< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Computing vertex-surjective homomorphisms to partially reflexive trees

#### Petr A. Golovach, Daniël Paulusma and Jian Song

School of Engineering and Computing Sciences, Durham University

The 6th International Computer Science Symposium in Russia June 14 – 18, 2011, St. Petersburg, Russia

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Outline

#### Introduction

- Basic definitions
- Our results

#### **2** Classification of computational complexity

- Polynomial cases
- Hardness



## Homomorphisms

#### **Definition (Homomorphisms)**

A homomorphism from a graph G to a graph H is a mapping  $f: V_G \to V_H$  that maps adjacent vertices of G to adjacent vertices of H, i.e.,  $f(u)f(v) \in E_H$  whenever  $uv \in E_G$ .

## Homomorphisms

#### **Definition (Homomorphisms)**

A homomorphism from a graph G to a graph H is a mapping  $f: V_G \to V_H$  that maps adjacent vertices of G to adjacent vertices of H, i.e.,  $f(u)f(v) \in E_H$  whenever  $uv \in E_G$ .

#### Problem (*H*-Coloring)

The problem H-COLORING tests whether a given graph G allows a homomorphism to a graph H called the target.

## Homomorphisms

#### **Definition (Homomorphisms)**

A homomorphism from a graph G to a graph H is a mapping  $f: V_G \to V_H$  that maps adjacent vertices of G to adjacent vertices of H, i.e.,  $f(u)f(v) \in E_H$  whenever  $uv \in E_G$ .

#### Problem (H-Coloring)

The problem H-COLORING tests whether a given graph G allows a homomorphism to a graph H called the target.

#### Theorem (Hell-Nešetřil dichotomy theorem, 1990)

The H-COLORING problem is solvable in polynomial time if H is bipartite, and NP-complete otherwise.

Classification of computational complexity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

## Surjective homomorphisms

#### Definition (Surjective homomorphisms)

A homomorphism f from a *irreflexive* graph G to a *partially reflexive* graph H is *surjective* if for each  $x \in V_H$  there exists at least one vertex  $u \in V_G$  with f(u) = x.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Surjective homomorphisms

#### Definition (Surjective homomorphisms)

A homomorphism f from a *irreflexive* graph G to a *partially reflexive* graph H is *surjective* if for each  $x \in V_H$  there exists at least one vertex  $u \in V_G$  with f(u) = x.

#### Definition (Partially reflexive graphs)

We say that a vertex incident to a self-loop is *reflexive*, whereas vertices with no self-loop are said to be *irreflexive*. A graph that contains zero or more reflexive vertices is called *partially reflexive*. In particular, a graph is *reflexive* if all its vertices are reflexive, and a graph is *irreflexive* if all its vertices are irreflexive.

## Surjective homomorphisms

#### Definition (Surjective homomorphisms)

A homomorphism f from a *irreflexive* graph G to a *partially reflexive* graph H is *surjective* if for each  $x \in V_H$  there exists at least one vertex  $u \in V_G$  with f(u) = x.

#### Definition (Partially reflexive graphs)

We say that a vertex incident to a self-loop is *reflexive*, whereas vertices with no self-loop are said to be *irreflexive*. A graph that contains zero or more reflexive vertices is called *partially reflexive*. In particular, a graph is *reflexive* if all its vertices are reflexive, and a graph is *irreflexive* if all its vertices are irreflexive.

#### Problem (Surjective *H*-Coloring)

The problem SURJECTIVE H-COLORING tests whether a given graph G allows a surjective homomorphism to a graph H.

Introduction 000000 Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## **Related problems**

• A homomorphism *f* from a graph *G* to a graph *H* is *locally surjective* if *f* becomes surjective when restricted to the neighborhood of every vertex *u* of *G*.

Classification of computational complexity

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## **Related problems**

- A homomorphism f from a graph G to a graph H is *locally* surjective if f becomes surjective when restricted to the neighborhood of every vertex u of G.
- Let G and H be two graphs with a list L(u) ⊆ V<sub>H</sub> associated to each vertex u ∈ V<sub>G</sub>. Then a homomorphism f from G to H is a *list-homomorphism* with respect to the lists L if f(u) ∈ L(u) for all u ∈ V<sub>G</sub>.

## **Related problems**

- A homomorphism f from a graph G to a graph H is *locally* surjective if f becomes surjective when restricted to the neighborhood of every vertex u of G.
- Let G and H be two graphs with a list  $L(u) \subseteq V_H$  associated to each vertex  $u \in V_G$ . Then a homomorphism f from G to H is a *list-homomorphism* with respect to the lists L if  $f(u) \in L(u)$  for all  $u \in V_G$ .
- Let H be an induced subgraph of a graph G. A homomorphism f from a graph G to H is a retraction from G to H if f(h) = h for all h ∈ V<sub>H</sub>.

## **Related problems**

- A homomorphism f from a graph G to a graph H is *locally* surjective if f becomes surjective when restricted to the neighborhood of every vertex u of G.
- Let G and H be two graphs with a list L(u) ⊆ V<sub>H</sub> associated to each vertex u ∈ V<sub>G</sub>. Then a homomorphism f from G to H is a list-homomorphism with respect to the lists L if f(u) ∈ L(u) for all u ∈ V<sub>G</sub>.
- Let H be an induced subgraph of a graph G. A homomorphism f from a graph G to H is a retraction from G to H if f(h) = h for all h ∈ V<sub>H</sub>.
- A homomorphism from a graph G to a graph H is called edge-surjective or a compaction if for any edge xy ∈ E<sub>H</sub> with x ≠ y there exists an edge uv ∈ E<sub>G</sub> with f(u) = x and f(v) = y.

Introduction 000000 Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

# Problems that can be expressed via surjective homomorphisms

#### Stable Cutset



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● のへで

Introduction 0000●0 Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

# Problems that can be expressed via surjective homomorphisms

#### **Independent Set**



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

## **Our results**

• We give a complete classification of the computational complexity of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING problem when *H* is a partially reflexive tree.

## **Our results**

- We give a complete classification of the computational complexity of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING problem when *H* is a partially reflexive tree.
- We analyze the running time of the polynomial-time solvable cases and for connected *n*-vertex graphs, we find a running time of  $n^{k+O(1)}$ , where k is the number of leaves of H, and observe that this running time is asymptotically optimal.

## **Our results**

- We give a complete classification of the computational complexity of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING problem when *H* is a partially reflexive tree.
- We analyze the running time of the polynomial-time solvable cases and for connected *n*-vertex graphs, we find a running time of  $n^{k+O(1)}$ , where k is the number of leaves of H, and observe that this running time is asymptotically optimal.
- But we prove that for these cases, SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING parameterized by  $|V_H|$  is FPT on graphs of bounded expansion.

## Classification of computational complexity

#### Theorem

For any fixed partially reflexive tree H, the SURJECTIVE H-COLORING problem is polynomial time solvable if the set of reflexive vertices induces a connected subgraph (we call such graphs loop-connected), and NP-complete otherwise. Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

## **Classification of computational complexity**



Introduction 000000 Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### **Polynomial cases**

#### Theorem

Let H be a loop-connected tree with k leaves. For n-vertex connected graphs, SURJECTIVE H-COLORING can be solved in time  $n^{k+O(1)}$ .

## Sketch of the proof

#### **Special homomorphisms**



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

## Sketch of the proof

#### Special homomorphisms



## Sketch of the proof

#### Special homomorphisms



  $\label{eq:classification} Classification of computational complexity \\ \texttt{OOOOOOOOOOO}$ 

## Sketch of the proof

#### Special homomorphisms



 $\begin{array}{c} Classification \ of \ computational \ complexity \\ \texttt{000000000000} \end{array}$ 

## Sketch of the proof

#### **Special homomorphisms**



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の�?

Classification of computational complexity

## Parameterized complexity

#### Theorem

Let H be a loop-connected tree with k leaves. For n-vertex connected graphs, SURJECTIVE H-COLORING can be solved in time  $n^{k+O(1)}$ , i.e. this problem is in XP when parameterized by the number of leaves.

 $\begin{array}{c} Classification \ of \ computational \ complexity \\ \texttt{00000000000000} \end{array}$ 

## Parameterized complexity

#### Independent Set



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Introduction 000000 Open problems

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Parameterized complexity

#### Proposition

## SURJECTIVE $S_k$ -COLORING is W[1]-complete when parameterized by k.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Parameterized complexity

#### Proposition

SURJECTIVE  $S_k$ -COLORING is W[1]-complete when parameterized by k.

#### Proposition

SURJECTIVE  $S_k$ -COLORING cannot be solved in  $f(k) \cdot n^{o(k)}$  time on n-vertex graphs, unless the Exponential Time Hypothesis collapses. Introduction 000000 Classification of computational complexity

Open problems

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Parameterized complexity

#### Theorem

Let H be a loop-connected tree. Then SURJECTIVE H-COLORING is FPT for any graphs of bounded expansion when parameterized by  $|V_H|$ .

## Idea of the proof

#### Special homomorphisms



 Introduction 000000 Open problems

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### **NP-complete** cases

#### Theorem

For any fixed partially reflexive tree H that is not loop-connected, the SURJECTIVE H-COLORING problem is NP-complete.

## Idea of the proof

#### Stable Cutset



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## **Open problems**

• Give a complete complexity classification of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING.

## **Open problems**

- Give a complete complexity classification of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING.
- What can be said about special case when *H* is a partially reflexive cycle?

## **Open problems**

- Give a complete complexity classification of the SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING.
- What can be said about special case when *H* is a partially reflexive cycle?
- Are *H*-COMPACTION, *H*-RETRACTION and SURJECTIVE *H*-COLORING polynomially equivalent to each other for each target graph *H*?

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

## Thank You!