludwig Skudlarek, *Math. Ann.* 233 (1976), 213.

Translated by B. ROIDER

**AN ANALOGUE OF THE BRUHAT DECOMPOSITION
 FOR THE CLOSURE OF THE CONE OF A CHEVALLEY GROUP
 OF THE CLASSICAL SERIES**

UDC 512.864

D. Ju. GRIGOR'EV

If \mathcal{L} , a simple Lie algebra over the infinite field F , is in one of the four classical series A, B, C or D and G is some Chevalley group considered as a group of automorphisms of \mathcal{L} , then by $c(G)$ we denote the subset $\{\lambda g; g \in G, 0 \neq \lambda \in F\}$ (cone without zero) of the algebra of F -linear operators of the additive group of \mathcal{L} . In the sequel, we will denote the closure of $c(G)$ in the Zariski topology by Γ . The principal results of this note are that an analogue of the Bruhat decomposition (Theorem 2) holds for Γ as well as an analogue of the Chevalley theorem on the structure of the closure of a double coset of a Borel subgroup (Corollary 1); cf. [1], §3.8. Originally these results were obtained for the series A and were used by the author as tools in the theory of complexity of computation (besides Theorem 2 and Corollaries 1 and 2, Proposition 1 played an important role there).

The following notation will be used: B denotes a Borel subgroup of G ; $c(B) = \{\lambda b; b \in B, 0 \neq \lambda \in F\}$; $\Gamma = \overline{c(B)}$; a bar over a subset of Γ denotes here, and elsewhere, its closure in the Zariski topology. W denotes the Weyl group of G ; $l(w)$ the length of an element $w \in W$, (cf. [2], Chapter VI, §1); m the size of the matrices under consideration in Γ ; E the identity matrix; S_m the permutation group on a set of m elements; and

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the permutation matrix with ones on the collateral diagonal. Given any nondegenerate bilinear form ψ on the vector space V , we denote by Q^* the adjoint operator of Q with respect to ψ ; $\text{spin}(a)$ is the spinor norm of the orthogonal matrix a ([4], Chapter 5, §5). K denotes a set of indices of basis vectors of the vector space V , where

$$K = \begin{cases} \{m, m-1, \dots, 1\} & \text{for series } A, \\ \{n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0, -1, \dots, -n\} & \text{for series } B, \\ \{n, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -n\} & \text{for series } C \text{ and } D. \end{cases}$$

σ_i denotes the transposition of coordinates i and $(i+1)$ for $i \neq -1$ and σ_{-1} the transposition of the coordinates 1 and -1 ; $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of the subset $I \subset K$, and $|I|^{+}$ the number of positive indices in I . By $a_{i,j}$ for a matrix a and $I, J \subset K$ we denote the $|I| \times |J|$ submatrix of a consisting of the matrix elements of a whose row indices are in I and whose column indices are in J .

Now we describe $c(G)$, G , W and its generators as a Coxeter group (see [2], Chapter IV, §1) in terms of standard matrix realizations (see [3], Chapter VIII, §1.3, and [5], §11) for

Although the rows and columns enter into the condition asymmetrically, it can be shown that this condition is equivalent to a certain symmetric condition.

THEOREM 2. For every $a \in \Gamma$ there is a unique $w_a \in W$ such that

- 1) $a \in Tw_a B \cap Bw_a T \subset Tw_a \Gamma$;
- 2) $w_a \leq w$ for every $w \in W$ such that $a \in TwT$.

One of the forms of the Bruhat decomposition is the following proposition: for every $a \in G$ there is a unique $w_a \in W$ such that $a \in Bw_a B$. Theorem 2 is an analogue of the proposition for Γ ; each element in Γ lies in some class TwT , w is not necessarily unique, but among such w there is a unique canonical element smaller than all the rest (in the sense of the partial ordering \leq).

If $a \in \Gamma$ is nonsingular then for some diagonal matrix $h \in T$ we have $ha \in G$, and the element $w_a = w_{ha}$ is found from the Bruhat decomposition.

The construction of w_a only remains for singular $a \in \Gamma$ (i.e. $aa^* = a^*a = 0$). By virtue of Lemma 1 it suffices to construct $r = r_{w_a}$.

Series A. Set $r(i, j) = \max\{i + j - m, r_a(i, j)\}$.

Series B and C. Set $r(i, j) = \max\{i + j - m + r_a^2(i, j), r_a(i, j)\}$.

Series D. Define the auxiliary function $\Delta r_a(i, j)$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, taking on the value zero or one. We put $\Delta r_a(i, j) = 1$ for any of the following cases (otherwise $\Delta r_a(i, j) = 0$):

- (I) if $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $r_a(n, n)$ is odd and

$$r_a(m-i, j) = r_a(i, j) = n-i, \quad r_a(i, m-j) = r_a(i, j) = n-j;$$

- (II) if $1 \leq j \leq n < i \leq m$, $r_a(i, m) - r_a(n, m) - r_a(i, n)$ is odd and

$$r_a(i, m) - r_a(m-i, n) = i-n, \quad r_a(i, m-j) = r_a(i, j) = n-j;$$

- (III) if $1 \leq i \leq n < j \leq m$, $r_a(m, j) - r_a(m, n) - r_a(n, j)$ is odd and

$$r_a(m, j) = r_a(m, m-j) = j-n, \quad r_a(m-i, j) = r_a(i, j) = n-i;$$

- (IV) if $n < i, j \leq m$ and $\Delta r_a(m-i, m-j) = 1$.

Finally, we define

$$r(i, j) = \max\{i + j - m + r_a^2(i, j) + (\Delta r_a)^2(i, j), r_a(i, j) + \Delta r_a(i, j)\}.$$

To check the hypotheses of Lemma 1 it is convenient to reformulate them in terms of the function $i + j - m - r_a(i, j)$ in the case of series A and the function χ_a (see above) for the series B, C and D. The proof of statement 1) in Theorem 2 is based on the above-mentioned description of T. Statement 2) of Theorem 2 is proved by using Theorem 1.

Now the analogue for Γ is reduced to the following theorem of Chevalley:

$$c/G(BwB) = \bigcup_{w \leq w} Bw'B,$$

where c/G means closure in G .

COROLLARY 1. $\overline{TwT} = \bigcup_{w \leq w} Tw'T$.

Theorem 2 also shows that it is possible to extend the function l to Γ by setting $l(a) = l(w_a)$.

COROLLARY 2. The function l is lower semicontinuous, i.e., for every set $Y \subseteq \Gamma$ and any $a \in \overline{Y}$ we have $l(a) \leq \max_{y \in Y} l(y)$.

The last result of this note relates to the standard matrix realizations of Chevalley groups (see above). The principal submatrices of a matrix $a \in \Gamma$ are those matrices of the form $a_{I,I}$ where $I \subset K$ satisfies $I = -I$ in the case of series B, C, D and, also, $0 \in I$ in the case of series B.

PROPOSITION 1. Let d be a principal submatrix of the matrix a , where $a, d \in G$. Then: 1) $l(d) \leq l(a)$ for series A, B and C. 2) For series D this inequality holds, in general, only for nonsingular d .

REMARK. The results of this article are valid for a finite field as well, if by Γ and T we mean their matrix realizations, as considered above, and in Theorem 2 we replace \overline{TwT} by $\overline{T_1 w T_1} \cap \Gamma$, where T_1 is the set T for the group $G \otimes \overline{F}$, defined over the algebraic closure of F .

The author acknowledges helpful conversations with B. B. Venkov and remarks of N. V. Ivanov, which resulted in an improved presentation.

Leningrad Branch

Steklov Institute of Mathematics
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received 14/NOV/80

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Robert Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale Univ., New Haven, Conn., 1967.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chaps. 4-6, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1337, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
3. ———, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chaps. 7-8, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1364, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
4. E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience, 1957.
5. R. W. Carter, *J. London Math. Soc.* 40 (1965), 193.

Translated by A. BROUGHTON