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#### Abstract

We consider a class of systems of differential equations with quadratic nonlinearities. This class describes important biochemical models. We show that systems of this class can realize any structurally stable dynamics. Given a low dimensional dynamics, we describe algorithms that allow to realize this dynamics by a large biochemical network. Some concrete biochemical examples are studied. Moreover, we show how a big system with random kinetic rates can simulate a number of low dimensional ones. The proposed method is applied on Calcium oscillations, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway and multistationary Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade system (MAPK) models from biochemistry.
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## 1. Introduction

Biochemical kinetic models can be represented as systems of ordinary differential equations with polynomial-often quadratic-nonlinearities. These polynomial nonlinearities appear as a result of the mass action law, and the corresponding models can involve hundreds of equations. Moreover, kinetic rate values, which are coefficients in these equations, are very often not known precisely. Therefore, the investigation of large time behavior and of the attractors of biochemical systems is a great challenge for biochemistry. Since one can expect that these systems are not robust (structurally stable), variations in kinetic rates can lead to dramatical effects. Note that direct numerical simulations do not allow to study all possible variants of large time dynamical behavior. Indeed, if a model includes 1000 differential equations and 2000 different kinetic coefficients, it is difficult to investigate all possible situations by an exhaustive search. An additional challenge is that kinetic rates of biochemical networks have multiple orders of magnitude. Signaling and metabolic reactions typically occur rapidly whereas regulatory events involved in cellular growth or cell differentiation can take hours. These multiple time-scales lead

[^0]to computational difficulties. Biochemical models are "stiff", i.e., they involve "fast" and "slow" reaction dynamics.

In this paper our goal is to state an algorithmic approach, which allows to obtain information about possible kinds of large time dynamical behavior without relying on primitive brute-force methods that do not scale to larger systems. In our approach the difficulties connected with "stiffness" become an advantage, which helps to find different reductions to low dimensional dynamics by algebraico-topological methods. The method (an algorithm) proposed here to describe different low dimensional reductions of dynamics of large metabolic networks uses the following tools: i) graph theory and algebraic methods; ii) theory of slow invariant manifolds; and iii) some special approximations.

Moreover, we shall show for an important class of systems of biochemical kinetics (let us denote this class by $\mathcal{C}$ ) that the dynamics of systems from $\mathcal{C}$ may be very complicated, even if nonlinearities involve only quadratic terms. For a larger class of quadratic systems such a result was established by M. D. Korzuchin [12], see also [19]. In particular, the Korzuchin theorem explains how the famous BelousovZhabotinsky reaction can appear (for an overview on this topic see [19]). We prove a theorem which shows that systems from this class $\mathcal{C}$ of large metabolic networks can exhibit a complicated dynamics. More precisely, this result asserts that such networks can approximate any prescribed dynamics with arbitrary given accuracy $\varepsilon>0$, when the reagent number $N$ is large enough and the kinetic rates are adjusted in a special way. This result extends the Korzuchin theorem on systems, which involve a smaller number of free parameters. We describe an algorithm of the realization of a given robust dynamics on infinite time intervals. We refer to our method, which is a purely algebraic, as "shock testing", since we make some kinetic rates small and other much larger. Morever, by the shock method we prove a theorem that even a fixed large quadratic kinetic system with $N \gg 1$ variables and random kinetic rates can simulate different systems with $n$ variables. In a sense, a random big system from our class $\mathcal{C}$ can simulate a number of low dimensional ones belonging to $\mathcal{C}$. An algorithm producing such simulations is simple and it can be easily performed by genetic regulation mechanisms.

## 2. Model

We consider the following systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=-x_{i} S_{i}(x)+R_{i}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)^{t r}, x_{i}$ are unknown reagent concentrations, and $R_{i}$ are polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(x)=\sum_{\mathbf{j}}^{N} R_{i \mathbf{j}} x^{\mathbf{j}}, \quad R_{i \mathbf{j}} \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{j}=\operatorname{col}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{N}\right)$ are multiindices, and $x^{\mathbf{j}}=x_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots x_{N}^{j_{N}}$. We suppose that the term $R_{i}$ does not involve a factor $x_{i}$, i.e., $j_{i}=0$. Notice that the fundamental theorem of chemical kinetics $[8,19]$ states that, if system (2.1) describes a chemical kinetics, then the coefficients $R_{i \mathbf{j}}$ must be non-negative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i \mathbf{j}} \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In real metabolic networks the terms $R_{i}$ are quadratic and $S_{i}$ are linear functions having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}(x)=k_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{i j} x_{j}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}>0, \quad K_{i j} \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.5) helps to obtain estimates for solutions. In the book [19] it is shown that this condition follows from fundamental ideas of chemical kinetics. We set the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=\phi . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.1) and (2.6) give us a Cauchy problem.
We say that the vector $x$ is positive if it lies in the positive cone $\mathbb{R}_{>}^{N}=\left\{x: x_{i}>0\right\}$. Let us show that the Cauchy problem $(2.1),(2.6)$ is well posed and that it defines a chemical kinetics.

Lemma 2.1. For positive $\phi$ the Cauchy problem (2.1), (2.6) has positive solutions $x(t)$ on some open interval $(0, T(\phi))$. These solutions are unique. Therefore, problem (2.1), (2.6) defines a local semiflow in the positive cone $\mathbb{R}_{>}^{N}$.

As an example, we consider a system of equations describing an interaction of a noncoding RNA with many mRNA's (see [21]). Some ncRNA's and especially miRNA's have many (up to a few hundreds) mRNA targets [1]. Direct experimental validations and reliable theoretical predictions of the targets are difficult problems. The kinetic models including association of ncRNA with two mRNA's and many mRNA's were analyzed in [18] and [21], respectively. In these works ncRNA's have been considered as global regulators. For $m$ distinct $m R N A$ 's the equations take the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=w_{i}-k_{i} N_{i}-r_{i} N_{i} N_{*},  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{d N_{*}}{d t}=w-k_{*} N_{*}-N_{*} \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} N_{i}, \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N_{i}$ and $N_{*}$ are the mRNA and ncRNA populations, $w_{i}$ and $u$ are the transcription rates, $k_{i}>0$ and $k_{*}>0$ are the degradation rate constants, and $r_{i}>0$ are association rate constants. Naturally, we are looking for positive solutions assuming that $N_{i}, N_{*}(0)>0$, since only such solutions have biological meaning.

This system was studied numerically [21]. In the dynamics of this relatively simple system oscillations do not appear. Indeed, it is easy to see that system (2.7), (2.8) defines a global dissipative strongly monotone semiflow in a box $\Pi \subset \mathbf{R}_{+}^{m+1}$, which follows from the condition $r_{i}>0$. So this system has convergent trajectories and to describe its large time behavior one has to find the equilibria only.

## 3. Decomposition

We seek for an integer $n \ll N$ and a decomposition of variables $x=(z, y)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^{N-n}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that in new variables $(z, y)$ the functions $S_{i}$ and $R_{i}$ take a special form. Let us denote by $\mathcal{K}_{z}$ the set of indices

$$
\mathcal{K}_{z}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{N-n}\right\}, \quad z_{l}=x_{i_{l}}
$$

corresponding to the $z$-subspace, respectively, corresponding to the $y$-subspace

$$
\mathcal{K}_{y}=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\}, \quad y_{l}=x_{j_{l}} .
$$

Assume that $S_{i}$ and $R_{j}$ can be represented in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(z, y)=\sum_{\mathbf{j}}^{N} \bar{R}_{i \mathbf{j}} y^{\mathbf{j}}=T_{i}(y), \quad i \in \mathcal{K}_{z} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{i}(z, y)=\sum_{\mathbf{j}}^{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^{N} \tilde{R}_{i \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}} y^{\mathbf{j}} z^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad i \in \mathcal{K}_{y},  \tag{3.3}\\
S_{i}(z, y)=m_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{i j} y_{j}=P_{i}(y), \quad i \in \mathcal{K}_{z}  \tag{3.4}\\
S_{i}(z, y)=\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{K}_{i j} y_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{N-n} \tilde{K}_{i j} z_{j} \quad i \in \mathcal{K}_{y} . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

One can suppose that $y$-variables are hubs in the networks, i.e., they participate in many reactions and mediate them whereas $z$-variables are "satellites". This structure is typical for many biochemical network systems $[15,20]$.

For quadratic systems (2.1) with linear $S_{i}$ of the form (2.4) and quadratic $R_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(x)=r_{i}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \bar{R}_{j} x_{j}+\sum_{k \neq i}^{N} \sum_{l \neq i}^{N} \bar{R}_{k l} x_{k} x_{l} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

this decomposition can be made by the vertex cover method (see below).

### 3.1. Systems with conservation laws

In many systems terms $R_{i}(x)$ do not contain constant terms $r_{i}$, but these systems have some linear conservation laws

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s j} e_{s j} x_{j}=E_{s}, \quad s=1, \ldots, N_{C L} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{C L}$ is the number of conservation laws.
One can suggest two variants to handle these systems (a method that we state below, requires non-zero $\left.r_{i}\right)$.
a) First we make a separation into fast and slow variables. If the linear conservation laws involve fast variables $z_{j}$ only, we choose some basis of linear independent variables $z_{j}$. Then in new variables our system reduces to a standard form, as above, with $r_{i}$ depending on $E_{s}$.
b) We first choose a basis of linear independent variables $x$, and then we proceed to a system decomposition on slow and fast components by a procedure connected with a vertex cover finding (see below).

## 4. Reduction to shortened system by slow manifolds

In this subsection, our goal is to reduce the dynamics of a large system to a shortened $y$-dynamics. Notice first that if the right hand sides of (2.1) can be transformed to the form (3.2)-(3.5), then we can simplify the task of finding equilibria (note that for a general large system (2.1) it is a formidable problem). Namely, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}=\frac{T_{i}(y)}{P_{i}(y)}=Z_{i}(y) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{i}$ and $P_{i}$ are defined by (3.2) and (3.4), respectively. After we substitute $Z_{i}$ in equations for $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} S_{i}(y, Z(y))=R_{i}(y, Z(y)) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduction of dynamics can be done under the following assumption:
Assumption (S). Assume that the kinetic coefficients involved in $y$-equations are small with respect to kinetic coefficients $k_{i}$ involved in $z$-equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{i}\left|\bar{k}_{i}\right|+\sup _{i, j}\left|\bar{K}_{i j}\right|+\sup _{i, j}\left|\tilde{K}_{i j}\right|<\kappa\left(m_{0}\right), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
m_{0}=\min _{j} m_{j} .
$$

Biologically this means that the dynamics of the $y$-variables is slow with respect to dynamics of the remaining $z$-variables.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose assumption (S) holds. Consider the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-y_{i} S_{i}(y, Z(y))+R_{i}(y, Z(y))=F_{i}(y) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that (4.4) defines a global semiflow in an open bounded connected domain $D \subset \mathcal{R}^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial D$.

For sufficiently small $\kappa$ there exists a locally invariant and locally attracting manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ of dimension $n$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}=Z_{i}(y)+\tilde{Z}_{i}(y, \kappa), \quad y \in D \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{Z}_{i}(\cdot, \kappa)\right|_{C_{1}(D)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \kappa \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dynamics of system (2.1) restricted to $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=F_{i}(y)+\tilde{F}_{i}(y, \kappa), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{F}_{i}(\cdot, \kappa)\right|_{C_{1}(D)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \kappa \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is standard and follows from Theorem 6.1.7 of [10].
Let us describe an algebraic procedure that allows us to construct more and more accurate approximations to the solutions of system (2.1). Let us write down this system in $(z, y)$ variables as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d z_{i}}{d t}=-z_{i} Z_{i}(y)+G_{i}(y)  \tag{4.9}\\
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=\kappa F_{i}(y, z), \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a small parameter. According to the slaving principle [7] we can represent solutions of this system in the following form

$$
z_{i}=z_{i}^{(0)}+\kappa z_{i}^{(1)}+\cdots,
$$

where the main term $z_{i}^{(0)}$ is defined by $z_{i}^{(0)}=G_{i}(y) Z_{i}(y)^{-1}$. For the first correction $z_{i}^{(1)}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{i}^{(1)}}{d t}=-z_{i}^{(1)} Z_{i}(y)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial y_{j}} F_{j}\left(y, z^{(0)}(y)\right), \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that implies

$$
z^{(1)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial y_{j}} F_{j}\left(y, z^{(0)}(y)\right)
$$

It is clear that this procedure can be continued and it gives us asymptotical series for the solution $z$.
This last lemma shows that, under some assumptions, the dynamics of a global network (2.1) can be reduced to $n$ equations. In the coming section we describe the method of a dynamics control for this shortened system.

## 5. Control of slow dynamics

The main idea beyond the mathematical construction of this control is as follows. We adjust coefficients that define an interaction between slow modes $y$ and fast modes $z$. The number of these coefficients is much bigger than the number of slow modes $n$. This fact allows us to apply some special approximations.

To simplify the further statement, let us consider the case when the polynomials $T_{i}$ are linear functions of $y$, i.e., $\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{i}\right)=1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}(y)=\tau_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{i j} y_{j}, \quad i \in \mathcal{K}_{z} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Z_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}(y)=\frac{\tau_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{i j} y_{j}}{m_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{i j} y_{j}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $i \in \mathcal{K}_{y}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}(y)=\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{K}_{i j} y_{j}+E_{i}(y, \tilde{K}, T, \tau) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}(y, \tilde{K}, T, \tau, m, M)=\sum_{j=1}^{N-n} \tilde{K}_{i j} Z_{j}(y) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the field $E(y)$ with components $E_{i}$ in the domain $D$. Besides $E$, we consider more general fields $G(y)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}(y, \tilde{K}, T, \tau, m, M, C)=E_{i}(y, \tilde{K}, T, \tau, m, M)+C_{i} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on constants $C_{i}$. These constants can have any signs. A possibility to control $E$ and thus $S$ by the parameters $\tilde{K}, T, \tau$ follows from the next assertion.

Lemma 5.1. Let us fix an integer $n>0$. Consider the set $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ of all vector fields $G(y)$ defined by (5.5) on a bounded open subdomain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for all possible values of $N$ and all possible positive values of the coefficients $\tau_{i}, \tilde{K}_{i j}, T_{i j}, m_{p}, M_{p l}, C_{i}$ where $i, l=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, N-n$ and $p=1, \ldots, N-n$. This set $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ is dense in the space of all smooth vector fields $F$ enabled by $C^{1}$-norm.
Proof. Step 1. The Fourier approximation and reduction to one dimensional case.
We can assume that $D \subset \Pi$, where $\Pi=[0,2 \pi]^{n}$ is a box. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ and a given smooth field $H(y)$ we can construct an approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}(y)=\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{N}^{n}} H_{i, \mathbf{m}}^{+} \cos (\mathbf{m} \cdot y)+H_{i, \mathbf{m}}^{-} \sin (\mathbf{m} \cdot y) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{i}-G_{i}\right|_{C^{1}(D)}<\varepsilon . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{m}$ is a multiindex, $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$, where $m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{M}$ is finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{m} \cdot y=$ $m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+m_{n} y_{n}$. Relations (5.4)- (5.7) show that it is sufficient to approximate fields $H$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}(y)=H_{i}\left(q_{\mathbf{m}}\right), \quad q_{\mathbf{m}}=\mathbf{m} \cdot y \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.2. For systems, which involve linear and quadratic terms only, we can use the following elementary trick instead the Fourier decomposition. We can present the term $y_{i} y_{j}$ with $i \neq j$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} y_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(y_{i}+y_{j}\right)^{2}-y_{i}^{2}-y_{j}^{2}\right) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. One-dimensional case.
The problem of approximation of fields (5.8) by $G_{i}$ can be formulated as follows. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and the smooth function $h(q)$ on some bounded interval $[0, \beta]$, to find coefficients $k_{j}, C, r_{i}, a_{j}, b_{j}, \tau_{j}>0$ such that the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(q)=C+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{0}} k_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}+b_{i} q}{r_{i}+a_{i} q} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

approximates the function $h$ on $[a, b]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(\cdot)-h(\cdot)|_{\left.C^{1}[0, \beta]\right)}<\varepsilon . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $b_{i}=a_{i}$. Then we can transform (5.10) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(q, \gamma, r, a, C^{\prime}\right)=C^{\prime}+\tilde{g}(q), \quad \tilde{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{0}} \frac{\gamma_{i}}{r_{i}+a_{i} q} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C^{\prime}=C+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{0}} k_{i}, \quad \gamma_{i}=k_{i}\left(\tau_{i}-r_{i}\right)
$$

There are possible different approaches resolving this approximation problem. We state two methods. The first approach uses the Weierstrass theorem and polynomial approximations, and the second one is a least square procedure.

Method 1. According to the Weierstrass theorem, linear combinations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(q)=C^{\prime}+\sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{k}(1+q)^{-k} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is an arbitrary integer and $c_{k}$ are arbitrary coefficients, are dense in the space of all smooth functions on $[0, \beta]$ in the $C^{1}$ norm.

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that functions $P_{k}(q)=(1+q)^{-k}$ can be approximated, with an arbitrary accuracy, by $g$ defined by (5.12). We can prove it by an inductive procedure. It is clear that this fact holds for $k=1$. Assume this assertion holds for $k=p$. Let us prove it for $k=p+1$. We use the estimate

$$
\left|(1+q)^{-p-1}-c_{p} h\left((1+q)^{-p}-(1+(q-h))^{-p}\right)\right|<C_{p} h
$$

that is valid on $[0, \beta]$ for appropriate constants $c_{p}$ and $C_{p}>0$. For small $h$ this estimate gives the needed approximation. Thus the lemma is proved.

Method 2. We can apply to this approximation problem the classical method of least squares. Let us introduce the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with the entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(a_{i}+q_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(a_{j}+q\right)^{-1} d q \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\left.\left.\left.A_{i j}=\left(a(i)-a(j)^{-1}\left(\log \left(a_{i}+1\right) / a_{i}\right)\right)-\log \left(a_{j}+1\right) / a_{j}\right)\right)\right)
$$

for $i \neq j$, and

$$
A_{i i}=a_{i}^{-1}-\left(a_{i}+1\right)^{-1}
$$

Let us define the vector $B=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(a_{i}+q\right)^{-1} h(q) d q \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve the linear algebraic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} X=B \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the solution $X$ gives the optimal approximation in the $L_{2}([0, \beta])$-norm.
Let us now consider the second term in the right hand side of (4.4). We assume that $R_{i}(y, z)$ are linear in $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(y, z)=\bar{r}_{i}+\sum_{l=1}^{N-n} \bar{R}_{i l} z_{l} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there holds a lemma analogous to the previous one. Let us consider the vector fields $J$ with components $J_{i}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}(y)=\bar{r}_{i}+\sum_{l=1}^{N-n} \bar{R}_{i l} Z_{l}(y) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.3. Let us fix an integer $n>0$. Consider the set $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ of all vector fields $J(y)$ defined by (5.18) on a bounded open subdomain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for all possible values of $N$ and all possible positive values of the coefficients $\bar{r}_{i}, \bar{R}_{i j}, T_{i j}, m_{p}, M_{p l}$ where $i, l=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, N-n$ and $p=1, \ldots, N-n$. This set $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ is dense in the space of all smooth vector fields $F$ on $D$ in the $C^{1}$-norm.

The proof is the same as for Lemma 5.1.

### 5.1. Theorem on the complexity of the dynamics

Consider the following class of systems of chemical kinetics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=-x_{i}\left(k_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{i j} x_{j}\right)+r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} R_{i j} x_{j} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, i=1, \ldots, N$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}>0, K_{i j} \geq 0, \quad r_{i}>0, \quad R_{i j} \geq 0, \quad R_{i i}=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We refer this class as $\mathcal{C}$. Under conditions (5.20) it is easy to show that the Cauchy problem for system (5.19) defines a global semiflow in the positive cone $\mathbb{R}^{N}>$. In fact, the solutions of system (5.19) can be estimated by

$$
\|x\| \leq C(r, k)+\|x(0)\| \exp \left(\lambda_{\min } t\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{\min }$ is the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix $T$ with entries $T_{i j}=-k_{i} \delta_{i j}+R_{i j}$. If $\lambda_{\min }<0$ then system (5.19) generates a dissipative semiflow because then the solutions are globally bounded as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ :

$$
x(t) \in D_{\delta}, \quad t>T_{0}(x(0), \delta),
$$

where

$$
D_{\delta}=\left\{x: 0<x_{i}<r_{i} / k_{i}+\delta\right\}
$$

and $\delta>0$ is a arbitrary positive number. Furthermore, we use the method of realization of vector fields [3] that implies the following assertion.

Consider vector fields $Q(y)$ defined on a balll $B^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q(y)|_{C^{1}\left(B^{n}\right)}<1, \quad Q(y) \cdot n(y)<0 \quad y \in \partial B^{n}, \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a outward normal vector at $y$ to the boundary $\partial B^{n}$. Therefore, $Q$ is directed inward $B^{n}$ on the boundary $\partial B^{n}$ and equation $d y / d t=Q(y)$ defines a global semiflow.

Theorem 5.4. Consider the family $S^{t}(P)$ of semiflows defined by system (5.19) where the parameters $P$ are the number $N$ and the non-negative coefficients $k_{i}, K_{i j}, r_{i}, R_{i j}$. Then this family generates dynamics, maximally complex in the following sense. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and a vector field $Q$ satisfying (5.21) there exists a choice of parameters $P$ such that
(i) the corresponding semiflow $S^{t}(P)$ has a n-dimensional locally invariant and locally attracting manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ defined by a $C^{1}$ smooth map $x=Z(y), y \in B^{n}$;
(ii) the semiflow $S^{t}(P)$ restricted to $\mathcal{Z}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=Q(y)+\tilde{Q}(y), \quad|\tilde{Q}(y)|_{C^{1}\left(B^{n}\right)}<\varepsilon . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dynamics defined by systems of class $\mathcal{C}$ can generate all possible (up to orbital topological equivalencies) structurally stable dynamics, in particular, all hyperbolic dynamics.

Proof. Estimate (5.22) immediately follows from lemmas 4.1, 5.1 and 5.3, under appropriate choice of $P$. The second assertion follows from the definition of structural stability and this estimate for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ [16]. The assertion on hyperbolic dynamics follows from the structural stability (persistence) of hyperbolic sets [16].

Let us make a brief comments in connection with fundamental Korzuchin's theorem [12]. This result asserts that any dynamics defined by a system of ordinary differential equations can be realized within a bounded time interval by quadratic systems of chemical kinetics including only monomolecular and bimolecular reactions. These systems are more complicated than systems (5.19) and have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i j} x_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} B_{i j l} x_{j} x_{l} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i j}, B_{i j l}$ satisfy some conditions of non-negativity [19]. Theorem 5.4 can be considered as an extension of the Korzuchin theorem on the narrower class $\mathcal{C}$ of models of chemical kinetics.

## 6. Checking existence of oscillations, bifurcations, and chaos

The method, described in the previous sections can meet difficulties for large $n$, since at the very beginning, we can obtain the number of the Fourier coefficients being exponential in $n$. In fact, the set $\mathcal{M}$ can contain an exponential number of multiindices $\mathbf{m}$. It is a typical effect first described by R. Bellman and called "curse of dimensionalit". How to check then-by a really feasible algorithm-that a large metabolic network can exhibit oscillations or, say, saddle-node bifurcations?

To avoid this curse we propose to use the fundamental idea of "normal forms". We reduce system (4.4) to a system of low dimension, which can exhibit these oscillation and bifurcation effects. For such smaller system the information on equilibria and bifurcations can be obtained by symbolic computations.

For example, we would like to check that a large network exhibits time oscillating solutions. Simple systems, which can demonstrate such a behavior, have the form [13]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=a_{0}+a_{1} y_{1}+a_{2} y_{2}+a_{11} y_{1}^{2}+a_{12} y_{1} y_{2}+a_{22} y^{2}+\kappa Y_{1}(y)  \tag{6.1}\\
& \frac{d y_{2}}{d t}=b_{0}+b_{1} y_{1}+b_{2} y_{2}+b_{11} y_{1}^{2}+b_{12} y_{1} y_{2}+b_{22} y_{2}^{2}+\kappa Y_{2}(y) \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, a_{i j}, b_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}$ are coefficients, $i, j=1,2, \kappa>0$ is a small parameter and $S_{i}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Y_{i}(\cdot)\right|_{C^{1}(D)} \leq C_{1}, \quad \kappa>0 \quad y \in D \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\kappa=0$ the time behaviour of system (6.1),(6.2) is well studied. These equations can exhibit an Andronov-Hopf bifurcation and limit cycles (for example, coexistence of 4 cycles) [13]. It is clear that
this system also exhibits the saddle-node bifurcations since the normal form of this bifurcation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=a_{0}-a_{11} y_{1}^{2}, \quad a_{11}>0  \tag{6.4}\\
& \frac{d y_{2}}{d t}=-b_{0} y_{2}+O\left(y_{2}^{2}\right), \quad b_{0}>0 \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This bifurcation occurs, when $a_{0}$ passes through 0 , whereas $b_{0}, a_{11}$ are fixed.
To obtain chaos, we can try to reduce (4.4) to the Lorenz system, which demonstrates complicated behavior, including global convergence of trajectories, Andronov-Hopf bifurcations, and chaos. In the next section we describe an algorithm to find reductions to systems like (6.1), (6.2).

### 6.1. Algorithm

Input is given by a quadratic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=-x_{i}\left(k_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{i j} x_{j}\right)+r_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} R_{i j} x_{j}, \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, N$, and $N$ can be large.
The goal of the algorithm is to reduce system (5.19) to a smaller system, which involves rational nonlinearities, and find equilibria and Andronov-Hopf bifurcations for this system.

Below we state the algorithm, which is purely algebraic and use symbolic algebra. The proof of theorem 5.4 also gives an algorithm, however, it uses numerical approximations.

Step 1: Vertex cover. We find a graph $(V, E)$, where substances $x_{i}$ are vertices, therefore, $V=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. The edge $(i, j) \in E$ if and only if the both $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ involved in a quadratic term in the right hand sides of the system (6.6).

The algorithm works if there is a vertex cover $\mathcal{C}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots i_{m}\right\}$ for $(V, E)$, which includes $m \geq n$ vertices and $m \ll N$ is not so large (we suppose that $m \in(1,50)$ ). The problem of finding a minimal vertex cover is a NP-complete problem (see $[2,5]$ ). However, there exists a simple greedy 2-approximation algorithm (see[2]), which finds a cover that contains $\leq 2 m^{*}$ vertices (if the best cover contains $m^{*}$ vertices). If such a vertex cover exists, we make a decomposition $x=(y, z)$ described and studied above. We denote

$$
y_{k}=x_{j_{k}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
$$

and $z_{l}$, where $l=1, \ldots, N-n$ will be all the rest of $x_{i}$ such that $i \neq i_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$. System (6.6) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{i}}{d t}=-z_{i}\left(\tilde{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{K}_{i j} y_{j}\right)+r_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{N-m} \tilde{R}_{i j} z_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{R}_{i j} y_{j} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, N-m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{j}}{d t}=-y_{i}\left(\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{M}_{i j} y_{j}+\sum_{l=1}^{N-m} S_{i j} z_{j}\right)+r_{i}+\sum_{l \neq j}^{N-m} T_{i j} z_{j}+\sum_{l \neq j}^{m} P_{i l} y_{l} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1, \ldots, m$. Now we express $z$ via $y$ using (6.7) assuming that $z_{i}$ are fast and $y_{j}$ are slow. We obtain $z_{i}=Z_{i}(y)$, where $Z_{i}$ are rational functions. If $\tilde{R}_{i j}=0$, we have simple formulas for $Z_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}(y)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{R}_{i j} y_{j}}{\tilde{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{K}_{i j} y_{j}} . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if we seek equilibria of (6.7), (6.8), then (6.9) is an exact relation. Substituting (6.9) into (6.8) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{j}}{d t}=-y_{i}\left(\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tilde{M}_{i j} y_{j}+\sum_{l=1}^{N-m} S_{i j} Z_{j}(y)+r_{i}+\sum_{l \neq j}^{N-m} T_{i j} Z_{j}(y)+\sum_{l \neq j}^{m} P_{i l} y_{l}\right. \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call these equations the vertex cover system. It is a reduction, which can be found in a $\operatorname{Poly}(N)$ running time by symbolic methods.

## Step 2

For example, we would like to check that the reduced system (6.6) exhibits an Andronov-Hopf bifurcation.
a) We seek an equilibrium $y_{e q}$ for the vertex cover system. Further, we use the Taylor series for the right hand sides at $y_{\text {eq }}$ setting

$$
w=y-y_{\mathrm{eq}} .
$$

Then the vertex cover system takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w_{j}}{d t}=\xi_{i}(S, T)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta_{i j}(S, T) w_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{l=1} \sum^{l} \phi_{i j l}(S, T) w_{j} w_{l} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{i j l}, \eta_{i j}$ and $\xi_{i}$ are linear functions of $S_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}, T_{i^{\prime} l^{\prime}}$.
b) By symbolic algebra [4], we can find a condition that system (6.10) admits an Andronov-Hopf bifurcation in an explicit symbol form via $\xi, \phi, \eta$, say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{11}<\phi_{2}+1.2 \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Then we substitute $\xi_{i}(S, T)$ and other expressions into (6.12) and obtain a condition for the existence of Andronov-Hopf bifurcations.

## 7. Why networks are large?

Numerical realizations show some interesting results. Let us consider realizations of quadratic vector fields. Then we can use identity (5.9) and the problem reduces to an approximation of quadratic polynomials $\mu(q)=c_{1} q+c_{2} q^{2}$ by sums $g(q)_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{0}} X_{i}\left(a_{i}+q\right)^{-1}$ on some interval $[0, \beta]$, where $\beta>0$. It is clear that without loss of generality one can set $\beta=1$. Since the approximation problem is linear, we can consider two cases: $\mu_{1}=q$ and $\mu_{2}=q^{2}$.

We have applied the method of least squares (the method 2). We set $a_{i}=i \bar{a}$, where $i=1, \ldots, N_{0}$ and $N_{0}=2,3,5$. By a program in MATLAB2009 we have estimated the following quantities:

$$
d_{0}=\sup _{q \in[0,1]}\left|\mu_{i}-g_{X}(q)\right|, \quad d_{1}=\sup _{q \in[0,1]}\left|\frac{d \mu_{i}}{d q}-g_{X}^{\prime}(q)\right|,
$$

and $|X|=\max \left|X_{i}\right|$. In these relations, $X=X\left(\bar{a}, N_{0}\right)$ are optimal vectors that can be found by the method of least squares by relations $(5.14),(5.15)$ and (5.16). The quantities $d_{i}$ give the approximation precisions and $\bar{X}$ gives an estimate of the maximum of the kinetic rates that involved in $(y, z)$ interaction (it is a force of the satellite action on the hubs). They depend on $\bar{a}$ and $N_{0}$. The number $N_{0}$ can be interpreted as a complexity of the network. The number $\bar{a}$ estimates the magnitude of some kinetic rates. These rates determine the action of a center on satellites.

We have found that one can obtain a good approximation for $\mu_{1}$ by $N_{0}=2,3$ and for $\mu_{2}$ by $N_{0}=3$. For $\mu_{1}=q$ and $\bar{a}=5, N_{0}=3$ it is found

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0} \approx 0.002, \quad d_{1} \approx 0.024, \quad \bar{X} \approx 1220 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\bar{a}=20, N_{0}=3$ it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0} \approx 10^{-5}, \quad d_{1} \approx 0.0015, \quad \bar{X} \approx 17000 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar results can be obtained for $\mu_{2}=q^{2}$. We observe therefore that the approximation precision increases when $\bar{a}$ grows but, on the other hand, then the kinetic rates increase. To see this dependence and obtain analytical results let us consider the case $\bar{a} \gg 1$. Some rough estimates based on method 2 then show (we omit technical details) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=O(\bar{a}) N_{0}^{-1 / 2}, \quad \bar{X}=O\left(\bar{a}^{3}\right) N_{0}^{-1} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, given a network of complexity $N_{0}$, in order to obtain a prescribed dynamics of a network, it is necessary to use either a strong action of the centers to the satellites, or from the satellites to the centers (or both interactions should be strong). Note that in real applications the kinetic rates are bounded by some constants and cannot become arbitrarily big. Therefore, the networks, which are flexible and show different kinds of dynamics, should be complex $\left(N_{0} \gg 1\right)$. Increasing $N_{0}$ (which a polynomial function of $N$, actually it is the connectivity of hubs, see [11]), we can conserve the same precision of approximation of a required dynamics having restricted kinetic rates.

## 8. Shock testing for random systems

Let us consider system (5.19) assuming that $N \gg 1$ and coefficients $K_{i j}$, and $R_{i j}$ are random. More precisely, let each $K_{i j}, R_{i j}$ and $r_{i}$ be distributed according to the normal laws $\mathcal{N}\left(b_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right) \mathcal{N}\left(b_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(b_{3}, \sigma_{3}^{2}\right)$, respectively, where $b_{i}, \sigma_{i}>0$. We assume that all $K_{i j}$ and $K_{k l}$ are independent if $(i, j) \neq(k, l)$, and the same holds for $R_{i j}$ and $r_{i}$. Moreover, all $K_{i j}, R_{k l}$ and $r_{i}$ are independent. Note that results of this section are valid for all other distribution laws, if the corresponding densities $\rho(x)$ are $C^{2}$-smooth and positive for $x \geq 0$.

Together with a large random system (5.19) let us consider a smaller system of the same structure, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{i}}{d t}=-u_{i}\left(\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{K}_{i j} u_{j}\right)+\bar{r}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{R}_{i j} u_{j} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. We assume that the dynamics generated by this system is dissipative, i.e., there is a compact absorbing set $D$ with open interior, which attracts all trajectories. Let us consider the realization of (8.1) by (5.19). This realization can be found as follows. We can call it "realization by shock decay", since we sharply increase some decay constants $k_{i}$.

We choose $n$ indices $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots i_{n}\right\} \subset I_{N}=\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Let us introduce $u_{k}=x_{i_{k}}$, where $k=1, \ldots, n$. So, we have a decomposition $I_{N}=S \cup F$, where $F$ is a set of indices corresponding to fast variables. Furthermore, let us take $k_{i}=a$ for all $i \neq i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots i_{n}$, where $a$ is a large enough and $k_{i}=\bar{k}_{i}$ otherwise. Then, by slow manifolds, we see that fast variables are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=a^{-1}\left(r_{i}+\sum_{j \in S} R_{i j} x_{j}\right)+a^{-2} \eta_{i}\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots ., x_{i_{n}}, a\right), \quad i \in F, \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{i}$ are smooth functions of slow variables bounded in $C^{1}(D)$-norm for each compact domain $D$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. We substitute these relations into eqs. (5.19) for slow components $x_{i}$ with $i \in S$. As a result, we obtain system (8.1) with small perturbations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u_{i}}{d t}=-u_{i}\left(\bar{k}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{K}_{i j} u_{j}\right)+\bar{r}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{R}_{i j} u_{j}+\phi_{i}(u, a), \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}_{k l}=K_{i_{k} i_{l}}, \quad \bar{R}_{k l}=R_{i_{k} i_{l}}, \quad \bar{k}_{l}=k_{i_{l}}, \quad \bar{r}_{l}=r_{i_{l}}, \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi_{i}(u, a)$ are smooth functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{i}(u, a)\right|_{C^{1}(D)}<c_{D}(N, n) a^{-1} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any compact domain $D$ and some constant $c_{D}(N, n)>0$. If $N \gg n$ then almost all systems (8.1) can be represented in such a way. Let us formulate a lemma.

Lemma 8.1. Under the above assumptions, the probability $P(N, n, \varepsilon)$ that system (5.19) realizes a given short system (8.1) with accuracy $\varepsilon$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(N, n, \varepsilon)>1-\left(1-p_{n}(\varepsilon)\right)^{m}, \quad p_{n}(\varepsilon)>C(n) \varepsilon^{2 n^{2}+n} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the integer closest to $N / n$.
Proof. We consider the following decompositions generated by the disjoint sets: $S_{1}=\{1, \ldots, n\}, S_{2}=$ $\{n+1, \ldots, n\}, \ldots, S_{m}=\{m n+1, \ldots, m n+n\}$. Let us denote the sets $M_{\bar{K}, \varepsilon}, M_{\bar{R}, \varepsilon}$ and $M_{\bar{r}, \varepsilon}$ of $n \times n$ matrices $X$ and the vectors $y$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\bar{K}, \varepsilon} & =\left\{X:\left|X_{k l}-\bar{K}_{k l}\right|<\varepsilon, k, l=1, \ldots, n\right\} \\
M_{\bar{R}, \varepsilon} & =\left\{X:\left|X_{k l}-\bar{R}_{k l}\right|<\varepsilon k, l=1, \ldots, n\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
M_{\bar{r}, \varepsilon}=\left\{y:\left|y_{k}-\bar{r}_{k}\right|<\varepsilon k=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Let us now consider the sets $T_{s}, s=1, \ldots, m$ of triples consisting of $n \times n$ submatrices $X$ of the matrix $K$, submatrices $Z$ of the matrix $R$ and subvectors $y$ of the vector $r$ defined by $X_{k l}=K_{i_{k} i_{l}}$, $Z_{k l}=K_{i_{k} i_{l}}$ and $y_{k}=r_{i_{k}}$, where $k \rightarrow i_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$ is a map of indices associated with the set $S_{s}$, where $s=1, \ldots, m$. For any $s$ the probability $P_{n}(\varepsilon, s)$ that the corresponding set $T_{s}$ intersects with $M_{\bar{K}, \varepsilon}$ does not depend on $N$ and it admits the estimate $P_{n}(\varepsilon, s)=1-p_{n}(\varepsilon)$, where $p_{n}>C(n) \varepsilon^{2 n^{2}+n}$. Since all submatrices and vectors are independent, we obtain (8.6).

Combining this lemma and Theorem 5.4, we obtain the following main result.
Theorem 8.2. Consider the family $S^{t}(P)$ of semiflows defined by system (5.19) with random independent parameters. Consider a vector field $Q(y)$ defined on a ball $B^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>}^{n}$ and satisfying assumptions of Theorem 5.4. For any $\varepsilon>0$ let us denote by $P(N, n, \varepsilon, Q)$ the probability that-as a result of some "realization by shock decay" for an appropriate variable choice - the corresponding semiflow $S^{t}(P)$ satisfies assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.4. Then this probability can be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(N, n, \varepsilon, Q)>1-\left(1-q_{n}(\varepsilon, Q)\right)^{m}, \quad q_{n}(\varepsilon, Q)>0 \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the integer closest to $N / n$.
This means that the dynamics defined by a large random system of class $\mathcal{C}$ can generate a number of possible structurally stable dynamics. Note, however, that it is difficult to give an explicit estimate for $q_{n}(\varepsilon, Q)$.

## 9. Application to real biochemical systems

We consider a small and two larger two biochemical systems important in applications.

### 9.1. Calcium oscillation model from [6]

### 9.1.1. Input System

This model explains the enzymatic transfer of calcium across cell membranes via CICR ("calcium induced by calcium release"). The model consists of 4 chemical species and 6 reactions (eqs. (9.1)-(9.6) below), where $S_{i}$ represent the chemical species and $x_{i}$ represent the corresponding concentrations, and $k_{i j}$ are the parameters (experimental rate constants):

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
0 & \xrightarrow{k_{21}} & S_{1}  \tag{9.1}\\
S_{1} & \xrightarrow[k_{12}]{k_{12}} & 0 \\
S_{1}+S_{2} & \xrightarrow[k_{43}]{k_{43}} & 2 S_{1} \\
S_{1}+S_{3} & \xrightarrow[k_{65}]{k_{65}} & S_{4} \\
S_{4} & \xrightarrow[k_{56}]{ } & S_{1}+S_{3} \\
S_{4} & \xrightarrow[k_{76}]{ } & S_{2}+S_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The resulting system of differential equations with quadratic nonlinearities is given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
d x_{1} / d t & =-k_{12} x_{1}+k_{21}+k_{43} x_{1} x_{2}+k_{56} x_{4}-k_{65} x_{1} x_{3}  \tag{9.7}\\
d x_{2} / d t & =-k_{43} x_{1} x_{2}+k_{76} x_{4}  \tag{9.8}\\
d x_{3} / d t & =k_{56} x_{4}-k_{65} x_{1} x_{3}+k_{76} x_{4}  \tag{9.9}\\
d x_{4} / d t & =-k_{56} x_{4}+k_{65} x_{1} x_{3}-k_{76} x_{4} \tag{9.10}
\end{align*}
$$

There is a conservation law in this model, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}+x_{4}=c_{1}, \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a constant. We now handle the conservation law as described in Sect. 3.1(b). We eliminate one variable (for example, $x_{3}$ ). Then system (9.7)-(9.10) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& d x_{1} / d t=k_{43} x_{1} x_{2}-k_{65} x_{1}\left(-x_{4}+c_{1}\right)-k_{12} x_{1}+k_{56} x_{4}+k_{21},  \tag{9.12}\\
& d x_{2} / d t=-k_{43} x_{1} x_{2}+k_{76} x_{4},  \tag{9.13}\\
& d x_{4} / d t=k_{65} x_{1}\left(-x_{4}+c_{1}\right)-k_{56} x_{4}-k_{76} x_{4} . \tag{9.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we implement the steps mentioned in Sect.6.1 for eqs. (9.12)-(9.14).

### 9.1.2. Step 1

A monomial in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is a product of form $x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ where all the exponents $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are non negative integers. The total degree of this monomial is the sum $a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}$. As the input system is quadratic the monomials have degrees $\leq 2$. The monomials of total degree 2 were used for the vertex cover computation. To separate the monomials the right hand side of the system was factorised into a symbolic matrix and a vector of monomials as shown below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
k_{21} & -c_{1} k_{65}-k_{12} & k_{56} & k_{43} \\
0 & 0 & k_{65} \\
0 & c_{1} k_{65} & -k_{56}-k_{76} & 0 \\
\hline k_{43} & 0 \\
-k_{65}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{9.15}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x_{1} \\
x_{4} \\
x_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{9.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

The vertex cover of the undirected graph is computed by an greedy algorithm. We use the decomposition

$$
y=\left\{x_{1}\right\}, \quad z=\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\} .
$$

Let us consider the subsystem for $z$ variables, i.e., equations for $d x_{2} / d t, d x_{4} / d t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x_{2} / d t=-k_{43} x_{1} x_{2}+k_{76} x_{4} \\
& d x_{4} / d t=k_{65} x_{1}\left(-x_{4}+c_{1}\right)-k_{56} x_{4}-k_{76} x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $d x_{2} / d t, d x_{4} / d t=0$, resolve these equations with respect to $x_{2}, x_{4}$ and find an equilibrum $x_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{4}\left(x_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{x_{2}=\frac{c_{1} k_{65} k_{76}}{k_{43}\left(k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}\right)}, x_{4}=\frac{k_{65} x_{1} c_{1}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}}\right\} .
$$

The vertex cover system is constructed as above for eq. (6.10), i.e., by substituting eq. (9.1.2) in eqs. (9.12)-(9.14):

$$
\begin{aligned}
d x_{1} / d t= & \frac{k_{76} k_{65} x_{1} c_{1}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}}-k_{65} x_{1}\left(-\frac{k_{65} x_{1} c_{1}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}}+c_{1}\right)-k_{12} x_{1} \\
& \quad+\frac{k_{56} x_{1} c_{1} k_{65}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}}+k_{21}, \\
d x_{2} / d t= & 0, \\
d x_{4} / d t= & k_{65} x_{1}\left(-\frac{k_{65} x_{1} c_{1}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}}+c_{1}\right)-\frac{k_{56} x_{1} c_{1} k_{65}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}} \\
& \quad-\frac{k_{76} k_{65} x_{1} c_{1}}{k_{65} x_{1}+k_{56}+k_{76}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.1.3. Step 2

Solving the vertex cover system for equilibrium with respect to $y$ variables, finally we obtain an equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{1}=\frac{k_{21}}{k_{12}}\right\} . \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.2. Model of ERK activation

### 9.2.1. Input System

This model investigates the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway by angiotensin II type 1A receptor. This signaling pathway is involved in cell surface receptors and hence is regarded as a drug target. Therefore, understanding such a pathway mechanism is a key problem. The model presented in [9] consists of 18 chemical species and 26 chemical reactions. The model represented in Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML) can be obtained from http://www.nature.com/msb/journal/v8/ $\mathrm{n} 1 /$ suppinfo/msb201222_S1.html\#msb201222-s1. The corresponding system of differential equations
from the SBML was computed using the PoCab system environment [17]. This model has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
d x_{1} / d t & =-k_{10} x_{1}-k_{18} x_{1}-k_{19} x_{11} x_{1}+k_{15} x_{14}+k_{16} x_{15}+k_{17} x_{16}+k_{23} x_{17} \\
d x_{2} / d t & =-k_{1} x_{2} x_{13}-k_{2} x_{2} x_{1}-k_{0} x_{2}+k_{6} x_{12} \\
d x_{3} / d t & =-k_{5} x_{3} x_{7}-k_{21} x_{3} x_{17}-k_{22} x_{3} x_{18}+k_{9} x_{8}+k_{25} x_{9} \\
d x_{4} / d t & =-k_{3} x_{12} x_{4}+k_{7} x_{5} \\
d x_{5} / d t & =k_{3} x_{12} x_{4}-k_{7} x_{5} \\
d x_{6} / d t & =-k_{4} x_{5} x_{6}+k_{8} x_{7} \\
d x_{7} / d t & =k_{4} x_{5} x_{6}-k_{8} x_{7} \\
d x_{8} / d t & =k_{5} x_{3} x_{7}-k_{9} x_{8} \\
d x_{9} / d t & =k_{21} x_{3} x_{17}+k_{22} x_{3} x_{18}-k_{25} x_{9} \\
d x_{10} / d t & =-k_{11} x_{10} x_{13}+k_{13} x_{14}+k_{15} x_{14} \\
d x_{11} / d t & =-k_{12} x_{11} x_{13}-k_{19} x_{11} x_{1}-k_{20} x_{11} x_{16} \\
& \quad+k_{14} x_{15}+k_{16} x_{15}+k_{23} x_{17}+k_{24} x_{18} \\
d x_{12} / d t & =k_{1} x_{2} x_{13}+k_{2} x_{2} x_{1}+k_{0} x_{2}-k_{6} x_{12} \\
d x_{13} / d t & =k_{10} x_{1}-k_{11} x_{10} x_{13}-k_{12} x_{11} x_{13}+k_{13} x_{14}+k_{14} x_{15} \\
d x_{14} / d t & =k_{11} x_{10} x_{13}-k_{13} x_{14}-k_{15} x_{14} \\
d x_{15} / d t & =k_{12} x_{11} x_{13}-k_{14} x_{15}-k_{16} x_{15} \\
d x_{16} / d t & =k_{18} x_{1}-k_{20} x_{11} x_{16}-k_{17} x_{16}+k_{24} x_{18} \\
d x_{17} / d t & =k_{19} x_{11} x_{1}-k_{23} x_{17} \\
d x_{18} / d t & =k_{20} x_{11} x_{16}-k_{24} x_{18}
\end{aligned}
$$

The model has 7 conservation laws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2}+x_{12}=C_{1}, \quad x_{1}+x_{13}+x_{14}+x_{15}+x_{17}+x_{16}+x_{18} & =C_{2} \\
x_{4}+x_{5}=C_{3}, \quad x_{6}+x_{7}=C_{4}, \quad x_{10}+x_{14} & =C_{5} \\
x_{11}+x_{15}+x_{17}+x_{18}=C_{6}, \quad x_{3}+x_{9}+x_{8} & =C_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

The conservations laws were taken into account and the pre-processing step was done analogously to the previous example. The variables that were eliminated are $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{6}, x_{10}, x_{11}$. Therefore, the input system becomes a 11 dimensional model.

### 9.2.2. Step 1 and Step 2

The vertex cover from the 11 dimensional model is computed as in the previous example and variables are decomposed into $y$ and $z$ variables as shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=\left\{x_{7}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{15}, x_{17}, x_{18}\right\} \\
& z=\left\{x_{5}, x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{14}, x_{16}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $z$ sub-system consists of equations for $x_{5}, x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{14}, x_{16}$ and these equations are solved with respect to $z$ variables. The resulting rational functions as well as the vertex cover system is constructed similarly to the previous example. We fail to solve the vertex cover system with respect to $y$ variables in symbolic form using the computer algebra system Maple, since its solver didn't find solutions in 2000 seconds of computation time. To overcome this difficulty, we have used the numeric values of parameters and conservation law constants from [9]. Finally, the following single equilibrium is obtained:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{x_{7}=0.04184, x_{12}=0.01184, x_{13}=0.00022, x_{15}=0.00270\right. \\
\left.x_{17}=0.0000091, x_{18}=0.00189\right\}
\end{array}
$$

### 9.3. Model of MAPK cascade

### 9.3.1. Input System

We consider the polynomial equation system of single layer Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade system (MAPK) from [22]. The model is a well studied biological network that displays the property of multistationarity i.e. more than one steady state solution for fixed parameters and conservation constants. Such properties are also well documented in cell cycle and signal transduction networks in biology. Below, we show that the multistationarity of given nine dimensional MAPK cascade system is preserved in the vertex cover system with a dimension of just two.

The input system is given below

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x_{1} / d t=-k_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+k_{12} x_{9}+k_{2} x_{3} \\
& d x_{2} / d t=-k_{1} x_{1} x_{2}-k_{4} x_{2} x_{4}+k_{2} x_{3}+k_{3} x_{3}+k_{5} x_{5}+k_{6} x_{5} \\
& d x_{3} / d t=k_{1} x_{1} x_{2}-k_{2} x_{3}-k_{3} x_{3} \\
& d x_{4} / d t=-k_{10} x_{4} x_{7}-k_{4} x_{2} x_{4}+k_{11} x_{9}+k_{3} x_{3}+k_{5} x_{5}+k_{9} x_{8} \\
& d x_{5} / d t=k_{4} x_{2} x_{4}-k_{5} x_{5}-k_{6} x_{5} \\
& d x_{6} / d t=-k_{7} x_{6} x_{7}+k_{6} x_{5}+k_{8} x_{8} \\
& d x_{7} / d t=-k_{10} x_{4} x_{7}-k_{7} x_{6} x_{7}+k_{11} x_{9}+k_{12} x_{9}+k_{8} x_{8}+k_{9} x_{8} \\
& d x_{8} / d t=k_{7} x_{6} x_{7}-k_{8} x_{8}-k_{9} x_{8} \\
& d x_{9} / d t=k_{10} x_{4} x_{7}-k_{11} x_{9}-k_{12} x_{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

The model has three conservation laws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{5} & =C_{1} \\
x_{7}+x_{8}+x_{9} & =C_{2} \\
x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}+x_{8}+x_{9} & =C_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.3.2. Step 1 and Step 2

The conservation laws were handled as per Sect. 3.1(a). The vertex cover from the 9 dimensional model is computed as in the previous example and variables are decomposed into $y$ and $z$ variables as shown below

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=\left\{x_{2}, x_{7}\right\} \\
& z=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}, x_{9}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $z$ sub-system consists of equations for $x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}, x_{9}$ and these equations are solved with respect to $z$ variables along with the conservation law for $z$ sub-system (i.e., $x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}+$ $x_{8}+x_{9}-C_{3}$ ). The resulting rational functions as well as the vertex cover system is constructed similar to the previous example. We could solve for the symbolic solution using Maple resulting in five solutions (the expressions are not presented due to space constraints). The solutions converted to float using the parameters values and conservation constants obtained from [22] are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=0.4118, \quad x_{7}=0.0097 \\
& x_{2}=0.4260, \quad x_{7}=0.0136 \\
& x_{2}=1.1584, \quad x_{7}=0.7460 \\
& x_{2}=-3.1805, \quad x_{7}=-9.6338 \\
& x_{2}=32.0989, \quad x_{7}=-16.0470
\end{aligned}
$$

The vertex cover system has 3 positive biologically interesting solutions consistent with experimental findings of multistationarity in the original MAPK cascade system.

## 10. Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we have considered a class of systems of differential equations with quadratic nonlinearities modelling biochemical networks. We have presented algorithms, which allow to describe low dimensional reductions of dynamics of these systems. These algorithms need no numerical integration of stiff systems and include the following ingredients: i) algebraic methods to find so-called vertex covers; ii) the theory of slow invariant manifolds; and iii) some special approximations. Moreover, we have shown, for some important class of systems of biochemical kinetics, that the large time behavior of a large network may be very complicated. In a sense, any given dynamics can be realized, within arbitrarily small precision, by a sufficiently large network. The second theorem asserts that even a fixed large quadratic kinetic system with $N \gg 1$ variables and random kinetic rates can simulate different smaller systems with $n \ll N$ variables. In a sense, a random big system from our class can simulate a number of low dimensional ones belonging to the same class. This set of low dimensional dynamics can correspond to adaptive reactions of the organism. An algorithm producing such simulations is simple and it can be easily performed by gene control. Namely, this gene control can modify some kinetic rate coefficients describing reagent decays. This increase of the decay rates turns off the corresponding reagents. The rest reagents perform a needed low dimensional dynamics.

As it was noticed in a famous textbook on biochemistry [14], the metabolisms of mammals and mushrooms are wonderfully similar. Even the number of reagents involved in the human and the yeast metabolisms do not differ very dramatically and have the same order. The results of this paper possibly shed a light on this wonderful phenomenon. In fact, one can assume that evolution could use any random metabolic network. According to our results, this network can perform any dynamical adaptive answer under appropriate gene control.
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