Oblivious RAM

Yury Lifshits

Steklov Institute of Mathematics, St.Petersburg, Russia yura@logic.pdmi.ras.ru

> Tartu University 15/03/2006

Architectual Approach to Software Protection

• Computer is divided to observable and protected parts

Architectual Approach to Software Protection

- Computer is divided to observable and protected parts
- Technologically possible: accessable memory but protected processor

Architectual Approach to Software Protection

- Computer is divided to observable and protected parts
- Technologically possible: accessable memory but protected processor
- Today: making interction between processor and memory useless for learning program











Basic Solutions



Outline



What Kind of Computer Are We Going To Construct?



• Two parts: Memory and Processor

- Two parts: Memory and Processor
- Internal memory of Processor $= c \log |Memory|$

- Two parts: Memory and Processor
- Internal memory of Processor $= c \log |Memory|$
- Interaction: fetch(adress), store(adress, value)

- Two parts: Memory and Processor
- Internal memory of Processor $= c \log |Memory|$
- Interaction: fetch(adress), store(adress, value)
- Processor has access to random oracle

- Two parts: Memory and Processor
- Internal memory of Processor $= c \log |Memory|$
- Interaction: fetch(adress), store(adress, value)
- Processor has access to random oracle
- Computation starts with a program and an input in Memory

- Two parts: Memory and Processor
- Internal memory of Processor $= c \log |Memory|$
- Interaction: fetch(adress), store(adress, value)
- Processor has access to random oracle
- Computation starts with a program and an input in Memory
- One step: fetch one cell update value and Processor memory store

We want to hide: order of accesses to cells of Memory

We want to hide: order of accesses to cells of Memory

Oblivious esecution:

For all programs of size *m* working in time *t* order of fetch/store adresses is the same

We want to hide: order of accesses to cells of Memory

Oblivious esecution:

For all programs of size m working in time t order of fetch/store adresses is the same

Weaker requirement:

For all programs of size m working in time t order of fetch/store adresses has the same distribution









• We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells

- We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells
- Is For every fetch/store we scan through all memory

- We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells
- Sor every fetch/store we scan through all memory
 - $\bullet~\mbox{Wrong}~\mbox{adress}$ $\Rightarrow~\mbox{just}~\mbox{reencrypt}$ and store

- We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells
- Is For every fetch/store we scan through all memory
 - $\bullet~\mbox{Wrong}~\mbox{adress}$ $\Rightarrow~\mbox{just}~\mbox{reencrypt}$ and store
 - Right adress \Rightarrow do the job \Rightarrow encrypt and store the result

- We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells
- Is For every fetch/store we scan through all memory
 - $\bullet~\mbox{Wrong}~\mbox{adress}$ $\Rightarrow~\mbox{just}~\mbox{reencrypt}$ and store
 - Right adress \Rightarrow do the job \Rightarrow encrypt and store the result

- We store encrypted pairs (adress,value) in memory cells
- Is For every fetch/store we scan through all memory
 - $\bullet~\mbox{Wrong}~\mbox{adress}$ $\Rightarrow~\mbox{just}~\mbox{reencrypt}$ and store
 - Right adress \Rightarrow do the job \Rightarrow encrypt and store the result

Cost of simulation: *tm* time, *m* memory

We need to protect: Order of accesses Number of accesses

We need to protect:

Order of accesses Number of accesses

Memory = Main Part $(m + \sqrt{m})$ | Shelter \sqrt{m}

We need to protect:

Order of accesses Number of accesses

Memory = Main Part $(m + \sqrt{m})$ | Shelter \sqrt{m}

Idea:

Divide computation in epochs of \sqrt{m} steps each On each original step make one fetch to the Main Part and scan through all the Shelter

Simulation 2:

Store input in the Main Part

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- Solution For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps
 - Permute all cells in the Main Part (using permutation π from random oracle)

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps
 - Permute all cells in the Main Part (using permutation π from random oracle)
 - For each process(i) scan through the shelter. If i-th element is not founded, fetch it from π(i), otherwise fetch next dummy cell

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps
 - Permute all cells in the Main Part (using permutation π from random oracle)
 - For each process(i) scan through the shelter. If i-th element is not founded, fetch it from π(i), otherwise fetch next dummy cell
 - Update (obliviously) the Main Part using the Shelter values

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps
 - Permute all cells in the Main Part (using permutation π from random oracle)
 - For each process(i) scan through the shelter. If i-th element is not founded, fetch it from π(i), otherwise fetch next dummy cell
 - Update (obliviously) the Main Part using the Shelter values

Simulation 2:

- Store input in the Main Part
- 2 Add \sqrt{m} dummy cells to the Main part
- For every epoch of \sqrt{m} steps
 - Permute all cells in the Main Part (using permutation π from random oracle)
 - For each process(i) scan through the shelter. If i-th element is not founded, fetch it from π(i), otherwise fetch next dummy cell
 - Update (obliviously) the Main Part using the Shelter values

Cost of simulation: $t\sqrt{m}$ time, $m + 2\sqrt{m}$ memory

Buffer Solution (1): Oblivious Hash Table

Memory of initial program: $(a_1, v_1), \ldots, (a_m, v_m)$

- Take a hash function $h: [1..m] \rightarrow [1..m]$
- Prepare $m \times \log m$ table
- Put (a_i, v_i) to random free cell in $h(a_i)$ -th column
- Home problem 4: Prove that the chance of overflow is less than 1/m

Buffer Solution (2): Simulation

Restricted problem: assume that every cell accessed only once

Buffer Solution (2): Simulation

Restricted problem: assume that every cell accessed only once

Simulation 3:

• Construct (obliviously) a hash table

- Construct (obliviously) a hash table
- For every step fetch(i) of initial program

- Construct (obliviously) a hash table
- For every step fetch(i) of initial program
 - Scan through h(i) column

- Construct (obliviously) a hash table
- For every step fetch(i) of initial program
 - Scan through h(i) column
 - Update the target cell

- Construct (obliviously) a hash table
- For every step fetch(i) of initial program
 - Scan through h(i) column
 - Update the target cell

Simulation 3:

- Construct (obliviously) a hash table
- For every step fetch(i) of initial program
 - Scan through h(i) column
 - Update the target cell

Cost of simulation: $t \log m$ time, $m \log m$ memory



2 Basic Solutions



Data Structure

- *k*-Buffer = table $2^k \times k$
- Hierarchial Buffer Structure = 1-buffer,..., log *t*-buffer
- Initial position: input in last buffer, all others are empty



Simulation of processing cell *i*:

Scan through 1-buffer

Simulation of processing cell *i*:

- Scan through 1-buffer
- 2 For every *j* scan through h(i, j)-th column in *j*-buffer

Simulation of processing cell *i*:

- Scan through 1-buffer
- For every j scan through h(i, j)-th column in j-buffer
- Out the updated value to the first buffer

Refreshing the data structure:

- Every 2^{j-1} steps unify *j*-th and j 1-th buffers
- Oelete doubles
- Solution 9 Using new hash function put all data to j th level

Refreshing the data structure:

- Every 2^{j-1} steps unify *j*-th and j 1-th buffers
- 2 Delete doubles
- Solution Using new hash function put all data to j th level

Invariant: For every moment of time for every / buffers from 1 to / all together contain at most $2^{\prime-1}$ elements

Comments on final solution:

• Cost: $O(t \cdot (\log t)^3)$ time, $O(m \cdot (\log m)^2)$ memory

Comments on final solution:

- Cost: $O(t \cdot (\log t)^3)$ time, $O(m \cdot (\log m)^2)$ memory
- Omitted details: realization of oblivious hashing and random oracle

Comments on final solution:

- Cost: $O(t \cdot (\log t)^3)$ time, $O(m \cdot (\log m)^2)$ memory
- Omitted details: realization of oblivious hashing and random oracle
- Tamper-proofing extension

Prove that the chance of overflow in hash table construction is less than $1/{\it m}$

Summary

Main points:

 Theoretical model for hardware-based code protection: open memory/protected CPU

Summary

Main points:

- Theoretical model for hardware-based code protection: open memory/protected CPU
- Central problem: simulation of any program with any input by the same access pattern

Summary

Main points:

- Theoretical model for hardware-based code protection: open memory/protected CPU
- Central problem: simulation of any program with any input by the same access pattern
- Current result: $O(t \cdot (\log t)^3)$ time, $O(m \cdot (\log m)^2)$ memory simulation

Reading List



O. Goldreich, R. Ostrovsky

Software protection and simulation on oblivious RAM, 1996. http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~oded/PS/soft.ps.

Thanks for attention. Questions?