

Bestell-Nr:

A065585003 lok.Nr:

PPN:

022190589

Bestelldatum 20-12-2005-11:08

GBV-direkt-BESTELLUNG

normal

Tel: 0228/402252

Voelzmann-Scheiding, Anke MPI fuer Mathematik -Bibliothek-

Vivatsgasse 7 DE-53111 Bonn Mail: library@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Fax: 0228/402277

USER-GROUP-1

Kontaktperson

Kunden-/Zugangsnummer

3737153353



Lieferbibliothek:

Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek

Bremen

Bibliothekstraße

D-28359 Bremen

Sammelrechnung Rechnungsanschrift Voelzmann, Anke

MPI fuer Mathematik -Bibliothek-

Vivatsgasse 7 53111 Bonn

Tel. +49 (0421) 218-3622 (Fr. Fregin), Fax: -2040

E-Mail: fernleihe@suub.uni-bremen.de

Lieferso	:hein /	delive	ery note
----------	---------	--------	----------

Lieferung einer Aufsatzkopie per / delivery of article by

Post /mail

E-Mail /ARIEL

Eildienst / express delivery

Datum / date

odb. Nr. 98 /

Fernleihe eines Buches - einer Mikroform / lending of book - microform

Wir berechnen für unsere Lieferung / price

DM

_Kopien / copies

Rechnung folgt - Bitte veranlassen Sie erst dann eine Zahlung, wenn die Rechnung bei Ihnen eingetroffen ist.

Bills are mailed every three months or according to arrangements.

Verfasser:

Chistov

Standort:

01.j.9316/

(Aufsatz) Titel:

Complexity of quantifier elimination in the theory of

(Aufsatz)

algebraically clos

Seiten:

17-31

Band Heft

Jahrgang 1984-00-00

Titel (Monographie/ Zeitschrift)

Mathematical foundations of computer science 1984:

proceedings, 11th symposium,

Berlin [u.a.]

Springer

Lieferform: PDF

Lieferart: E-Mail alt. Post

Lieferung erwünscht bis:

23-12-2005

A065585003

Bemerkungen:

Wir weisen Sie als Empfänger darauf hin, dass Sie nach geltendem Urheberrecht die von uns übersandten Vervielfältigungsstücke ausschliesslich zum privaten oder sonstigen eigenen Gebrauch verwenden dürfen und weder entgeltlich noch unentgeltlich in Papierform oder als elektronische Kopie verbreiten dürfen. SuUB Bremen

Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Edited by G. Goos and J. Hartmanis

176

Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1984

Proceedings, 11th Symposium Praha, Czechoslovakia September 3–7, 1984



Edited by M.P. Chytil and V. Koubek



Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo 1984

Editorial Board

D. Barstow W. Brauer P. Brinch Hansen D. Gries D. Luckham C. Moler A. Pnueli G. Seegmüller J. Stoer N. Wirth

Editors

Michael P. Chytíl Václav Koubek Charles University Malostranské nám. 25, 11800 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia



CR Subject Classifications (1982): F. 1, F. 2, F. 3, F. 4, G. 2

ISBN 3-540-13372-0 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo ISBN 0-387-13372-0 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin Tokyo

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under \$ 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

© by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1984 Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210

COMPLEXITY OF QUANTIFIER ELIMINATION IN THE THEORY OF ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS

A.L.Chistov, D.Yu.Grigor'ev
Leningrad Scientific Research Computer Centre

of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Mendeleevskaya 1, Leningrad, 199164, USSR

Leningrad Department of V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Fontanka 27, Leningrad, 191011, USSR

Abstract

An algorithm is described producing for each formula of the first order theory of algebraically closed fields an equivalent free of quantifiers one. Denote by N a number of polynomials occurring in the formula, by d an upper bound on the degrees of polynomials, by n a number of variables, by a a number of quantifier alternations (in the prefix form). Then the algorithm works within the polynomial in the formula's size and in $(Nd)^{n}$ time. Up to now a bound $(Nd)^{n}$ was known ([5], [7], [15]).

1. Fast algorithms for factoring multivariable polynomials and for solving systems of algebraic equations

Lately the considerable progress in the polynomial factoring problem was achieved. Lenstra A.K., Lenstra H.W., Lovasz L. [12] have designed an ingenious polynomial-time algorithm for factoring onevariable polynomials over Q. Independently Kaltofen E. [8], [9] has constructed a reduction of multivariable factoring over Q to onevariable factoring, running within the polynomial-time provided that the number of variables is fixed. The authors [1], [4], have suggested a polynomial-time algorithm for factoring multivariable polynomials over Q and over finite fields. Later another polynomial-time algorithm for the case of finite fields was exhibited in [13] spreading the method [12].

Also an essential progress has taken place in another important

problem of the commutative computeralgebra, namely in the problem of solving systems of algebraic equations. Earlier a complexity bound of the order dan was known for it, e.g. from [5], [7], [15]. Lazard D. [11] has designed an algorithm for solving homogeneous systems of algebraic equations in the case when the variety of roots in the projective space of the system is null-dimensional, i.e. finite, working within the time $d^{O(N)}$ if the coefficients of the input system are taken from a finite field (certainly, provided that we are supplied with a polynomial-time algorithm for polynomial factoring). The authors [2] , [3] , [4] involving the polynomial-time algorithm for polynomial factoring [1], [4] and the method from [11] have constructed an algorithm for solving an arbitrary system of algebraic equations, running within a polynomial in the size L_2 of the input data (system) and in dn time. Moreover, the algorithm finds all the irreducible compounds $W_{a} \subset P^{n}(\overline{F})$ of the variety of roots of the homogeneous system within the polynomial time in $d^{\,nC}$ and in L2 where C=1+max dim Wd (the general case is reducible here to homogeneous one). Finding $W_{\rm A}$ allows to answer the principle questions, e.g. emptiness, dimension of the variety of roots.

Now we turn ourselves to the exact formulations of the mentioned results. Let a ground field $F = H(T_1, ..., T_\ell)$ [7] where either H = Q or $H = F_{qx}$, q = char(H), the elements T_1, \dots, T_ℓ be algebraically independent over H; the element n is separable be algebraic over a field $H(T_1,...,T_\ell)$, denote by $\varphi = \sum_{0 \le i < deg_Z(\varphi)} (q)$ Z'EH(T4,...,Te) [Z] its minimal polynomial over H(T4,...,Te) the leading coefficient $\ell c_Z(\varphi) = 1$, herewith $q_i^{(i)}, q_i^{(i)} \in H[T_1, ..., T_\ell]$ and the degree $deg(q^{(2)})$ is the least possible. Any polynomial $f \in$ F[$X_0,...,X_n$] can be uniquely represented in a form $f=\sum_{\substack{i=1\\j=1}}^{n} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^{\infty} deg_{\pi}q_{i}i_{0},...,i_{n}$ $(a_{i,i_0,...,i_n}/b)$ $\gamma^i \chi^{i_0} \dots \chi^{i_n}_n$ where $a_{i,i_0,...,i_n}, b \in H[T_1,...,T_e],$ the degree deg (6) is the least possible; the polynomials a i,io,...,in, b are determined uniquely up to a factor from H* . Set deg ; f'= max [deg_T; (ai,io,...,in), deg_T; (b)]. By a length of description l(h) in the case $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ we mean its bitwise length, and in the case he $\mathbb{F}_{q,x}$ we mean $x \log_2(q)$. By $\ell(f)$ denote the maximum of the lengths of descriptions of the coefficients from H in the monomials in $T_4,...,$ of the polynomials a_{i,i_0,\dots,i_n} , bLet $\deg_{\chi_1}(f)<\eta$, $\deg_{T_1}(f)<\eta_2$, $\deg_{T_2}(q)<\eta_4$, $\deg_{Z_1}(q)<\eta_4$, $l(f)\leq M_2$, $l(q)\leq M_4$. As a size $L_1(f)$, of the polynomial f we consider in the theorem I a value $\eta^n+l^n\eta_2^n$ η_1^n and analogously $l(q)=\eta_1^n+l^n\eta_1^n$.

lem (ound 5].

root fin

input we cto-

om stem La

rith c

s. oned

% th

,6

)

THEOREM I. ([1], [4]). One can factor the polynomial f over within the polynomial in $L_4(f)$, $L_4(\varphi)$, Q time.

Remark that it is possible within the same time to obtain also the absolute factorization of $\frac{1}{7}$ i.e. the factors irreducible over the algebraic closure \overline{F} of the field F ([2], [4]).

Proceed to the problem of solving systems of algebraic equations. Let an input system of algebraic equations $f_0 = \ldots = f_K = 0$ be given (we can assume w.l.o.g. that f_0, \ldots, f_K are linearly independent). As a matter of fact we suggest an algorithm which decomposes an arbitrary projective variety on the irreducible compounds, so one can suppose w.l.o.g. that $f_0, \ldots, f_K \in F[X_0, \ldots, X_N]$ are homogeneous relatively to X_0, \ldots, X_N polynomials. Let $\deg_{T_1, \ldots, T_\ell, Z}(q) < d_1, \ell(f_i) < M_2$, $\deg_{X_0, \ldots, X_N}(f_i) < d$, $\deg_{T_1, \ldots, T_\ell}(f_i) < d_2$ for all $0 \le i \le K$ and in the theorem 2 a size $L_2(f_i) = \binom{d+n}{n} d_1 d_2 M_2$ and $L_2(q) = d_1^{d+1} M_1$. Denote $L = L_2(f_0) + \ldots + L_2(f_K)$.

The projective variety $\{f_0 = \dots = f_K = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{K}(\overline{F})$ the system $f_0 = \dots = f_K = 0$ is decomposable on the compounds $\{f_0 = \dots = f_K = 0\}$ $= f_K = 0$ = $\bigcup_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}$, herewith each compound W_{α} is defined and irreducible over the maximal purely inseparable extension F* where the (absolutely irreducible) of F. Moreover Wa = V Was compounds $W_{a,\beta}$ are defined and irreducible over $\bar{\mathsf{F}}$. Denote c=1+ max dim W. . The algorithm designed in [2], [3], [4] finds all W. and thereupon $W_{d\beta}$ (actually, $W_{d\beta}$, $W_{d\beta}$ are defined over some finite extensions of the field F which are also constructed by the algorithm). We (and the algorithm) represent every compound W_{λ} or $W_{\lambda, A}$ in two following manners: by its general point [16] and on the other hand by a certain system of algebraic equations such that the compound under consideration coincides with a variety of the roots of this system, in the similar case we say that the system determines the variety.

For functions g_1,g_2,h_1,\ldots,h_5 a relation $g_i\leqslant g_2\mathcal{P}(h_1,\ldots,h_5)$ denotes further that $g_1\leqslant g_2\mathcal{P}(h_1,\ldots,h_5)$ for an appropriate polynomial \mathcal{P} .

Let $W \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{F})$ be a closed projective variety, codim $p_n(W)=m$, defined and irreducible over some field F_1 being a finite extension of F, denote by F_2 the maximal subfield of F_1 which is a separable extension of F. Let t_1,\ldots,t_{n-m} be algebraically independent over F. A general point of the variety W can be given by the following fields isomorphism

 $F(t_{4},...,t_{n-m})[\theta] \cong F_{2}(X_{j_{4}}/X_{j_{0}},...,X_{j_{n-m}}/X_{j_{0}},(X_{0}/X_{j_{0}})^{q^{2}},...,(X_{n}/X_{j_{0}})^{q^{2}}) \subset F_{4}(W) \quad (1)$

for suitable q^{γ} (here and further $\gamma>0$ when q>0 and we set q'=1 when $c_i w_i(F)=0$), index $0 \le j_o \le n$ and an element θ is algebraic separable over a field $F_2(t_1,\ldots,t_{n-m})$; denote by $\Phi(Z)$ its minimal polynomial such that $\ell_{C_Z}(\Phi)=1$. The elements χ_j/χ_j are considered herein as the rational functions on the variety W, herewith W is not situated in a hyperplane $\{\chi_{j_o}=0\}$, under the isomorphism (1) $t_i \rightarrow \chi_{j_i}/\chi_{j_o}$, $1 \le i \le n-m$. The algorithms further represent the isomorphism (1) by the images of rational functions $(\chi_j/\chi_{j_o})^{\gamma}$ in the field $F_2(t_1,\ldots,t_{n-m})$ [θ]. Sometimes, when there is no misunderstanding, we identify a rational function with its image.

b) An algorithm is suggested which for every absolutely irreducible compound $W_{A\beta}$ finds the maximal separable subfield $F_2 = F[\xi_{A\beta}]$ of the minimal field of definition F_1 (containing F) of the variety $W_{A\beta}$. The algorithm produces a general point of $W_{A\beta}$ and some system of equations with the coefficients from the field F_2 determining the variety $W_{A\beta}$. For the parameters of the general point and the system of equations hold the same bounds as in the item a) of the theorem. Denote by $\psi_{A\beta} \in F[Z]$ the minimal polynomial for $F_{A\beta}$ such that $C_Z(\psi_{A\beta}) = 1$, then $C_Z(\psi_{A\beta}) \leq C_Z(\psi_{A\beta}) \leq C_$

REMARK. If we are supplied with a general point (with the same bounds on its parameters as in the theorem 2) of a closed irreducible variety $V_1 = \pi(W_d)$ where $\pi(X_0, \dots, X_n) = (X_0, \dots, X_m)$ is a lenear projection $\pi: \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^m$ and W_d is some compound of the variety $\{\{1_0, \dots, 2_K = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n(F)\}$, then we can produce a system of equations determining V_d with the same bounds on the parameters as for the family $\psi_1^{(d)}$ in the theorem 2 within the same time bound.

In conclusion of the section 1. The authors make a conjecture that one can find the compounds within time $\mathcal{P}(d^{(c'+l+1)H}, (d_1d_2)^{N+l}, L)$ where $c' = \max \min \{ \dim W_a + 1, \operatorname{codim} W_a \}$.

2. Projecting a constructive set

Let an input formula $\exists X_1 \dots \exists X_s (\&_{1 \le j \le K} (f_j = 0) \& (g \ne 0))$ be given, herein the parameters of the polynomials f_i , $g \in F[Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1, \dots, X_s]$ satisfy the same bounds as of f_i in the section 1. The goal in the present section is to produce an equivalent quantifier-free formula $\bigvee_{1 \le i \le N} (\&_{1 \le j \le \infty_i} (f_{ij}^{(i)} = 0) \& (g_i^{(i)} \ne 0))$ where $f_i^{(i)}$, $g_i^{(i)} \in F[Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}]$.

The input formula is equivalent to $\exists X_0 \exists X_1 \dots \exists X_5 \exists X_{5+1} ((X_0 \neq 0))$ $\lambda_1 \leq j \leq k (\{j = 0\}) \& (\{j = X_{5+1} \bar{g} - X_0^{1+deg} \bar{g} = 0\}),$ therein X_0, X_{5+1} are new variables and $\{j = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0), \bar{g} = X_0 \neq X_1 \dots X_5 (\{j\}) \}_j (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, X_1/X_0, \dots, X_5/X_0)$ satisfying the latter formula, we denote by Π . One can assume further w.l.o.g. that $deg_{X_0, \dots, X_{5+1}} = d-1$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, replacing $\{j\}$ by the family of polynomials $\{\{j\}_j X_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}, (X_0, \dots, X_{5+1})\} = (A_1 - A_2) = (A_1 - A_3) = (A_1 - A_$

Introduce a variety $U = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{N-5}; (x_0; \dots; x_{S+1})) \in (A^{N-5}, \mathbb{P}^{3n}) (F) \}$ and a natural linear projection $\pi : A^{N-5}, \mathbb{P}^{3n}$ and a natural linear projection $\pi : A^{N-5}, \mathbb{P}^{3n}$ but A^{N-5}, \mathbb{P}^{3n} then the desired $\Pi = \pi(U \cap \{X_0 \neq 0\})$. For each point $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-5}) \in A^{N-5}(F)$ consider the variety (the layer) $U_Z = \pi^{-1}(Z) \cap U \subset \{Z\} \times \mathbb{P}^{3n+1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{3n+1}$. The condition $Z \in \Pi$ is true iff for an appropriate $0 \le M \le 3n+1$ the layer U_Z has at least one compound W with the dimension S+1-M such that $W \not\subset \{X_0 = 0\}$.

Fix a point Z in the following speculations for some time. It is not difficult (see e.g. §2 [2]) to indicate a family of N' = -KM''' + 1 vectors $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{(N')} \in H^{K+1}$ any K+1 from which are linearly independent (we suppose here and below that H contains sufficiently many element, extending it if necessary). Denote $h_i = -\sum_{0 \le j \le K} \mathcal{U}_j^{(i)} \neq j$, herewith $\mathcal{U}^{(i)} = (\mathcal{U}_0^{(i)}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_K^{(i)})$. The relevant compound W of $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ exists iff there are such indices $1 \le i_1 \le \dots < i_m \le N^i$

that W is a compound of the variety $\{h_{i_1}(z) = \dots = h_{i_m}(z) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s+1}$, herein the coordinates of the point z are substituted instead of Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-s} , i.e. $h_{i_1}(z) \in \overline{F}[X_0, \dots, X_{s+1}]$ (cf. §4a [2]).

One can construct (see § 2 [2]) a family $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{s,s-m,d}$ consisting of (s-m+1)-tuples of linear forms in variables $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{s+1}$ with the coefficients from H such that for every variety $W_1 \subset P^s$ satisfying the inequalities $\dim W_1 \leq s-m$, $\deg W_1 \leq d^m$ there is (s-m+1)-tuple $(Y_1,\ldots,Y_{s-m+1}) \in \mathcal{M}$ for which $W_1 \cap \{Y_1=\ldots Y_{s-m+1}=0\}=\emptyset$. Thereto $\operatorname{Cand}(\mathcal{M}) \leq (s+1)d^m+1$. Let us take a variety $W \cap \{X_0=0\}$ as $W_1 \in S$ -m linear forms $Y_0 = X_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{s-m+1}$ up to a basis Y_0,\ldots,Y_{s+1} with the coefficients from $Y_1 \in Y_2 \in Y_3 \in Y_3$ of the space of linear forms in X_0,\ldots,X_{s+1} (in arbitrary manner). Replacing variables denote $\widehat{W}_1(\mathcal{Z},Y_0,\ldots,Y_{s+1})=\widehat{W}_1(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\widehat{W}_1(\mathcal{Z})=\widehat{W}_1(\mathcal{Z},Y_0,0,\ldots,0,Y_{s-m+2},\ldots,Y_{s+1})$. Thus, the condition under consideration about the existence of W is equivalent to that there are indices $1\leq i_1<\ldots< i_m \leq N$ and linear forms Y_1,\ldots,Y_{s-m+1} as one of its compounds has a certain point $\widehat{\Omega}=(\widehat{S}_0:\widehat{S}_s-m+2:\ldots:\widehat{S}_{s+1})$ such that the point $\Omega=(\widehat{Z}_1(\widehat{S}_0:0:\ldots:0:\widehat{S}_s-m+2:\ldots:\widehat{S}_{s+1}))\in U_{\mathbb{Z}}\cap\{Y_0\neq 0\}$ (in force of the theorem about the dimension of intersection [14]).

Introduce a system of homogeneous algebraic equations

$$\tilde{h}_{i_j}(z) - YY_{s-m+j+1}^{d-1} = 0; \quad 1 \le j \le m$$
 (2)

in the variables V_0 , V_{S-M+L} ,..., V_{S+L} with the coefficients from $\widehat{F}[Y] \subset \widehat{F}(Y) = K$ where Y is algebraically independent over F. One can prove (see also lemma 11 §5 [3]) that the set of roots in $\mathbb{P}^{M}(\widehat{K})$ of the system(2) is finite. The variety of roots is decomposable on the irreducible and defined over K nulldimensional compounds V_{PK} corresponding to the minimal prime ideals $P_{K} \subset K[V_0, V_{S-M+2}, ..., V_{S+1}]/([N_{ij}(\widehat{z}) - VV_{S-M+j+1}]/(S_{j \leq M})$. The system (2) can be considered apart that as the system in the variables V_{i} , V_{i} ,

Now we exhibit an important auxiliary device from [11] (see also §3 [2]). Let $q_0, \ldots, q_{K-1} \in F[X_0, \ldots, X_N]$ be homogeneous polynomials of degrees $\delta_0 \ge \ldots \ge \delta_{K-1}$ respectively. Introduce new variables

 $\mathcal{U}_{o}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{n} \text{ algebraically independent over } F(X_{o}, \dots, X_{n}) \text{ . Set } g_{K} = X_{o} \mathcal{U}_{o} + \dots + X_{n} \mathcal{U}_{n} \in F(\mathcal{U}_{o}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{n}) [X_{o}, \dots, X_{n}] \text{ and } D = \sum_{o \leq i \leq n} \delta_{i} - n,$ herein $\delta_j = 1$ if $K \leqslant j \leqslant N$. Consider linear over $F(\mathcal{U}_0, ..., \mathcal{U}_n)$ mapping $\mathcal{O}(:\mathcal{B}_0 \oplus ... \oplus \mathcal{B}_K \to \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B}_i (correspondingly \mathcal{B}) is the space of homogeneous polynomials in $X_0, ..., X_N$ over the field $F(\mathcal{U}_0,...,\mathcal{U}_n)$ of degree $D-\delta_i$ (correspondingly D) for $0 \le i \le K$, namely $O((b_0,...,b_K) = \sum_{0 \le i \le K} b_i g_i$. Any element $b = (b_0, ..., b_K) \in$ $\mathcal{B}_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{B}_K$ can be written in the form $b = (b_{01}, \ldots, b_{0.5_0}, b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1,5_4}, \ldots, b_{1,5_4},$ $...,b_{K,4},...,b_{K,5_K}) \text{ where } b_i = \binom{N+D-\delta_i}{n} \text{ and } b_{i,1},...,b_{i,5_i} \text{ are the coefficients of the polynomial } b_i \text{ provided that a certain nu-}$ meration of all the monomials of the degree $D-\delta_i$ is fixed. Analogously one can write the elements of the space $\, \, {\mathfrak H} \,$. In the chosen system of coordinates the mapping Ol has a matrice A of $\binom{n+D}{n} \times \left(\sum_{0 \le i \le K} \mathfrak{s}_i \right)$. One can represent A=(A',A'')(call it the number part of A) contains 20515K-1 5i columns and A" (call it the formal part) contains \$K columns, besides that the entries of A' belong to F, the entries of A'' are linear forms over F in variables $\mathcal{U}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_n$ (cf. [6]). There is proved in [10] that the system $g_0 = \dots = g_{K-1} = 0$ has no roots in $\mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{F})$ iff the ideal $(g_0, \dots, g_{K-1}) \supset (X_0, \dots, X_N)^{D}$. Besides that, the following proposition is ascertained in [11] .

PROPOSITION. ([11]). 1) The system $g_0 = \dots = g_{K-1} = 0$ has a finite number of roots in $\mathbb{P}^n(\bar{F})$ iff the rank $n \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{F}) = 0$;

2) all $v_{x}v_{y}$ minors of A generate a principal ideal whose generator $R \in F[W_0,...,W_n]$ is their g.c.d.;

3) the homogeneous form $R = \prod_{1 \le i \le D_4} \bigcup_{0 \le j \le n} \bigcup_{0 \le j \le n$

The algorithm designes the matrix A with the entries from the ring $F[Y,Z_1,...,Z_{N-5},\mathcal{U}_0,\mathcal{U}_{5-M+2},...,\mathcal{U}_{s}]$ corresponding to the modified system (2) in which $Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}$ are considered as variables (instead of $Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}$) according to the just exhibited device. Denote by A_Z the matrix obtained from A by means of substituting the coordinates of the point Z instead of $Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}$. Let the polynomial $R_Z \in \overline{F}[Y,\mathcal{U}_0,\mathcal{U}_{5-M+2},...,\mathcal{U}_{5+4}]$ correspond to the matrix A_Z as in the proposition. One can suppose wellows, that $Y \not = R_Z$ (dividing R_Z on the greatest possible power of the variable Y).

Regard a certain representation of the union $\bigcup_{p_F}\bigvee_{p_F}=\{S_o=\dots=S_{K'-1}=0\}$ for suitable polynomials $S_i\in F[Y,Y_o,Y_{s-M+2},\dots,Y_{s+1}]$ homogeneous relatively to Y_o , Y_{s-M+2},\dots,Y_{s+1} . Considering a system S_i $(0,Y_o,Y_{s-M+2},\dots,Y_{s+1})=0$; $0\leqslant i\leqslant K'-1$ and basing on the proposition (see also lemma 16 §5 [3]), one proves that $R_z(O,\mathcal{U}_o,\mathcal{U}_{s-M+2},\dots,\mathcal{U}_{s+1})=\prod_i C_i$ and moreover the linear forms $\bigcup_i=\sum_j \xi_i^{(i)} \mathcal{U}_j$ correspond bijectively to the points $(\xi_o^{(i)}:\xi_{s-M+2}^{(i)}\dots:\xi_{s+1}^{(i)})\in W_z'\subset \mathbb{P}^m$ where the cone $COM(W_z')=(\bigcup_{p_F}\bigvee_{p_F})\cap \{\bigvee_i=0\}$. Thereupon it is not difficult to check that $\widehat{\Omega}\in W_z'$ (cf. lemma 13 §5 [3]). Summarizing and utilizing the notations introduced above, we have ascertained the following.

LEMMA 1. The formula $\exists X_4 \dots \exists X_5 (\&_{1 \le j \le K} (f_j = 0) \& (g \ne 0))$ is valid in a point $Z \in F$ iff for appropriate $0 \le M \le S+1$ there exist such indices $1 \le i_4 < \dots < i_m \le N'$, a set of linear forms $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{S-m+1}) \in \mathcal{M}$ and a point $\Omega = (Z, (\xi_0: 0: \dots: 0: \xi_{S-m+2}: \dots: \xi_{S+1})) \in U_Z \cap \{X_0 \ne 0\}$ (in the coordinates Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{S+1}) that the linear form $(\xi_0 \mathcal{U}_0 + \xi_{S-m+2} \mathcal{U}_{S-m+2} + \dots + \xi_{S+1} \mathcal{U}_{S+1}) \mid R_Z (0, \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_{S-m+2}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{S+1})$.

Now make more precise the definition of a version of Gaussian algorithm (v.G.a) for reducing the matrices to the generalized trapezium form (cf. [7]).v.G.a. is determined by a succession of pairs of indices (pivots) $(i_0,j_0),(i_0j_4),\ldots,(i_p,j_p)$. Herewith $i_d \neq i_\beta$ and $j_d \neq j_\beta$ if $d \neq \beta$. For any initial matrix $A^{(p)}$ v.G.a. yields the chain of matrices $A^{(p)}$, $A^{(p)}$. Introduce a notation $A^{(p)} = (a_{ij}^{(d)})$. Apart that $a_{ij}^{(d)} \neq 0$ and $a_{ij}^{(p+1)} = a_{ij}^{(d)} + a_{ij}^{(d)} = a_{ij}^{(d)}$ for all i distinguished from i_0,\ldots,i_d , lastly $a_{ij}^{(d+1)} = a_{ij}^{(d)}$ where $0 \leq \beta \leq d$. The matrix $A^{(p+1)}$ is in the generalized trapezium form, namely, $a_{ij}^{(p+1)} = 0$ when either i differs from i_0,\ldots,i_p or $i=i_d$, $j=j_p$ and $d>\beta$, besides that $a_{ij}^{(p+1)} = a_{ij}^{(d)} \neq 0$. Denote by $a_{ij}^{(d)}$ the determinant of $a_{ij}^{(d+1)} = a_{ij}^{(d)} \neq 0$.

Denote by $\Delta_{ij}^{(d)}$ the determinant of $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix formed by the rows with the indices i_0, \ldots, i_{d-1}, i and the columns with the indices j_0, \ldots, j_{d-1}, j provided that $i \neq i_0, \ldots, i \neq i_{d-1}$ and $j \neq j_0, \ldots, j \neq j_{d-1}$. Then $a_{ij}^{(d)} = \Delta_{ij}^{(d)} / \Delta_{id-1}^{(d-1)} j_{d-1}^{(d-1)}$ (see e.g.

An-sow we turn ourselves to considering an arbitrary point $z \in A^{n-s}$. Fix for some time $0 \le m \le 5+1$ indices $1 \le i_4 < \dots < i_m \le N'$ and a set of linear forms $(\bigvee_1,\dots,\bigvee_{s-m+1}) \in \mathcal{M}$ (see lemma 1). By \mathcal{M} denote the number of rows of the matrix A. Produce a certain succession of v.G.a.s $\bigcap_1,\bigcap_2,\dots$ over a field $F(\bigvee_1,\bigcap_2,\dots,\bigcap_{n-s},\mathcal{M}_n,\mathcal{M}_n)$ and a succession of polynomials $P_1,P_2,\dots\in F[\bigvee_1,\bigcap_1,\dots,\bigcap_{n-s},\mathcal{M}_n,\mathcal{M}_{s-m+2},\dots,\mathcal{M}_{s+1})$ thereto v.G.a. \bigcap_1 can be applied

correctly to the matrix $A_{\mathbf{Z}}$ for all points $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{N-5})$ of (possibly empty) quasiprojective variety ([14]) $W_i \subset A^{N-5}$ which is defined by the following conditions: inequality $0 \neq P_i$ ($Y, \mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{N-5}, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{S-m+2}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{S+1}$] and equalities $0 = P_i$ ($Y, \mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{N-5}, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{S-m+2}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{S+1}$) for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ are fulfilled. Apart that the variety $\{(\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{N-5}) : P_i$ ($Y, \mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{N-5}, \mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{S-m+2}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{S+1}\} = 0$ for all $i \neq \emptyset$, henceforth $U_i W_i = A^{N-5}$. Exposed below construction is close to the proof of the lemma 9 [7].

Later on we apply the v.G.a.s $|\cdot_1,\cdot_2,\dots$ to the initial matrix A. As Γ_1 one can take an arbitrary v.G.a. Set a polynomial $P_1 = \prod_{0 \le d \le P_1} \Delta_{d,d}^{(d)}$. (for v.G.a. regarded at the current step the same notations as above are utilized). Assume that Γ_1,\dots,Γ_i ; Γ_1,\dots,Γ_i are already produced. Then as Γ_{i+1} we take v.G.a.in which for every $0 \le d \le P_{i+1}$ the column index j_d of the pivot in the matrix $A^{(d)}$ is the least possible, moreover $j_d > j_{d-1}$ and the polynomials P_1,\dots,P_i , $\prod_{i,j} \sum_{j_{i+1}} \sum_{$

One can ascertain that if $W_i \neq \emptyset$ then for each $Z \in W_i$ the polynomial R_Z (see proposition) is obtained as the value in the point Z of the polynomial $\det \Delta_i$ (up to a factor Y^E for a suitable E), where $Y \times Y$ submatrix Δ_i of the matrix A is generated by the columns with the indices $j_0, \dots, j_{\chi-1}$ corresponding to $V \cdot G \cdot a \cdot V_i$. This follows from the fact that in the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A^{(a)} \\ A^{(a)} \end{pmatrix}_Z$ an entry $A_{\beta j}^{(a)} = 0$ when $\beta \neq i_0, \dots, i_{d-1}$ and $\beta \leq j_d$ in force of the choice of j_d . Therefore, if for an appropriate A a cell (i_{d-1}, j_{d-1}) belongs to the number part A' of A and a cell (i_d, j_d) belongs to the formal part A' of A then $Y_{\beta}((A')_Z) = A$ that implies the mentioned representation of R_Z .

Write $\det \Delta_i = \sum_E \Delta_i^{(E)} Y^E$, herewith $\Delta_i^{(E)}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-5}) \in F[Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-5}]$

Write det $\Delta_i = \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \Delta_i^{(\mathcal{E})} \bigvee_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}}$, herewith $\Delta_i^{(\mathcal{E})}(Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}) \in F[Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}]$. W_0 , W_{5-M+2} ,..., W_{5+1}]. Introduce varieties $W_i^{(\mathcal{E})} = \{(z_1,...,z_{N-5}) \in W_i : \Delta_i^{(0)}(z_1,...,z_{N-5}) = 0; \Delta_i^{(\mathcal{E})}(z_1,...,z_{N-5}) \neq 0\}$ for $\mathcal{E} \geqslant 0$. The variety $W_i^{(\mathcal{E})}$ is quasiprojective as the intersection of two quasiprojective varieties, namely, if $\Xi_i^{(1)} = \{k_{\beta}(G_{\beta}^{(1)} = 0) \& \bigvee_{i} (C_{\beta}^{(1)} \neq 0)\}; \quad j = 1,2$ then $\Xi_1 \cap \Xi_2 = \{k_{\beta}(i), \beta(i), (G_{\beta}^{(0)} = 0) \& G_{\beta}^{(2)} = 0\} \& \bigvee_{i} (C_{\beta}^{(0)}, C_{\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0)\}$. Moreover $W_i^{(\mathcal{E}_i)} \cap W_i^{(\mathcal{E}_i)} = \emptyset$ for $\mathcal{E}_1 \neq \mathcal{E}_2$ and $\bigcup_{\mathcal{E}} W_i^{(\mathcal{E})} = W_i$.

Thereupon represent $\Delta_i^{(\epsilon)} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq D_2} e_i^{(\epsilon,j)} \mathcal{N}_0^{D_2 - j}$ where $e_i^{(\epsilon,j)} (Z_1,...,Z_{n-5}) \in F[Z_1,...,Z_{n-5},\mathcal{N}_{s-m+2},...,\mathcal{N}_{s+4}]$. Consider quasiprojection

tive varieties $W_i^{(\ell,j)} = \{(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) \in W_i^{(\ell)} : C_i^{(\ell,2)} (z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = 0, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant j; C_i^{(\ell,j)} (z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) \neq 0\}, \text{ then } W_i^{(\ell,j)} \cap W_i^{(\ell,j)} = \emptyset \text{ when } j_1 \neq j_2 \text{ and } \bigcup_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant D_2} W_i^{(\ell,j)} = W_i^{(\ell)} \cdot \text{Observe that the proposition and the ascertained earlier entail that } (\Delta_i^{(\ell)})_z = \Delta_i^{(\ell)} (z_1,\dots,z_{N-5},\mathcal{W}_0,\mathcal{W}_{5-M+2},\dots,\mathcal{W}_{5+1}) = \prod_z L_{-\infty}^{C_\infty} \text{ is a product of linear forms for } z \in W_i^{(\ell)} \cdot \text{ This implies that for } z \in W_i^{(\ell,j)} \text{ the polynomial } (C_i^{(\ell,j)})_z \text{ equals to the product of powers } L_{-\infty}^{C_\infty} \text{ of all linear forms } L_\infty \text{ in which the coefficient at } \mathcal{W}_0 \text{ vanishes.}$ Henceforth $(C_i^{(\ell,j)})_z \mid (\Delta_i^{(\ell)})_z \text{ in the ring } F[\mathcal{W}_0,\mathcal{W}_{5-M+2},\dots,\mathcal{W}_{5+1}]$.

Our nearest purpose is to calculate the quotient $(\Delta_i^{(\ell)})_z/(C_i^{(\ell,j)})_z$

for $\mathbf{z} \in W_i^{(\ell,j)}$. If $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{I}_{5-m+2}, ..., \mathbf{I}_{5+4})$ is a multiphodex then denote $\mathcal{U}^I = \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{I}_{5-m+2}}_{5-m+2} \dots \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{I}_{5+4}}_{5+4}$, apart that by $\mathbf{I} < \mathbf{J}$ denote the lexicographical order on multiindices. Write $\mathbf{e}^{(\ell,j)} = \sum_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{I}}$ and let $0 \neq \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{I}} \in \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{Z}_{1},...,\mathbf{Z}_{N-5}]$ for a certain \mathbf{I} (fixed in further speculations). Introduce a quasiprojective variety $\mathbf{W}^{(\ell,j)}_{i,\mathbf{I}} = \{(\mathbf{Z}_{1},...,\mathbf{Z}_{N-5}) \in \mathbf{W}^{(\ell,j)}_{i}\}$:

 $\begin{cases} \chi_{i_1, \dots, z_{N-5}} = 0 & \text{when } J > I \text{ and } \chi_{I}(z_i, \dots, z_{N-5}) \neq 0 \end{cases}$ Evidently $W_{i, I_i}^{(\ell, j)} \cap W_{i, I_i}^{(\ell, j)} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } I_i \neq J_i \text{ and } \bigcup_{I_i} W_{i, I_i}^{(\ell, j)} = W_i^{(\ell, j)} \quad \text{For any point } (z_i, \dots, z_{N-5}) \in W_{i, I}^{(\ell, j)} \quad \text{the quotient } (\Delta_i^{(\ell)})_z / (e_i^{(\ell, j)})_z \quad \text{can be obtained by means of the described below process of dividing polynomial on polynomial and after that substituting the coordinates } z_i, \dots, z_{N-5} \quad \text{instead of variables } z_i, \dots, z_{N-5} .$

Let $0 \neq V \in F(Z_1, ..., Z_{N-4})[\mathcal{U}_{s-M+2}, ..., \mathcal{U}_{s+4}]$. Denote by $\{ex(V) \neq 0\}$ the monomial of V in variables $\mathcal{U}_{b-M+2}, ..., \mathcal{U}_{s+4}$ for which in $V - \{ex(V)\}$ occur only the monomials less than $\{ex(V)\}$, set $V = V(\mathcal{U}_{b-M+2}^{(N)}, \mathcal{U}_{b-M+3}^{(N)}, \mathcal{U}_{b-M+3}^{(N)}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{s+4}^{(N)})$ and $f(V) = deg(\overline{V})$. Delete from $e_i^{(a,j)}$ all the monomials $f_i \mathcal{U}^{N}$ (except $f_i \mathcal{U}^{I}$) with $f(\mathcal{U}^{N}) \geq f(\mathcal{U}^{I})$ and denote obtained polynomial by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i^{(c,j)}$. Then $(e_i^{(c,j)})_z = (\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_i^{(c,j)})_z$, when $i \in \mathcal{U}_{i,1}^{(c,j)}$ since $(e_i^{(c,j)})_Z$ is the product of linear forms. For any index $j < x < \mathcal{D}_2$ the algorithm designs a succession of nonzero polynomials $V_0 = e_i^{(c,x)}$ are homogeneous, $f(V_0^{(3)}) < f(V_0^{(i)}) = f(V_0^{(i)}) + f(V_0^{(i)}) = f(V_0^{(i)})$ are homogeneous, $f(V_0^{(3)}) < f(V_0^{(i)}) = f(V_0^{(i)}) = f(V_0^{(i)})$ and $f(V) = f(V_0^{(i)}) = f(V_0^{(i$

at the variable \mathcal{V}_0 does not vanish. Thereupon remind that com $W_z' = U_{p_F}V_{p_F} \cap \{ Y = 0 \}$ roduce $W' = \bigcup_{z \in W_{i,1}^{(\epsilon,i)}} (\{z\} \times (W'_z \cap \{Y_0 \neq 0\}))$ (as above we fix $i, \epsilon, j, 1$). Observe that $W' = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{N-5}, (y_0; y_{5-m+2}; \dots; y_{5+i})) \in W_{i,1}^{(\epsilon,j)} \times \{(y_0, y_{5-m+2}; \dots; y_{5+i})\} \in W_{i,1}^{(\epsilon,j)}$ Am(F) CW(ε,j) x Pm(F): 0=(ψ(ε,j) (- Σs-m+2 ≤ d ≤ s+1 llaya, yo Ws-m+2,...,yo Us+1))= €F[Us-m+2,..., Us+1]}. Representing the polynomial $\psi_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)}(-\sum_{s-m+2\leq d\leq s+1}\mathcal{U}_{a}Y_{a},Y_{o}\mathcal{U}_{s-m+2},...,Y_{o}\mathcal{U}_{s+1})=\sum_{J}E_{J}\mathcal{U}^{J}$ leads to an equality $W' = \{ \&_J (E_J = 0) \} \cap (W_{i,T}^{(\epsilon,j)} \times A^m)$. Because of that the subset W' is closed in the quasiprojective variety $W_{i,T}^{(\epsilon,j)} \times A^m$ Consider the natural linear projection $\mathfrak{T}_2: A^{n-5} (P^m \cap \{V_o \neq 0\}) \to A^{n-5}$ defined by the formula $\mathfrak{T}_2(Z_1,...,Z_{N-5},(\bigvee_0:\bigvee_{5-M+2}:...:\bigvee_{5+1}))=(Z_1,...,Z_{N-5}).$ Let a morphism $\mathfrak{T}_1:\mathbb{W}'\to\mathbb{W}^{(\xi,\xi)}$ be the restriction of \mathfrak{T}_2 on \mathbb{W}' . Our nearest goal is to show that \mathfrak{N}_i is finite ([14]). Obviously, the inverse image $\mathfrak{N}_i^{-1}(V) \subset W'$ of any open affine subset $V \subset W_{i,I}^{(\xi,j)}$ of any open affine subset $V \subset W_{i,I}^{(\epsilon,j)}$, henceforth $N_i^{-1}(V)$ is open in is isomorphic to (Vx Am) AW' is affine since $\pi_4^-(V)$ W' and besides that $\mathfrak{N}_{A}^{-1}(V)$ is closed in the open affine set $\sqrt{x} \bigwedge^m$ ([14]). Now we check that every on the variety $\mathfrak{T}_{i}^{-1}(V)$ coordinate function /2/1/0 a suitable relation of integral dependence over the ring $\bar{F}[V]$ where $5-m+l \le x \le 5+1$. Let $\psi_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)} = \psi_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)} (\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_{5m+l}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{5+1})$. Then

So, we infer that the morphism \mathfrak{Cl}_{i} is finite.

Utilizing the notations from the lemma 1 one concludes that a set $V_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)}$ consisting of all such points $\mathbb{Z}=(\mathcal{Z}_{1},...,\mathcal{Z}_{N-5})\in W_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)}$ that there exists a point $\Omega=(\mathbb{Z},(\mathcal{Z}_{0}:0:...:0:\mathcal{Z}_{5-m+2}:...:\mathcal{Z}_{5+1})\in U_{\mathbb{Z}}\cap\{\mathcal{X}_{0}\neq0\}$ is closed in $W_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)}$ as $V_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)}$ coincides with the image under projection \mathfrak{T}_{i} of the closed in the domain of definition of \mathfrak{T}_{i} (i.e. in W') set $\mathfrak{T}_{i}^{-1}(W_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)})\cap\{\mathcal{T}_{0}=...=\mathcal{T}_{k}=0\}$ where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V_{0},V_{5-m+2},...,V_{5+1})=\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V_{0},V_{0},...,V_{N-5},V_{0},...,V_{5+1})$ for $0\leq \mathcal{Z}\leq K$ and since the image of the closed set under a finite morphism is again closed ([14]).

 $\psi_{i,1}^{(\ell,j)}(Y_{2\ell}/Y_0,0,...,0,-1,0,...,0)=0$ on W, herein -1 is substituted instead of the variable $W_{2\ell}$. Taking into account that $(Y_1)_z \neq 0$

this yields an equation of integral dependence.

when $Z \in W_{i,I}^{(\mathcal{E},j)}$

Now we describe a procedure for constructing the required $V_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)}$. Let the quasiprojective variety $W_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)} = \{\&_{\beta}(G_{\beta} = 0)\&(V_{y}(C_{y}\neq 0))\}$, herewith the polynomials $G_{\beta}, C_{y} \in F[Z_{i},...,Z_{N-s}]$ were actually produced earlier. Denote the closure of the projection $\overline{S_{i,j}}(\&_{\beta}(G_{\beta} = 0))\&$ $\mathbb{E}_{J}(E_{J} = 0)\&\&_{0 \le X \le K}(f_{X} = 0)\} = V_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)}$. On the other hand in force of the aforesaid the equalities hold $V_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)} = V_{i,I}^{(\ell,j)} \setminus \{\&_{Y}(C_{Y} = 0)\}$

We summarize the results of the present section in the following lemma, in which bounds are obtained making use of the theorem 2.

3. Subexponential-time deciding the first order theory of algebraically closed fields

Let a Boolean formula Q with N atoms of the kind Q = 0 where $\{i \in F[X_1,...,X_N] \text{ satisfies the same bounds as in the section 1, be given, <math>L_2(Q)$ denotes the size of Q. Firstly we exhibit a procedure reducing Q to a disjunctive normal form.

Following [7] name (g_1,\ldots,g_p) -cell for $g_1,\ldots,g_p\in F[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ any nonempty quasiprojective variety of the kind $\{k_j\in g_i(g_j=0)\}$ $\{k_j\in g_i(g_j\neq0)\}\subset A^n(\bar F)$, herewith $g_1\cup g_2=\{1,\ldots,p\}$, $g_1\cap g_2=\emptyset$. By means of the Bezout inequality [14] it is ascertained in [7] that a number of all (g_1,\ldots,g_p) -cells is less or equal to $(1+\deg g_1+\ldots+\deg g_p)^n$. We shall describe the method for decomposing the space A^n on (g_1,\ldots,g_p) -cells by recursion on p. Assume that we are supplied with all (g_1,\ldots,g_{p-1}) -cells $(p\geq 1)$. Every (g_1,\ldots,g_p) -cell is of the form either $K\cap\{g_p=0\}$ or $K\cap\{g_p\neq0\}$ for a pertinent (g_1,\ldots,g_{p-1}) -cell K. Henceforth it is sufficient to pick out (involving the theorem 2 from the section 1) all nonempty sets among quasiprojective varieties of the forms $K\cap\{g_p=0\}$ and $K\cap\{g_p\neq0\}$.

Applying the just described method the algorithm yields all $(\{i_i\}_{1 \le i \le N})$ -cells. Again repeatedly making use of the theorem 2 by induction on the number of logical signs in Q the algorithm for each $(\{i_i\}_{1 \le i \le N})$ -cell checks, whether this cell is contained in the constructive set $\Pi_Q = \{Q\} \subset A^n$ determined by the formula Q, and thereby represents Π_Q as a union of $(\{i_i\}_{1 \le i \le N})$ -cells $K^{(\mu)}$ that means reducing Q to a disjunctive normal form V_{μ} ($\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}\}_{1 \le N}$). Moreover $1 \le \mu \le (\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N})_{1 \le N}$, any polynomial $\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}$ for a relevant $i_j^{(\mu)}$ and $\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}$ for an appropriate $\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}$. The working time of the exhibited procedure can be estimated according to the theorem 2 by $\{i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}, i_j^{(\mu)}\}_{1 \le N}$.

Finally we pass to the general case. Let an input formula of the first order theory

$$\exists Z_{1,1} ... \exists Z_{1,s_1} \forall Z_{2,1} ... \forall Z_{2,s_2} ... \exists Z_{a,s_n} Q$$
 (3)

be given where the formula Q is of the kind as at the beginning of the section, $\{i \in F[Z_1,...,Z_5,Z_{1,1},...,Z_{4,5_4}],$ herein $Z_1,...,Z_5$ occur free, $N=S_0+S_1+...+S_4$, by L_Q denote the size of (3). Applying to (3) alternatively the just exhibited procedure for reducing to a disjunctive normal form and the lemma 2 (section 2) the algorithm arrives after performing $\mathcal R$ steps at an equivalent to (3) formula

$$\exists Z_{i,1} ... \exists Z_{i,s_1} \rceil ... \exists Z_{a-\alpha,1} ... \exists Z_{a-\alpha,s_{a-\alpha}} \rceil (V_{1 \leq i \leq N}(\alpha) (k_{1 \leq j \leq K}(\alpha)_{-1} (f_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = 0) \& (f_{i0}^{(\alpha)} \neq 0))).$$

Denote $d^{(x)} = \max_{i,j} deg_{Z_1,\dots,Z_{5_0}Z_{ij},\dots,Z_{4-x},s_{4-x}}(f^{(x)}_{ij}); d^{(x)}_{i} = \max_{i,j} deg_{Z_1,\dots,Z_{5_0}Z_{ij},\dots,Z_{4-x},s_{4-x}}(f^{(x)}_{ij}); d^{(x)}_{i} = \max_{i,j} (f^{(x)}_{ij}); \delta = s_{4-x+1}$. Then in force of the theorem 2 and the lemma 2 the inequalities hold: $d^{(x)} \leq s_{4-x+1}$

 $(q^{(x-1)^{8(s+2)m+3}})^{(x)} \leqslant (q^{(x-1)})^{n+42(s+2)(n+s+3)}, \quad \chi^{(x)} \leqslant (s+1)^{2}(sq^{(x-1)})^{8(s+2)(n+2)} \qquad \text{Therefore}$ $(q^{(x-1)^{8(s+2)m+3}})^{(x)} \leqslant (q^{(x-1)})^{n+2}(s+1) \leqslant (q^{(x-1$

Performing a steps completes the proof of the following THEOREM 3. An algorithm is proposed which for a formula (3) outputs an equivalent to it a quantifier-free one $\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq N} (k_{1 \leq j \leq N}) \in (g_{ij} = 0) \& (g_{i0} \neq 0)$ where $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}[Z_1, \dots, Z_{50}]$, herewith $\deg_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{50}}(g_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{N}^n)^{(48n(n+8a)/a)^a} = \mathfrak{D}, \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_{6}}(g_{ij}) \in d_2 \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{D}, d_4^a)$; besides that $(g_{ij}) \in (M_4 + M_4 + \log d_4) \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{D}, d_4^a)$. The integers $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{K} \in \mathfrak{D}$. Finally, the algorithm works within the time $\mathcal{P}(L_2, L_2(q), (\mathbb{N}^n)^{(48n(n+8a)/a)^a(n+l)}, (d_4^a d_2)^{n+l}, q)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chistov A.L., Grigor'ev D.Yu. Polynomial-time factoring of the multivariable polynomials over a global field. LOMI preprint E-5-82, Leningrad, 1982.
- Chistov A.L., Grigor'ev D.Yu. Subexponential-time solving systems of algebraic equations. I. - LOMI preprint E-9-83, Leningrad, 1983.
- 3. Chistov A.L., Grigor'ev D.Yu. Subexponential-time solving systems of algebraic equations. II. LOMI preprint E-10-83, Leningrad, 1983.
- 4. Chistov A.L., Grigor'ev D.Yu. Polynomial-time factoring of polynomials and subexponential-time solving systems and quantifier elimination. Notes of Scientific seminars of LOMI, Leningrad, 1984, vol.137.
- 5. Collins G.E. Quantifier elimination for real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition. Lect.Notes Comput.Sci., 1975, vol.33, p.134-183.
- 6. Grigor'ev D.Yu. Multiplicative complexity of a bilinear form over a commutative ring. Lect.Notes Comp.Sci., 1981, vol.118, p.281-286.
- 7. Heintz J. Definability and fast quantifier elimination in algebraically closed fields. Prepr. Univ. Frankfurt, West Germany, December, 1981.

Therefe (~()

lestly len

(3) (

s that nally, n+()

he 1t

tens

ews I

y-

:y-:75,

er

- 8. Kaltofen E. A polynomial reduction from multivariate to bivariate integral polynomial factorization. Proc.14-th ACM Symp.Th. Comput., May, N.Y., 1982, p.261-266.
- 9. Kaltofen E. A polynomial-time reduction from bivariate to univariate integral polynomial factorization. Proc.23-rd IEEE Symp.Found Comp.Sci., October, N.Y., 1982, p.57-64.
- 10. Lazard D. Algébre linéaire sur k[X1,...,Xn] et élimination. Bull.Soc.Math.France, 1977, vol.105, p.165-190.
- 11. Lazard D. Résolutions des systèmes d'équations algébriques. -Theor Comput.Sci., 1981, vol.15, p.77-110.
- 12. Lenstra A.K., Lenstra H.W., Lovasz L. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. Math.Ann., 1982, vol.261, p.515-534.
- 13. Lenstra A.K. Factoring multivariate polynomials over finite fields. Preprint Math.Centrum Amsterdam, IW 221/83, Februari, 1983.
- 14. Shafarevich I.R. Basic algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- 15. Wüthrich H.R. Ein Entscheidungsverfahren für die Theorie der reellabgeschlossenen Körper. Lect.Notes Comput.Sci., 1976, vol.43, p.138-162.
- 16. Zariski O., Samuel P. Commutative algebra, vol.1, 2. van Nostrand, 1960.