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#### Abstract

A differential polynomial $G$ is called a divisor of a differential polynomial $F$ if any solution of the differential equation $G=0$ is a solution of the equation $F=0$. We design an algorithm which for a class of quasi-linear partial differential polynomials of order $k+1$ finds its quasi-linear divisors of order $k$.
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## Introduction

The problem of factoring linear ordinary differential operators $L=T \circ Q$ was studied in [15]. Algorithms for this problem were designed in [8], [16] (in [8] a complexity bound better than for the algorithm from [15] was established). An algorithm is exhibited in [10] for factoring a partial linear differential operator in two variables with a separable symbol. In [9], an algorithm is constructed for finding all first-order factors of a partial linear differential operator in two variables. A generalization of factoring for $D$-modules (in other words, for systems of linear partial differential operators) was considered in [11, 17]. A particular case of factoring for $D$-modules is the Laplace problem [6, 19] (one can find a short exposition of the Laplace problem in [12]).

The meaning of factoring for search of solutions is that any solution of operator $Q$ is a solution of operator $L$, thus, factoring allows one to diminish the order of operators.

Much less is known for factoring non-linear (even ordinary) differential equations.
We note that our definition of divisors is in the frame of differential ideals [14], rather than the definition of factorization from [18, 4] being in terms of a composition of nonlinear ordinary differential polynomials. In [4], a decomposition algorithm is designed.

We consider partial differential polynomials viewing them as polynomials in independent variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and in derivatives

$$
\frac{d^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}} u}{d x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots d x_{n}^{i_{n}}}
$$

[14]. We study a class of quasi-linear differential polynomials in which the coefficients at all its highest derivatives, i. e., with the biggest value of the order $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}$, are constants.

We design an algorithm which for a given quasi-linear differential polynomial $F$ of order $k+1$ finds the algebraic variety of all its quasi-linear divisors $G$ of order $k$. Moreover, we show that in this case, $\operatorname{deg} G \leq \operatorname{deg} F$ (treating $F$ and $G$ as algebraic polynomials). This result generalizes [13] where an algorithm was designed for finding quasi-linear divisors for quasi-linear ordinary differential polynomials $F$ of order $k=2$.

In Section 1, we bound the degree of a divisor, and in Section 2, we describe the algorithm.

It would be interesting to find divisors of $F$ of arbitrary orders (rather than just of $k$ ) even in the case of ordinary differential equations. Also an extension to arbitrary differential polynomials (rather than quasi-linear) looks as a challenge.

Another issue to be studied is constructing a common multiple of a pair of partial differential polynomials, i. e., a differential polynomial whose solutions contain the solutions of both differential polynomials; for the case of quasi-linear ordinary differential polynomials, an algorithm was designed in [13].

## 1 Bound on a Degree of a Divisor

We study partial differential polynomials, i. e., polynomials of the form

$$
F\left(\ldots, \frac{d^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}} u}{d x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots d x_{n}^{i_{n}}}, \ldots, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

with coefficients over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ where the maximal value of $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}$ is denoted by ord $F$ (the order of $F$ ) [14]. We denote the differential ring of all partial differential polynomials by $D$.

Definition 1.1 A differential polynomial $G$ is a divisor of $F$ if any solution $u$ from the universal extension (see, e. g., p. 133 [14]) of the field of rational functions $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of equation $G=0$ is a solution of $F=0$ as well.

Due to the differential Nullstellensatz (see, e. g., Corollary 1 p. 148 [14]) a differential polynomial $G$ is a divisor of $F$ iff $F$ belongs to the radical differential ideal generated by $G$. We mention that a bound being in general not primitive-recursive, for the differential Nullstellensatz was established in [5].

We say that $F$ of order $k+1$ is quasi-linear if

$$
F=\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=k+1} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \cdot \frac{d^{k+1} u}{d x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots d x_{n}^{i_{n}}}+f
$$

where coefficients $a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and ord $f \leq k$.
In the present section we provide an algebraic criterion for a quasi-linear $G$ of order $k$ to be a divisor of $F$ and bound the degree of $G$.

For the sake of simplifying notations we will assume that there are just two independent variables $x, y$, i. e., $n=2$. Denote a quasi-linear differential polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k+1} a_{i} \cdot \frac{d^{k+1} u}{d x^{i} d y^{k+1-i}}+f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let a quasi-linear differential polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot \frac{d^{k} u}{d x^{i} d y^{k-i}}+g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a divisor of $F$ where ord $g \leq k-1$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{k} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Making a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear transformation of the independent variables $x, y$ one can assume w.l.o.g. that $b_{0}=1$.

Theorem 1.2 i) A quasi-linear differential polynomial $G$ of order $k$ is a divisor of $a$ quasi-linear differential polynomial $F$ of order $k+1$ (with $\operatorname{deg} F=d$ ) iff $G$ divides (as polynomials)

$$
\left(F-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}\right)^{d}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{ord}\left(F-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}\right) \leq k
$$

for suitable (unique) $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.
ii) In this case, $\operatorname{deg} G \leq \operatorname{deg} F$.

Introduce the highest order derivatives forms being homogeneous polynomials

$$
A:=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k+1} a_{i} \cdot v^{i} \cdot w^{k+1-i}, B:=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot v^{i} \cdot w^{k-i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[v, w]
$$

of the differential polynomials $F$ and $G$, respectively.
Lemma 1.3 If a quasi-linear differential polynomial $G$ with $\operatorname{ord} G=k$ is a divisor of a quasi-linear differential polynomial $F$ with ord $F=k+1$ then there exist unique $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\left(c_{1} \cdot v+c_{2} \cdot w\right) \cdot B=A$, in other words $B \mid A$. Moreover, in this case $\operatorname{ord}\left(F-c_{1}\right.$. $\left.\frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}\right) \leq k$.

Proof of Lemma. Due to the differential Nullstellensatz we have for suitable integer $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{m}=\sum_{q} H_{q} \cdot G_{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{q}$ are certain partial derivatives of $G$ and $H_{q} \in D$. Introduce variables $u_{i, j}$ for $\frac{d^{i+j} u}{d x^{i} d y^{j}}$ and making use repeatedly of relations $\frac{d u_{i, j}}{d x}=u_{i+1, j}, \frac{d u_{i, j}}{d y}=u_{i, j+1}$ we can consider (3) as an equality of polynomials in the variables $\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j}, x, y$. Let a derivative of $G$ of an order higher than 1 occur in (3) and denote by $s \geq 2$ the highest order of derivatives of $G$ occurring in (3).

Taking appropriate $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear combinations of the equations

$$
\frac{d^{s} G}{d x^{i} d y^{s-i}}=0,0 \leq i \leq s
$$

and considering their highest order derivatives one can express the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j, s+k-j}=\sum_{s<l \leq s+k} c_{l} \cdot u_{l, s+k-l}+g_{j}, 0 \leq j \leq s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable coefficients $c_{l} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and differential polynomials $g_{j}$ with ord $g_{j}<s+k$. Substituting expressions (4) into (3) we get rid of all the derivatives $G_{q}$ of $G$ of order $s$. Observe that these substitutions do not change the left-hand side of (3). After that substitute 0 in all $H_{q}$ for variables $u_{l . s+k-l}, s<l \leq s+k$ and for all variables $u_{i, j}$ with $i+j>s+k$, we obtain a formula similar to (3) with orders of derivatives $G_{q}$ of $G$ less than $s$ and with variables $u_{i, j}$ occurring in $G_{q}$ and $H_{q}$ satisfying $i+j<s+k$.

Continuing in this way, we get rid of all the variables $u_{i, j}$ in the right-hand side of (3) with $i+j>k+1$.

After that we employ formulae (4) with $s=1$ to achieve that the differential polynomial $F_{0}:=F-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}$ does not contain derivatives $u_{0, k+1}, u_{1, k}$ for suitable $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then (3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}^{m}=H^{(1)} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}+H^{(2)} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}+H^{(0)} \cdot G \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some differential polynomials $H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}, H^{(0)}$ of orders at most $k+1$. Now substitute formulae (4) with $s=1$ in formula (5), this results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}^{m}=H \cdot G \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for appropriate $H \in D$. Therefore, since $F_{0}$ contains derivatives of order $k+1$ with constant coefficients, all these coefficients vanish, thus, ord $F_{0} \leq k$, hence ord $H \leq k$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=f-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d g}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d g}{d y} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see (1), (2)) and $\left(c_{1} \cdot v+c_{2} \cdot w\right) \cdot B=A$. The Lemma is proved.
Proof of Theorem. Substitute formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g}{d x}=\sum_{i+j \leq k-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} \cdot u_{i+1, j}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} ; \quad \frac{d g}{d y}=\sum_{i+j \leq k-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} \cdot u_{i, j+1}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (7), and we substitute the obtained expression for $F_{0}$ in the left-hand side of (6), then we substitute in the resulting formula the expression for $u_{0, k}=-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}-g$ from (2). After the latter substitution, the right-hand side of (6) vanishes, and we deduce (taking into account (2)) the equality

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\left.f\right|_{\left(u_{0, k}=-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}-g\right)}-c_{1} \cdot\left(\sum_{i+j \leq k-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} \cdot u_{i+1, j}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right)+  \tag{9}\\
c_{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{0, k-1}}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} u_{i, k-i}+g\right)-\sum_{i+j \leq k-1,(i, j) \neq(0, k-1)} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} u_{i, j+1}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

One can rewrite

$$
\left.f\right|_{\left(u_{0, k}=-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}-g\right)}=\left.f\right|_{\left(u_{0, k}=-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}\right)}+h \cdot g
$$

for suitable $h \in D$. Therefore, (9) and (10) imply the following divisibility relation

$$
\begin{gather*}
g \left\lvert\,\left(\left.f\right|_{\left(u_{0, k}=-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}\right)}-c_{1} \cdot\left(\sum_{i+j \leq k-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} \cdot u_{i+1, j}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{11}\\
\left.c_{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{0, k-1}} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot u_{i, k-i}-\sum_{i+j \leq k-1,(i, j) \neq(0, k-1)} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{i, j}} \cdot u_{i, j+1}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote the polynomial in the variables $\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j}, x, y$ in the right-hand side of (11), (12) by $P$.

Our goal is to prove that $\operatorname{deg} g \leq \operatorname{deg} f$. Suppose the contrary. Then (11), (12) entail that $\operatorname{deg} P \leq \operatorname{deg} g$ (taking into account that $\operatorname{deg} f \leq \operatorname{deg} g$ by the supposition) and whence $P=c \cdot g$ for appropriate $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Consider a linear deglex ordering $\prec$ of monomials in $\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}_{i+j \leq k-1}, x, y$ in which $u_{i, j} \prec u_{l, s}$ when $i+j>l+s$ (the remaining requirements on the ordering do not matter). We observe that the highest (w.r.t. $\prec$ ) monomial in $g$ cannot occur in $P$ since $\operatorname{deg} f<\operatorname{deg} g$ by the supposition. This leads to a contradiction with the equality $P=c \cdot g$ which proves inequality $\operatorname{deg} g \leq \operatorname{deg} f$. Summarizing, we conclude Theorem 1.2 ii).

To prove Theorem 1.2 i ) in the direction when $G$ is a divisor of $F$ we apply Lemma 1.3 and note that one can take $m=d$ in (6) owing to Theorem 1.2 ii) because if $G \mid F_{0}^{m}$ for some $m$ then $G \mid F_{0}^{\operatorname{deg} G}$. To prove the converse we observe that $G \left\lvert\,\left(F_{0}-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d g}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d g}{d y}\right)^{d}\right.$ implies (3) (with $m=d$ ).

We present the following simple example just to illustrate the notations.
Example 1 Here we use the notations $u_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and so on.

$$
\begin{gathered}
G=u_{x}+u_{y}+g(x, y) ; \\
F=u_{x x}+5 u_{x y}+6 u_{y y}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}+H\left(x, y, u, u_{x}, u_{y}\right) \cdot\left(u_{x}+2 u_{y}+g\right) ; \\
c_{1}=1, c_{2}=3, A=v^{2}+5 v w+6 w^{2}, B=v+2 w ; \\
F_{0}=F-u_{x x}-2 u_{x y}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}-3\left(u_{x y}+2 u_{y y}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right)=H \cdot G .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2 Algorithm to Find the Algebraic Variety of All the Divisors

Now we proceed to an algorithm which for a quasi-linear $F \in D$ with ord $F=k+1, \operatorname{deg} F=$ $d$ yields the algebraic variety of all its divisors of order $k$ (let $k \geq 1$ ). Making a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear transformation of independent variables $x, y$ one can assume w.l.o.g. that the coefficient $a_{0}=1\left(\right.$ see (1)), this is compatible with the assumption $b_{0}=1$ due to Lemma 1.3.

First the algorithm factorizes the highest order derivatives form $A=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k+1} a_{i}$. $v^{i} \cdot w^{k+1-i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[v, w]$ (see Lemma 1.3), say with the help of [2], [7]. Pick one of its at most of $k+1$ factors with degree $k$ as a candidate for the highest order derivatives form $B=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} b_{i} \cdot v^{i} \cdot w^{k-i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[v, w]$ of a divisor $G$ of $F$. One can assume w.l.o.g. that $b_{0}=1$ (if $b_{0}=0$ we discard this candidate). Hence $\left(c_{1} \cdot v+c_{2} \cdot w\right) \cdot B=A$ for some $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (actually, $c_{2}=1$ since $a_{0}=b_{0}=1$ ).

Due to Theorem 1.2 ii) $\operatorname{deg} G \leq \operatorname{deg} F$, and we write a candidate for $G$ as a polynomial with indeterminate coefficients over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. In view of Theorem 1.2 i) one has to verify whether $G$ divides $\left(F-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}\right)^{d}$ employing (8) (cf. also (9), (10), (11), and (12)). For this goal we introduce $H$ (see (6)) with indeterminate coefficients over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and verify the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F-c_{1} \cdot \frac{d G}{d x}-c_{2} \cdot \frac{d G}{d y}\right)^{d}=H \cdot G \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a system of polynomial equations invoking the quantifier elimination algorithm from [3] (eliminating the indeterminate coefficients of $H$ ). The latter algorithm finds the irreducible components of the algebraic variety of all divisors $G$.

To estimate the complexity of the designed algorithm one has to specify how does the algorithm represent the coefficients of $F$ from $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. A customary way to this end is to represent them as elements from an appropriate finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (see e. g. [1, 2, 7, 3]). Denote by $L$ a bound on the bit-size of such a representation (say, in a particular case of rational numbers $p / q$ its bit-size is defined as $\left.\left\lceil\log _{2}(p+1)(q+1)\right\rceil\right)$.

Denote

$$
N_{0}:=\binom{k+n}{n}+n, N:=\binom{N_{0}+d^{2}}{d^{2}} .
$$

The complexity of the designed algorithm is majorated by the complexity of solving (13) which leads to the quantifier elimination for a system of polynomials in at most of $N$ indeterminates being the coefficients at the monomials of degrees $d$ for polynomial $G$ and of degrees $d^{2}-d$ for polynomial $H$ in $N_{0}$ variables $\left\{u_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}: i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n} \leq k\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. The degrees of these polynomials do not exceed $d$, and their number is bounded by $N$. The bit-sizes of the coefficients of these polynomials are less than $L+O(\log N)$. The complexity of the quantifier elimination algorithm [3] applied to this system does not exceed a polynomial in $L, d^{N^{2}}$. Summarizing and utilizing the notations introduced above, we conclude with

Theorem 2.1 There is an algorithm which for a given quasi-linear differential polynomial of an order $k+1$ produces the irreducible components of the algebraic variety of all its quasilinear divisors of order $k$. The complexity of the algorithm can be bounded by a polynomial in $L, d^{N^{2}}$.
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