## Introduction

A problem of testing membership to a semialgebraic set $\Sigma$ was considered by many authors (see, e.g., [B 83], [B 92], [BKL 92], [BL 92], [BLY 92], [MH 85], [GKV 94], [Y 92], [Y 93], [YR 80] and the references there). We consider a problem of testing membership to a convex polyhedron $P$ in $n$-dimensional space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let $P$ have $N$ facets of all the dimensions. In [MH 85] it was shown, in particular, that for this problem $O(\log N) n^{O(1)}$ upper bound is valid for the depth of linear decision trees, in [YR 80] a lower bound $\Omega(\log N)$ was obtained. A similar question was open for algebraic decision trees. In [GKV 94] we proved a lower bound $\Omega(\log N)$ for the depth of algebraic decision trees testing membership to $P$, provided that $N>(d n)^{\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)}$. In the present paper we weaken the latter assumption to $N>(d n)^{\Omega(n)}$. In this new form the bound looks plausible to be applicable to polyhedra given by $2^{O(n)}$ linear constraints (like in "knapsack" problem).

In [GV 94] the lower bound $\Omega(\sqrt{\log N})$ was proved for the Pfaffian computation tree model. This model uses at gates Pfaffian functions, the latter include all major elementary transcendental and algebraic functions.

Several topological methods were introduced for obtaining lower bounds for the complexity of testing membership to $\Sigma$ by linear decision trees, algebraic decision trees, algebraic computation trees (the definitions one can find in, e.g., [B 83]).

In [B 83] a lower bound $\Omega(\log C)$ was proved for the most powerful among the considered in this area computational models, namely algebraic computation trees, where $C$ is the number of connected components of $\Sigma$ or of the complement of $\Sigma$. After that, in [BLY 92], a lower bound $\Omega(\log \chi)$ for linear decision trees was proved, where $\chi$ is Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$, in [Y 92] this lower bound was extended to algebraic computation trees. A stronger lower bound $\Omega(\log B)$ was proved later in [BL 92], [B 92] for linear decision trees, where $B$ is the sum of Betti numbers of $\Sigma$ (obviously, $C, \chi \leq B$ ). In the recent paper [Y 94] the latter lower bound was extended to the algebraic decision trees.

Unfortunately, all the mentioned topological tools fail when $\Sigma$ is a convex polyhedron, because $B=1$ in this situation. The same is true for the method developed in [BLY 92] for linear decision trees, based on the minimal number of convex polyhedra onto which $\Sigma$ can be partitioned.

To handle the case of a convex polyhedron, we introduce in Sections 1, 3 another approach which differs drastically from [GKV 94]. Let $W$ be a semialgebraic set accepted by a branch of an algebraic decision tree. In Section 3 we make an "infinitesimal perturbation" of $W$ which transforms this set into a smooth hypersurface. Then we describe the semialgebraic subset of all the points of the hypersurface in which all its principal curvatures are "infinitely large" (the set $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ in Section 3 ). We also construct a more general set $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ (for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) of the points with infinitely large curvatures in the shifts of a fixed ( $n-i$ )-dimensional plane. Section 1 provides a short system of inequalities for determining $\mathcal{K}_{i}$. It is done by developing an explicit symbolic calculis for principal curvatures.

In Section 2 we introduce some necessary notions concerning infinitesimals and apply them to define the "standard part" $K_{i}=\operatorname{st}\left(\mathcal{K}_{i}\right) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We show (Corollary to Lemma 3 in Section 3) that to obtain the required bound for the number of $i$-facets $P_{i}$ of $P$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{i} \cap W\right)=i$ it is sufficient to estimate the number of facets $P_{i}$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{i} \cap\right.$
$\left.K_{i}\right)=i$. In Section 4 we reduce the latter bound to an estimate of the number of local maxima of a generic linear function $L$ on $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ with the help of a Whitney stratification of $K_{i}$. To estimate these local maxima we introduce in Section 5 another infinitesimal perturbation of $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ and obtain a new smooth hypersurface. At this point a difficulty arises due to the fact that $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ (and therefore, the related smooth hypersurface) are defined by systems of inequalities involving algebraic functions, rather than polynomials, because in the expressions for curvatures (in Section 1) square roots of polynomials appear. We represent the set of local maxima of $L$ on the smooth hypersurface by a formula of the first-order theory of real closed fields with merely existential quantifiers and quantifier-free part $\Phi$. We estimate in Section 5 (invoking [Mi 64] in a usual way) the number of the connected components of the semialgebraic set defined by $\Phi$.

In Section 6 we describe a particular class of polyhedra (dual to cyclic polyhedra [MS 71]) having large numbers of facets, for which Theorem 1 provides a nontrivial lower bound.

Now let us formulate precisely the main result. We consider algebraic decision trees of a fixed degree $d$ (see, e.g., [B 83], [Y 93]). Suppose that such a tree $T$, of the depth $k$, tests a membership to a convex polyhedron $P \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Denote by $N$ the number of facets of $P$ of all dimensions from zero to $n-1$. In this paper we agree that a facet is "open", i.e., does not contain facets of smaller dimensions.

## Theorem 1.

$$
k \geq \Omega(\log N)
$$

provided that $N \geq(d n)^{c n}$ for a suitable $c>0$.
Let us fix a branch of $T$ which returns "yes". Denote by $f_{i} \in \mathbf{R}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right], 1 \leq i \leq k$ the polynomials of degrees $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right) \leq d$, attached to the vertices of $T$ along the fixed branch. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the corresponding signs of polynomials along the branch are

$$
f_{1}=\cdots=f_{k_{1}}=0, f_{k_{1}+1}>0, \ldots, f_{k}>0
$$

Then the (accepted) semialgebraic set

$$
W=\left\{f_{1}=\cdots=f_{k_{1}}=0, f_{k_{1}+1}>0, \ldots, f_{k}>0\right\}
$$

lies in $P$.
Our main technical tool is the following theorem.
Theorem 2. The number of facets $P^{\prime}$ of $P$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(P^{\prime} \cap W\right)$ is bounded from above by $(k n d)^{O(n)}$.

Let us deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.
For each facet $P^{\prime}$ of $P$ there exists at least one branch of the tree $T$ with the output "yes" and having an accepted set $W_{1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{1} \cap P^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(P^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since there are at most $3^{k}$ different branches of $T$, the inequality

$$
N<3^{k}(k n d)^{O(n)}
$$

follows from Theorem 2. This inequality and the assumption $N>(d n)^{c n}$ (for a suitable c) imply $k \geq \Omega(\log N)$, which proves Theorem 1 .

Note that in the case $k_{1}=0$ for an open set $W$ and each facet $P^{\prime}$ of $P$ we have $P^{\prime} \cap W=\emptyset$. Thus in what follows we can suppose that $k_{1} \geq 1$.

## 1. Computer algebra for curvatures

Let a polynomial $F \in \mathbf{R}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(F)<d$. Assume that at a point $x \in\{F=0\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ the gradient $\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)=\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{n}}\right)(x) \neq 0$. Then, according to the implicit function theorem, the real algebraic variety $\{F=0\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a smooth hypersurface in a neighbourhood of $x$.

Fix a point $x \in\{F=0\}$. Consider a linear transformation $X \longrightarrow A X+x$, where $A$ is an arbitrary orthogonal matrix such that

$$
u_{1}=A e_{1}+x=\frac{\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)}{\left\|\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)\right\|}
$$

is the normalized gradient and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is the coordinate basis at the origin. Then the linear hull of vectors $u_{j}=A e_{j}+x, 2 \leq j \leq n$ is the tangent space $T_{x}$ to $\{F=0\}$ at $x$.

Denote by $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ the coordinate variables in the basis $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. By the implicit function theorem, there exists a smooth function $H\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)$ defined in a neighbourhood of $x$ on $T_{x}$ such that $\{F=0\}=\left\{U_{1}=H\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)\right\}$ in this neighbourhood.

Let $u_{1}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{n}\right)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}_{i_{0}} \neq 0$. Take any permutation $\pi_{i_{0}}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\pi_{i_{0}}(1)=i_{0}$. Denote $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{\pi_{i_{0}}(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{\pi_{i_{0}}(n)}\right)$ (thus $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$ ) and $\beta_{i}=$ $\sqrt{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}^{2}}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously $\beta_{i}>0$ and $\beta_{n}=1$.

As $A$ one can take the following product of $(n-1)$ orthogonal matrices:

$$
\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\frac{\beta_{n-k-1}}{\beta_{n-k}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{n-k}}{\beta_{n}-k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
-\frac{\alpha_{n-k}}{\beta_{n-k}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\beta_{n}-k-1}{\beta_{n-k}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(in $k$ th matrix of this product the element $\frac{\beta_{n-k-1}}{\beta_{n-k}}$ occurs at the positions $(1,1)$ and ( $n-$ $k, n-k)$ ).

Denote $\hat{F}\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=F\left(A^{T}\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)+x\right)$. Differentiating this function twice and taking into the account that $\hat{F}\left(H\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right), U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0$ in a neighbourhood of $x$ in $T_{x}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{F}}{\partial U_{1} \partial U_{j}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial U_{i}}+\frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial U_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial U_{i} \partial U_{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{F}}{\partial U_{i} \partial U_{j}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 \leq i, j \leq n$.
Since

$$
\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial U_{i}}\right|_{\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0}=0 \text { and }\left.\frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial U_{1}}\right|_{\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0}=\left\|\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)\right\| \neq 0
$$

evaluating the equality (1) at $x$ (i.e., substituting $\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0$ ) we obtain (cf. [Mi 64]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial U_{i} \partial U_{j}}\right)\right|_{\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0}=\left.\left(\left\|\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)\right\|\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{F}}{\partial U_{i} \partial U_{j}}\right)\right|_{\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the symmetric $(n-1) \times(n-1)$-matrix

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial U_{i} \partial U_{j}}\right)\right|_{\left(U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}\right)=0} .
$$

Its eigenvalues $\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ belong to $\mathbf{R}$ and are called the principal curvatures of the hypersurface $\{F=0\}$ at $x[$ Th 77].

Now we describe symbolically the set of all points $x$ with all principal curvatures greater than some parameter $\kappa$.

Denote by $\chi(Z)$ the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $\mathcal{H}$. The roots of $\chi$ are exactly $\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$. Due to Sturm theorem, every $\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ is greater than $\kappa$ if and only if $\chi_{l}(\kappa) \chi_{l+1}(\kappa)<0,0 \leq l \leq n-2$, where $\chi_{0}=\chi, \chi_{1}=\chi_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{2}, \ldots, \chi_{n-1}$ is the polynomial remainder sequence of $\chi_{0}, \chi_{1}$ [Lo 82]. Obviously $\operatorname{deg}_{Z}\left(\chi_{l}\right)=n-l-1$.

Observe that every element of the matrix $A$ can be represented as a fraction $\gamma_{1} / \gamma_{2}$ where

$$
\gamma_{2}=\beta_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots \beta_{n-1}^{\nu_{n-1}}\left\|\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)\right\|^{\nu}
$$

and $\gamma_{1}=\Gamma\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial in

$$
\beta_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}
$$

with $\Gamma \in \mathbf{R}\left[Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n-1}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$. Moreover, $\nu_{1}+\cdots+\nu_{n-1}+\nu \leq 2(n-1)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma) \leq d(n-1)$. Hence all elements of $A$ are algebraic functions in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of quadratic-irrational type. By the degree of such quadratic-irrational function we mean $\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma), \nu_{1}+\cdots+\nu_{n-1}+\nu\right\}$. In what follows we deal with algebraic functions in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of the similar type.

Formula (2) and Habicht's theorem [Lo 82] imply that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\chi_{l}\right) \leq(n d)^{O(1)}$.
We summarize a description of the set of all points with large principal curvatures in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Fix $1 \leq i_{0} \leq n$. The set of all points $x \in\{F=0\}$ such that $\operatorname{grad}_{x}(F)=$ $\left(\hat{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_{n}\right)$ has $\hat{\alpha}_{i_{0}} \neq 0$ and all principal curvatures of the hypersuface $\{F=0\}$ at $x$ are greater than $\kappa$ can be represented as $\left\{F=0, g_{1}>0, \ldots, g_{n}>0\right\}$. Here $g_{1}=\hat{\alpha}_{i_{0}}^{2}, \ldots, g_{s}$ are polynomials in $\kappa$ of degrees at most $2 n$ with coefficients being quadratic-irrational algebraic functions (see above) of degrees less than $(n d)^{O(1)}$.

Remark. Observe that a set given by a system of inequalities involving real algebraic functions is semialgebraic. Hence the set introduced in Lemma 1 is semialgebraic.

## 2. Computations with infinitesimals

The following digest on infinitesimals follows [GV 88].
Let $K$ be an arbitrary real closed field (see, e.g., [L 65]) and an element $\varepsilon$ be infinitesimal relative to elements of $K$. The latter means that for any positive element $a \in K$ inequalities $0<\varepsilon<a$ are valid in the ordered field $K(\varepsilon)$. Obviously, the element $\varepsilon$ is transcendental over $K$. For an ordered field $K^{\prime}$ we denote by $\tilde{K}^{\prime}$ its (unique up to isomorphism) real closure, preserving the order on $K^{\prime}$ [L 65].

Let us remind some other well-known statements concerning real closed fields. A Puiseux (formal power-fractional) series over $K$ is series of the kind

$$
b=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} \varepsilon^{\nu_{i} / \mu}
$$

where $0 \neq a_{i} \in K$ for all $i \geq 0$, integers $\nu_{0}<\nu_{1}<\ldots$ increase and the natural number $\mu \geq 1$. The field $K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)$ consisting of all Puiseux series (appended by zero) is real closed, hence $K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right) \supset \widetilde{K(\varepsilon)} \supset K(\varepsilon)$. Besides the field $K[\sqrt{-1}]\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)$ is algebraically closed.

If $\nu_{0}<0$, then the element $b \in K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)$ is infinitely large. If $\nu_{0}>0$, then $b$ is infinitesimal relative to elements of the field $K$. A vector $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in\left(K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)\right)^{n}$ is called $K$-finite if each coordinate $b_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ is not infinitely large relative to elements of $K$.

For any $K$-finite element $b \in K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)$ its standard part $\operatorname{st}(b)$ is definable, namely $\operatorname{st}(b)=a_{0}$ in the case $\nu_{0}=0$ and $\operatorname{st}(b)=0$ if $\nu_{0}>0$. For any $K$-finite vector $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in$ $\left(K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)\right)^{n}$ its standard part is defined by the equality

$$
\operatorname{st}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{st}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{st}\left(b_{n}\right)\right)
$$

For a set $\mathcal{W} \subset\left(K\left(\left(\varepsilon^{1 / \infty}\right)\right)\right)^{n}$ consisting of only $K$-finite vectors we define

$$
\operatorname{st}(\mathcal{W})=\{\operatorname{st}(w): w \in \mathcal{W}\}
$$

The following "transfer principle" is true [T 51]. If $K^{\prime}, K^{\prime \prime}$ are real closed fields with $K^{\prime} \subset K^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is a closed (without free variables) formula of the first order theory of the field $K^{\prime}$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is true over $K^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}$ is true over $K^{\prime \prime}$.

In the sequel we consider infinitesimals $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots$ such that $\varepsilon_{i+1}$ is infinitesimal relative to the real closure $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ of the field $\mathbf{R}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ for each $i \geq 0$. We assume that $\mathbf{R}_{0}=\mathbf{R}$.

For an $\mathbf{R}_{i}$-finite element $b \in \mathbf{R}_{i+1}$ its standard part (relative to $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ ) denote by $\operatorname{st}_{i}(b) \in$ $\mathbf{R}_{i}$. For any $b \in \mathbf{R}_{j}, j>i$ we define $s t_{i}(b)=\operatorname{st}_{i}\left(\mathrm{st}_{i+1}\left(\ldots \mathrm{st}_{j-1}(b) \ldots\right)\right.$. For a semialgebraic set $V \subset \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ defined by a certain formula $\Phi$ of the first order theory of the field $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ we define the completion $V^{\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)} \subset \mathbf{R}_{j}^{n}$ of $V$ as the semialgebraic set given in $\mathbf{R}_{j}^{n}$ by the same formula $\Phi$ (we say that $V^{\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)}$ is defined over $\mathbf{R}_{i}$ ). In a similar way one can define completions of polynomials and algebraic functions.

Lemma 2 (cf. Lemma 4a) in [GV 88]). Let $F$ be a smooth algebraic function defined on an open semialgebraic set $U \subset \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ and determined by a polynomial with coefficients from $\mathbf{R}_{i}$. Then $\varepsilon_{i+1}$ is not a critical value of $F$ (i.e., $\operatorname{grad}_{y}(F)$ does not vanish at any point $\left.y \in\left\{F=\varepsilon_{i+1}\right\} \cap U\right)$.

To prove Lemma 2 note that Sard's theorem [Hi 76] and the transfer principle imply the finiteness of the set of all critical values, moreover this set lies in $\mathbf{R}_{i}$.

## 3. Curved points

In what follows we assume w.l.o.g. that polyhedron $P$ is compact, a reduction of a general case to this one is described in Section 2 of [GKV 94].

For an $m$-plane $Q \subset \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ and a point $x \in \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ denote by $Q(x)$ the $m$-plane collinear to $Q$ and containing $x$.

Two planes $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ of arbitrary dimensions are called transversal if

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{1}(0) \cap Q_{2}(0)\right)=\max \left\{0, \operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{1}(0)\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{2}(0)\right)-n\right\}
$$

For every $0 \leq i<n$ choose an $(n-i)$-plane $\Pi_{n-i}$ (defined over $\mathbf{R}$ ) transversal to any facet of the polyhedron $P$.

Denote $f=f_{1}^{2}+\cdots+f_{k_{1}}^{2}$.
Fix $0 \leq i<n$ and denote by $\hat{f}$ the restriction of $f$ on $\Pi_{n-i}(x)$.
Definition. A point $y \in\left\{f=\varepsilon_{3}\right\}$ is called $i$-curved if $\operatorname{grad}_{y}\left(\hat{f}-\varepsilon_{3}\right) \neq 0$, all principal curvatures of the variety $\left\{\hat{f}=\varepsilon_{3}\right\} \subset \Pi_{n-i}(y)$ at $y$ are greater than $\varepsilon_{1}^{-1}$ and $f_{k_{1}+1}(y)>$ $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}(y)>\varepsilon_{1}$.

Remark. We fix an orthogonal basis in $\Pi_{n-i}(0)$ with coordinates belonging to $\mathbf{R}$. Then in Definition we consider curvatures in $\Pi_{n-i}(y)$ with respect to the basis obtained from the fixed one by the shift $Y \longrightarrow Y+y$.

One can consider this definition as a kind of "localization" of the key concept of an angle point from [GV 94].

Denote the set of all $i$-curved points by $\mathcal{K}_{i}$. Observe that $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ is semialgebraic due to the remark at the end of Section 1. Denote $K_{i}=\operatorname{st}_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{i}\right) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$, this set is also semialgebraic [RV 94].

Lemma 3. Let for an $i$-facet $P_{i}$ of $P$ the dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(W \cap P_{i}\right)=i$. Then $W \cap P_{i} \subset K_{i}$.
Corollary. If $\operatorname{dim}\left(W \cap P_{i}\right)=i$ then $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right)=i$.
This Corollary implies that in order to prove Theorem 2 it is sufficient to bound the number of $i$-facets $P_{i}$ for which $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right)=i$.

Lemma 4. For any smooth point $z \in K_{i}$ with the dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{z}\left(K_{i}\right) \geq i+1$ the tangent plane $T_{z}$ to $K_{i}$ at $z$ is not transversal to $\Pi_{n-i}$.

Remark. In the particular case $i=0$ Lemma 4 states that $K_{0}$ consists of a finite number of points.

## 4. Facets of $P$ and Whitney stratification of $K_{i}$

Denote by $B_{x}(r)$ the open ball in $\mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ centered at $x$ and of the radius $r$.
For a subset $E \subset \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ denote by $\operatorname{cl}(E)$ its closure in the topology with the base of all open balls. Denote by $\partial E$ the boundary

$$
\left\{y \in \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}: \text { for any } 0<r \in \mathbf{R}_{i} \emptyset \neq B_{y}(r) \cap E \neq B_{y}(r)\right\}
$$

Recall that $K_{i}$, as any semialgebraic set, admits a Whitney stratification (see, e.g., [GM 88]). Namely, $K_{i}$ can be represented as a disjoint union $K_{i}=\bigcup_{j} S_{j}$ of a finite number of semialgebraic sets, called strata, which are smooth manifolds and such that:
(1) (frontier condition) $S_{j_{1}} \cap \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{j_{2}}\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $S_{j_{1}} \subset \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{j_{2}}\right)$;
(2) (Whitney condition $A$ ) Let $S_{j_{1}} \subset \operatorname{cl}\left(S_{j_{2}}\right)$ and a sequence of points $x_{l} \in S_{j_{2}}$ tends to a point $y \in S_{j_{1}}$ when $l \longrightarrow \infty$. Assume that the sequence of tangent planes $T_{x_{l}}$ to $S_{j_{2}}$ at points $x_{l}$ tends to a certain plane $T$. Then $T_{y} \subset T$ where $T_{y}$ is a tangent plane to $S_{j_{1}}$ at $y$.

Lemma 5. Let for an $i$-facet $P_{i}$ of $P$ the dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right)=i$. Assume that $S_{j}$ is a stratum of $K_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(c l\left(S_{j}\right) \cap K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right)=i$. Then $S_{j} \subset P_{i}$.

Denote $g=f_{k_{1}} \cdots f_{k}$. Choose $0<\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying the following properties:
(a) $\mu$ is less than the absolute values of all critical values of the restrictions of $g$ on $i$-facets $P_{i}$ (note that Sard's theorem implies the finiteness of the number of all critical values, moreover they all belong to $\mathbf{R}$ );
(b) for any $P_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right)=i$ the dimension

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\{g=\mu\} \cap c l\left(S_{j}\right) \cap K_{i} \cap P_{i}\right) \leq i-2
$$

for every stratum $S_{j}$ which is not contained in $P_{i}$ (observe that due to Lemma 5 there exists at most finite number of $\mu$ violating this condition).

Fix $i$-facet $P_{i}$ for which $\operatorname{dim}\left(W \cap P_{i}\right)=i$. Denote $K_{i}^{\prime}=K_{i} \cap\{g=\mu\}$.
¿From the properties (a), (b) using Lemma 3 we deduce the following lemma.
Lemma 6. The variety

$$
K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}=\{g=\mu\} \cap\left\{f_{k_{1}}>0, \ldots, f_{k}>0\right\} \cap P_{i}
$$

is a nonempty smooth hypersurface in $P_{i}$. Moreover

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \backslash P_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}\right)\right) \leq i-2
$$

The next important step is the proof of the following lemma.
Lemma 7. The number of $i$-facets $P_{i}$ such that $K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}$ is a nonempty smooth hypersurface in $P_{i}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \backslash P_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}\right)\right) \leq i-2,
$$

does not exceed $(n k d){ }^{O(1)}$.
Theorem 2 immediately follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 . A sketch of a proof of Lemma 7 is given in the next section.

## Lemma 8.

$$
K_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{st}_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{i} \cap\left\{|g-\mu| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right) .
$$

## 5. Extremal points of a linear function on $K_{i}$

Take a generic linear function $L=\gamma_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{n} X_{n}$ with coefficients $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n} \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $P$ is compact the function $L$ attains its maximal value, say $\theta_{0}$, on $K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}$ at a certain point $v$. Denote by $V$ a connected component of $K_{i}^{\prime} \cap P_{i}$ which contains $v$. There exists $0<r \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $B_{v}(r) \cap K_{i}^{\prime}=B_{v}(r) \cap V$ due to the property (b) (see Section 4). Moreover, there exists $0<r_{1} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that the values of $L$ on the set $K_{i}^{\prime} \cap \partial B_{v}(r)$ are less than $\theta_{0}-r_{1}$. This implies, using Lemma 8 , the following lemma.

Lemma 9. $L$ attains its maximal value $\theta$ on the set

$$
\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathcal{K}_{i} \cap\left\{|g-\mu| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right) \cap B_{v}(r / 2)
$$

(at a point, say, $w$ ) and for a suitable $0<r_{1} \in \mathbf{R}$ the values of $L$ on the set

$$
c l\left(\mathcal{K}_{i} \cap\left\{|g-\mu| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right) \cap \partial B_{v}(r / 2)
$$

are less than $\theta-r_{1}$.
In particular, $L$ attains a local maximum on $\partial\left(\mathcal{K}_{i} \cap\left\{|g-\mu| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right)$ at $w$.
For a point $y$ let

$$
\operatorname{grad}_{y}\left(\hat{f}-\varepsilon_{3}\right)=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-i}\right)
$$

(cf. Definition). The set $\mathcal{K}_{i} \cap\left\{|g-\mu| \leq \varepsilon_{2}\right\}$ of the points $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ can be represented as a union of $n-i$ semialgebraic sets of the form

$$
U^{\left(i_{0}\right)}=\left\{f-\varepsilon_{3}=0, u_{i_{0}}^{2}>0, p_{1}>0, \ldots, p_{s}>0\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i_{0} \leq n-i
$$

for some algebraic functions $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ of the quadratic-irrational type introduced in Section 1, i.e., rational functions in $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ and in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{u_{i_{0}}^{2}}, \sqrt{u_{i_{0}}^{2}+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(2)}^{2}}, \cdots, \sqrt{u_{i_{0}}^{2}+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(2)}^{2}+\ldots+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(n-i)}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Lemma 1). Here $\pi_{i_{0}}$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-i\}$ such that $\pi_{i_{0}}(1)=i_{0}$ (cf. Section 1).

Denote

$$
q=\left(\varepsilon_{5}^{2}-\left(f-\varepsilon_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(u_{i_{0}}^{2}-\varepsilon_{4}\right)\left(p_{1}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) \cdots\left(p_{s}-\varepsilon_{4}\right) .
$$

Introduce the semialgebraic set

$$
\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}=\left\{q=\varepsilon_{6}\right\} \cap\left\{\varepsilon_{5}^{2}>\left(f-\varepsilon_{3}\right)^{2}, u_{i_{0}}^{2}>\varepsilon_{4}, p_{1}>\varepsilon_{4}, \ldots, p_{s}>\varepsilon_{4}\right\} .
$$

The next lemma follows from Lemmas 1, 4 in [GV 92].

## Lemma 10.

$$
\operatorname{st}_{3}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(U^{\left(i_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

Lemma 11. For an appropriate $1 \leq i_{0} \leq n-i$ the function $L$ attains its maximal value $\theta_{1}$ on the set $\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)} \cap B_{v}(r / 3)$ and for a certain $0<r_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ the values of $L$ on the set $\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)} \cap \partial B_{v}(r / 3)$ are less than $\theta_{1}-r_{2}$.

Lemma 11 follows from Lemmas 9 , 10. For a proof, take $1 \leq i_{0} \leq n-i$ such that the corresponding point $w$ (see Lemma 9) lies in $\operatorname{cl}\left(U^{\left(i_{0}\right)}\right)$.

Corollary The number of $i$-facets $P_{i}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 7 does not exceed the number of local maxima of $L$ on the set $\bigcup_{1 \leq i_{0} \leq n-i} \mathcal{U}^{(i)}$.

Observe that in the open semialgebraic set $\left\{u_{i_{0}}^{2}>0\right\}$ all the square roots (3) are positive. Therefore all algebraic functions $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ occuring in $U^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ are smooth, hence $q$ is smooth as well. Because of Lemma $2 \varepsilon_{6}$ is not a critical value of $q$ in the set $\left\{u_{i_{0}}^{2}>0\right\}$. Then the implicit function theorem implies the following lemma.

Lemma 12. $\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ is a smooth hypersurface.
Finally, let us prove the following lemma.
Lemma 13. The number $\nu$ of local maxima of $L$ on $\mathcal{U}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ does not exceed ( $\left.n k d\right)^{O(n)}$.
Together with Corollary to Lemma 11 this implies Lemma 7 (and hence Theorem 2).
Because of Lemma 12, $\nu$ does not exceed the number of connected components of the semialgebraic set

$$
M=\left\{0=q-\varepsilon_{6}=\gamma_{i} \frac{\partial q}{\partial X_{j}}-\gamma_{j} \frac{\partial q}{\partial X_{i}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} .
$$

Replace each occurrence of the square root

$$
\sqrt{u_{i_{0}}^{2}+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(2)}^{2}+\cdots+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(m)}^{2}},
$$

$1 \leq m \leq n-i$ in $q$ by a new variable $Z_{m}$. Denote the resulting rational function by $Q \in \mathbf{R}_{5}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}\right)$ (cf. Section 1).

Introduce the semialgebraic set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{0=Q-\varepsilon_{6}=\gamma_{i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_{j}}-\gamma_{j} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_{i}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right. \\
\left.Z_{m}>0, Z_{m}^{2}=u_{i_{0}}^{2}+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(2)}^{2}+\cdots+u_{\pi_{i_{0}}(m)}^{2}, 1 \leq m \leq n-i\right\} \subset \mathbf{R}_{6}^{n+m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the linear projection

$$
\rho: \mathbf{R}_{6}^{n+m} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{6}^{n}, \quad \rho\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}\right)=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) .
$$

Then $\rho(\mathcal{M})=M$. Hence the number of connected components of $M$ is less than or equal to the number of connected components of $\mathcal{M}$.

Observe that the degrees of rational functions occuring in $\mathcal{M}$ can be bounded from above by $(k n d)^{O(1)}$ due to Lemma 1. Therefore, the number of connected components of $\mathcal{M}$ does not exceed $(k n d)^{O(n)}$ by [Mi 64].

This completes the proof of Lemma 13 and thereby Theorems 2 and 1.

## 6. Lower bounds for concrete polyhedra

In this section we give an application of the lower bound from Theorem 1 to a concrete class of polyhedra. We follow the construction of cyclic polyhedra (see [MS 71]), used in the analysis of the simplex method.

Take any $m>\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ points in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of the form $\left(t_{j}, t_{j}^{2}, \ldots, t_{j}^{n}\right)$ for pairwise distinct $t_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Consider the convex hull of these points and denote by $P_{n, m} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ its dual polyhedron [MS 71]. Then $P_{n, m}$ has $m$ faces of the highest dimension $n-1$ and the number of faces of all dimensions

$$
N>\binom{m-[n / 2]}{[n / 2]}>m^{\Omega(n)}
$$

(see [MS 71]).
Therefore, Theorem 1 implies that the complexity of testing membership to $P_{n, m}$ is bounded by $\Omega(\log N)>\Omega(n \log m)$.

We would like to mention that Section 4 of [GKV 94] provides a weaker bound $\Omega(\log m)$ even for algebraic computation trees.
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